

# WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File Number. Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.

A.

2



Note: Before completing this form consult your local Conservation Commission regarding any municipal bylaw or ordinance.

Ma	assachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L.	C.	131,	§40
A.	General Information			

1. Project Location (Note: electronic filers will click on button to locate project site):

1817 River Street	: (Lots A, B & C)	Boston (Hyde Park)	02136-6036
a. Street Address		b. City/Town	c. Zip Code
Lotitudo and Long	, altrida	42.2437	-71.1393
Latitude and Long	jilude.	d. Latitude	e. Longitude
18236		12374-000	
f. Assessors Map/Plat	Number	g. Parcel /Lot Number	
Applicant:			
Tony		Ferrara	
a, First Name		b. Last Name	
1817 River Street	LLC		
c. Organization			
394 Washington S	St., - Unit B		
d. Street Address			
Dedham		MA	02026
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
617-438-2171		tony@hubmtg.com	
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	
Property owner (r a. First Name	equired if different from a	pplicant): Check if more b. Last Name	than one owner
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name	than one owner
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name	than one owner
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. Clty/Town	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name	than one owner
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address	than one owner
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if	equired if different from a	pplicant): Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address	than one owner g. Zip Code
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if Matthew	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name	than one owner g. Zip Code
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if Matthew a. First Name	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address Smith b. Last Name	than one owner g. Zip Code
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if Matthew a. First Name Norwood Enginee	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address Smith b. Last Name	than one owner g. Zip Code
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if <u>Matthew</u> a. First Name <u>Norwood Enginee</u> c. Company	equired if different from a	applicant):  Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address Smith b. Last Name	than one owner
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if <u>Matthew</u> a. First Name <u>Norwood Enginee</u> c. Company 1410 Route One	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address Smith b. Last Name	than one owner
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if <u>Matthew</u> a. First Name <u>Norwood Enginee</u> c. Company 1410 Route One d. Street Address	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address Smith b. Last Name	than one owner
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if Matthew a. First Name Norwood Enginee c. Company 1410 Route One d. Street Address Norwood	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address Smith b. Last Name MA	than one owner g. Zip Code
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if Matthew a. First Name Norwood Enginee c. Company 1410 Route One d. Street Address Norwood e. City/Town	equired if different from a	applicant):  Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address Smith b. Last Name MA f. State	than one owner g. Zip Code 02062 g. Zip Code
Property owner (r a. First Name c. Organization d. Street Address e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative (if Matthew a. First Name Norwood Enginee c. Company 1410 Route One d. Street Address Norwood e. City/Town 781-762-0143	equired if different from a	applicant): Check if more b. Last Name f. State j. Email address Smith b. Last Name MA f. State msmith@porwoodengineer	than one owner g. Zip Code g. Zip Code <u>02062</u> g. Zip Code



# WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP MassDEP File Number Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

## A. General Information (continued)

6. General Project Description:

The construction of a 1-family house, a 2-family house, both with associated site features, along with parking improvements at an existing 3-family house at 1817 River Street, Hyde Park, MA.

## 7a. Project Type Checklist: (Limited Project Types see Section A. 7b.)

1.	Single Family Home	2.	$\boxtimes$	Residential Subdivision

- 3. 🗋 Commercial/Industrial 4. 🗌 Dock/Pier
- 5. 🔲 Utilities

7. Agriculture (e.g., cranberries, forestry)

- 9. 🗌 Other
- 7b. Is any portion of the proposed activity eligible to be treated as a limited project (including Ecological Restoration Limited Project) subject to 310 CMR 10.24 (coastal) or 310 CMR 10.53 (inland)?

1. 🗍 Yes	🖾 No	If yes, describe which limited project applies to this project.	(See 310 CMR
		10.24 and 10.53 for a complete list and description of limited	d project types)

6.

Coastal engineering Structure

8. Transportation

2. Limited Project Type

If the proposed activity is eligible to be treated as an Ecological Restoration Limited Project (310 CMR10.24(8), 310 CMR 10.53(4)), complete and attach Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklist and Signed Certification.

8. Property recorded at the Registry of Deeds for:

Suffolk		
a. County		b. Certificate # (if registered land)
67102	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	250
c. Book		d. Page Number

# B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent)

- 1. A Buffer Zone Only Check if the project is located only in the Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland, Inland Bank, or Coastal Resource Area.
- 2. Inland Resource Areas (see 310 CMR 10.54-10.58; if not applicable, go to Section B.3, Coastal Resource Areas).

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and any supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.



# WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File Number Document Transaction Number Boston
City/Town

# B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

	<u>Resou</u>	rce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)			
For all projects	а. 🗌 ь 🗍	Bank Bordering Vegetated	1. linear feet	2. linear feet			
Resource Areas, please attach a	D. <u> </u> ]	Wetland	1. square feet	2. square feet			
narrative explaining how the resource	c. 🔲	Land Under Waterbodies and	1. square feet	2. square feet			
area was delineated.		vvalerways	3. cubic yards dredged				
	<u>Resou</u>	rce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)			
	d. 🛄	Bordering Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	2. square feet			
	e. 🛄	Isolated Land	3. cubic feet of flood storage lost	4. cubic feet replaced			
		Subject to Flooding	1. square feet				
			2. cubic feet of flood storage lost	3. cubic feet replaced			
	f. 🗌	Riverfront Area	1. Name of Waterway (if available) - sp	ecify coastal or inland			
	2.	Width of Riverfront Area	a (check one):	·			
		25 ft Designated [	Densely Developed Areas only				
		100 ft New agricultural projects only					
		🔲 200 ft All other pro	Djects				
	3.	Total area of Riverfront Ar	rea on the site of the proposed proje	ect:			
	4.	Proposed alteration of the	Riverfront Area:				
	a.	total square feet	b. square feet within 100 ft.	c. square feet between 100 ft. and 200 ft.			
	5.	Has an alternatives analys	sis been done and is it attached to t	his NOI?			
	6.	Was the lot where the acti	ivity is proposed created prior to Au	gust 1, 1996? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No			
З	3. 🔲 Co.	astal Resource Areas: (Se	ee 310 CMR 10.25-10.35)				
	Note:	for coastal riverfront areas	s, please complete Section B.2.f. a	bove.			



## Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40



## B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.

. Nga

Online Users: Include your document		Resource Area		Size of Proposed	d Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
transaction number		a. 🗍	Designated Port Areas	Indicate size ur	nder Land Under	r the Ocean, below
(provided on your receipt page) with all supplementary		b.	Land Under the Ocean	1. square feet		
submit to the				2. cubic yards dredge	ed	
Department.		c	Barrier Beach	Indicate size und	ler Coastal Bead	ches and/or Coastal Dunes below
		d. 🗌	Coastal Beaches	1. square feet		2. cubic yards beach nourishment
		e. 🗌	Coastal Dunes	1. square feet		2. cubic yards dune nourishment
				Size of Proposed	d Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
		f. 🗌	Coastal Banks	1. linear feet		
		g. 🗌	Rocky Intertidal Shores	1. square feet		
		h. 🗌	Salt Marshes	1. square feet		2. sq ft restoration, rehab., creation
		i. 🗌	Land Under Salt Ponds	1. square feet		,
				2. cubic yards dredge	ed	
		j, 🗋	Land Containing Shellfish	1. square feet		
		k. 🔲	Fish Runs	Indicate size und Ocean, and/or in above	ler Coastal Bank land Land Unde	s, inland Bank, Land Under the rWaterbodies and Waterways,
				1 cubic vards dredge	<u>.</u>	
		I. 🗌	Land Subject to			
	л		Coastal Storm Flowage	1. square feet		
	<del>ч</del> .	If the pr square amount	roject is for the purpose of r footage that has been ente t here.	estoring or enhan red in Section B.2	cing a wetland r t.b or B.3.h abov	esource area in addition to the re, please enter the additional
		a. square	feet of BVW		b. square feet of S	alt Marsh
	5.	🗌 Pro	ject Involves Stream Cross	ings		
		a. numbe	r of new stream crossings		b. number of repla	cement stream crossings



# WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

ided	by Ma	issDE	Pi			
Mas	sDEP	File I	Numl	ber		
a proj						
Doci	iment	Tran	sacti	on N	umb	er
Bos	ton					
City/	Town					

# C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements

This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section C and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklists – Required Actions (310 CMR 10.11).

## Streamlined Massachusetts Endangered Species Act/Wetlands Protection Act Review

 Is any portion of the proposed project located in Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)? To view habitat maps, see the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas or go to <u>http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/PRI\_EST\_HAB/viewer.htm.</u>

a. 🗌 Yes 🔀 No.	If yes, include proof of mailing or hand delivery of NOI to:
	Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program Division of Fisheries and Wildlife
8/1/2021 b. Date of map	1 Rabbit Hill Road Westborough, MA 01581

If yes, the project is also subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18). To qualify for a streamlined, 30-day, MESA/Wetlands Protection Act review, please complete Section C.1.c, and include requested materials with this Notice of Intent (NOI); *OR* complete Section C.2.f, if applicable. *If MESA supplemental information is not included with the NOI, by completing Section 1 of this form, the NHESP will require a separate MESA filing which may take up to 90 days to review (unless noted exceptions in Section 2 apply, see below).* 

c. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review\*

- - (a) within wetland Resource Area

percentage/acreage

(b) outside Resource Area

percentage/acreage

- 2. 🔲 Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site
- 2. Project plans for entire project site, including wetland resource areas and areas outside of wetlands jurisdiction, showing existing and proposed conditions, existing and proposed tree/vegetation clearing line, and clearly demarcated limits of work \*\*
  - (a) Project description (including description of impacts outside of wetland resource area & buffer zone)
  - (b) Photographs representative of the site

<sup>\*</sup> Some projects not in Estimated Habitat may be located in Priority Habitat, and require NHESP review (see <u>https://www.mass.gov/ma-endangered-species-act-mesa-regulatory-review</u>).

Priority Habitat includes habitat for state-listed plants and strictly upland species not protected by the Wetlands Protection Act.

<sup>\*\*</sup> MESA projects may not be segmented (321 CMR 10.16). The applicant must disclose full development plans even if such plans are not required as part of the Notice of Intent process.



# WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

rovided by Ma	ssDEP:	
MassDER	File Musele a	
Wassber	re numpe	
Document	Transaction	Number
Boston		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
City/Town		

# C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)

(c) MESA filing fee (fee information available at https://www.mass.gov/how-to/how-to-file-fora-mesa-project-review).

Make check payable to "Commonwealth of Massachusetts - NHESP" and mail to NHESP at above address

Projects altering 10 or more acres of land, also submit:

- '(d) Vegetation cover type map of site
- Project plans showing Priority & Estimated Habitat boundaries (e)
- (f) OR Check One of the Following
- 1. Project is exempt from MESA review. Attach applicant letter indicating which MESA exemption applies. (See 321 CMR 10.14, https://www.mass.gov/service-details/exemptions-from-review-for-projectsactivities-inpriority-habitat; the NOI must still be sent to NHESP if the project is within estimated habitat pursuant to 310 CMR 10.37 and 10.59.)

$2 \square$	Separate MESA review ongoing		
LI	coparate mees tronew engoing:	a. NHESP Tracking #	b. Date submitted to NHESP

- 3. Separate MESA review completed. Include copy of NHESP "no Take" determination or valid Conservation & Management Permit with approved plan.
- 3. For coastal projects only, is any portion of the proposed project located below the mean high water line or in a fish run?

a. 🛛 Not applicable – project is in inland resource area only	b. 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
---	----------	------

If yes, include proof of mailing, hand delivery, or electronic delivery of NOI to either:

South Shore - Cohasset to Rhode Island border, a the Cape & Islands	and	North Shore - Hull to New Hampshire border:
ine oape a manua.		

Division of Marine Fisheries -	Division of Marine Fisheries -
Southeast Marine Fisheries Station	North Shore Office
Attn: Environmental Reviewer	Attn: Environmental Reviewer
336 South Rodney French Blvd.	30 Emerson Avenue
New Bedford, MA 02744	Gloucester, MA 01930
Email: <u>dmf.envreview-south@mass.gov</u>	Email: dmf.envreview-north@mass.gov

Also if yes, the project may require a Chapter 91 license. For coastal towns in the Northeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Boston Office. For coastal towns in the Southeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Southeast Regional Office.

Is this an aquaculture project? с.

d.		Yes		No
----	--	-----	--	----

If yes, include a copy of the Division of Marine Fisheries Certification Letter (M.G.L. c. 130, § 57).

	Ma Bu Ma	Assachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Irreau of Resource Protection - Wetlands /PA Form 3 – Notice of Intent assachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40 Provided by MassDEP MassDEP File Number Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town
	Ċ.	Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)
	4.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC)?
Online Users: Include your document		a. Yes X No If yes, provide name of ACEC (see instructions to WPA Form 3 or MassDEP Website for ACEC locations). <b>Note:</b> electronic filers click on Website.
transaction number (provided on your receipt page)	5.	b. ACEC Is any portion of the proposed project within an area designated as an Outstanding Resource Water (ORW) as designated in the Massachusetts Surface Water Ouality Standards, 314 CMR 4,002
with all supplementary		a.  Yes No
submit to the Department.	6.	Is any portion of the site subject to a Wetlands Restriction Order under the Inland Wetlands Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 131, § 40A) or the Coastal Wetlands Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 130, § 105)?
		a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	7.	Is this project subject to provisions of the MassDEP Stormwater Management Standards?
		<ul> <li>a. Yes. Attach a copy of the Stormwater Report as required by the Stormwater Management Standards per 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)-(q) and check if:</li> <li>1. Applying for Low Impact Development (LID) site design credits (as described in Stormwater Management Handbook Vol. 2, Chapter 3)</li> </ul>
		2. A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment
		3. Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Management System.
		b. No. Check why the project is exempt:
		1. 🛄 Single-family house
		2. Emergency road repair
		3. Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 single-family houses or less than or equal to 4 units in multi-family housing project) with no discharge to Critical Areas.
	D.	Additional Information
		This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section D and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Notice of Intent – Minimum Required Documents (310 CMR 10.12).
		Applicants must include the following with this Notice of Intent (NOI). See instructions for details.

**Online Users:** Attach the document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) for any of the following information you submit to the Department.

- 1. USGS or other map of the area (along with a narrative description, if necessary) containing sufficient information for the Conservation Commission and the Department to locate the site. (Electronic filers may omit this item.)
- 2. Plans identifying the location of proposed activities (including activities proposed to serve as a Bordering Vegetated Wetland [BVW] replication area or other mitigating measure) relative to the boundaries of each affected resource area.

,

4



#### Provided by MassDEP:

TIONACC Dy Massber .

MassDEP File Number Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

WPA	Form	3 -	Notice	of Intent	
Massachu	usetts Wet	lands	Protection	Act M.G.L. c.	. 131, §40

## D. Additional Information (cont'd)

- 3. Identify the method for BVW and other resource area boundary delineations (MassDEP BVW Field Data Form(s), Determination of Applicability, Order of Resource Area Delineation, etc.), and attach documentation of the methodology.
- 4. List the titles and dates for all plans and other materials submitted with this NOI.

a. Fian fille	
Norwood Engineering Co., Inc.	Matthew D. Smith
b. Prepared By	c. Signed and Stamped by
May 26, 2022	1"=10'
d. Final Revision Date	e. Scale
EcoTec, Inc. Wetland Resource Evaluation	October 30, 202
f. Additional Plan or Document Title	g. Date

- 6. Attach proof of mailing for Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, if needed.
- 7. Attach proof of mailing for Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, if needed.
- 8. Attach NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
- 9. Attach Stormwater Report, if needed.

## E. Fees

1. Fee Exempt: No filing fee shall be assessed for projects of any city, town, county, or district of the Commonwealth, federally recognized Indian tribe housing authority, municipal housing authority, or the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority.

Applicants must submit the following information (in addition to pages 1 and 2 of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form) to confirm fee payment:

Municipal Portion of State Fees Not Accepted	-
2. Municipal Check Number	3. Check date
12440	6-9-27
4. State Check Number	5. Check date
HUB Development LLC	
6. Payor name on check: First Name	7. Payor name on check: Last Name





# WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

/id	ed by MassDEP:
N	assDEP File Number
D	ocument Transaction Number
E	Boston
C	ity/Town

## F. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the wetlands regulations, 310 CMR 10.05(5)(a).

I further certify under penalties of perjury that all abutters were notified of this application, pursuant to the requirements of M.G.L. c. 131, § 40. Notice must be made by Certificate of Mailing or in writing by hand delivery or certified mail (return receipt requested) to all abutters within 100 feet of the property line of the project location.

1-15-2 1. Signature of Applicant 2. Date 3. Signature of Property Owner (if different) 4. Date 5. Signature of Representative (if any)

## For Conservation Commission:

Two copies of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, two copies of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and the city/town fee payment, to the Conservation Commission by certified mail or hand delivery.

## For MassDEP:

One copy of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, one copy of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and a **copy** of the state fee payment to the MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions) by certified mail or hand delivery.

## Other:

If the applicant has checked the "yes" box in any part of Section C, Item 3, above, refer to that section and the Instructions for additional submittal requirements.

The original and copies must be sent simultaneously. Failure by the applicant to send copies in a timely manner may result in dismissal of the Notice of Intent.



Important: When filling out forms

## Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40



A. Apj	olicant	Information
--------	---------	-------------

1. Location of P	roject:		
1817 River S	treet (Lots A, B & C)	Boston (Hyde Park)	
a. Street Addres	S	b. City/Town	
	12440	\$1,012.50	
c. Check numbe	r	d. Fee amount	
2. Applicant Ma	iling Address:		
Tony		Ferrara	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
1817 River S	treet LLC		
c. Organization			
394 Washing	ton St Unit B		
d. Mailing Addre	SS I		
Dedham		MA	02026
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
617-438-217 <sup>-</sup>	1	tony@hubmtg.com	
h. Phone Numbe	r i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	
3. Property Owr	er (if different):		
a. First Name	i.	b. Last Name	
c. Organization			
d. Mailing Addre	3 <b>S</b>		
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
h. Phone Numbe	r i. Fax Number	i. Email Address	

To calculate filing fees, refer to the category fee list and examples in the instructions for filling out WPA Form 3 (Notice of Intent).

Fee should be calculated using the following process & worksheet. *Please see Instructions before filling out worksheet.* 

Step 1/Type of Activity: Describe each type of activity that will occur in wetland resource area and buffer zone.

Step 2/Number of Activities: Identify the number of each type of activity.

Step 3/Individual Activity Fee: Identify each activity fee from the six project categories listed in the instructions.

Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee: Multiply the number of activities (identified in Step 2) times the fee per category (identified in Step 3) to reach a subtotal fee amount. Note: If any of these activities are in a Riverfront Area in addition to another Resource Area or the Buffer Zone, the fee per activity should be multiplied by 1.5 and then added to the subtotal amount.

Step 5/Total Project Fee: Determine the total project fee by adding the subtotal amounts from Step 4.

Step 6/Fee Payments: To calculate the state share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and subtract \$12.50. To calculate the city/town share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and add \$12.50.

**B.** Fees



## Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Β.	Fees (continued)			
	Step 1/Type of Activity	Step 2/Number of Activities	Step 3/Individual Activity Fee	Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee
	2a. Construction of a single family house		\$500.00	\$500.00
	2b. Parking lot	1	\$500.00	<u>\$5</u> 00.00
	3b. Each building (for development) including site	A.	<u>\$1,050.00</u>	<u>\$1,050.00</u>
		: .		- · ·
			· ·	
		Step 5/To	otal Project Fee:	\$2,050.00
		Step 6/	Fee Payments:	
		Total	Project Fee:	\$2,050.00 a. Total Fee from Step 5
		State share	of filing Fee:	\$1,012.50 b. 1/2 Total Fee <b>less \$</b> 12.50
		City/Town share	of filling Fee:	\$0-Boston Con Com does not accept

## C. Submittal Requirements

a.) Complete pages 1 and 2 and send with a check or money order for the state share of the fee, payable to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Department of Environmental Protection Box 4062 Boston, MA 02211

b.) To the Conservation Commission: Send the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a copy of this form; and the city/town fee payment.

To MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions): Send a copy of the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a copy of this form; and a copy of the state fee payment. (E-filers of Notices of Intent may submit these electronically.)

.

	City of Boston Environment	<b>NOTICE OF INT</b> Boston Wetlands City of Boston Co	<b>ENT APPLICATION FORI</b> Ordinance ode, Ordinances, Chapter 7	M Boston File Number -1.4 MassDEP File Number
A. C	ENERAL INFORMATI	ON		
1. P	roject Location			
18 181	7 River Street (Lots A. E	. C)	Poston (Hude Dark)	00400 0000
a. Stre	et Address	, _,	b. City/Town	02130-0036
	18236		12374 000	e. zip code
f. Asse	ssors Map/Plat Number		g. Parcel /Lot Number	
	1		,	
2. A	pplicant	_		
Тс	ony Fer	rara [1	817 River Street LLC	
a. Firs	t Name b. Last 1	Name	c. Company	
394 Wa	shington St Unit B			
d. Mai	ling Address			
De	dham	Ν	1A 01	2026
e. City	/Town	f. S	tate g. Zip	o Code
617	- 438 - 2171	Ito	onv@hubmta.com	
h. Pho	ne Number i. Fax I	Number j. E	mail address	
n D				
3. PI	There are a constructed of the construction of	1817	River Street LLC	
a. First Nan	b. Last Name		c. Company	
394 Wa	shington Street, Unit B			
d. Mailing A	ddress			
Dedhar	n	MA	02020	6
e. City/Tow	/n	f. State	g Zin Co	de
617-438	3-2171	tony@	hubmtg.com	
h. Phone Ni	imber i. Fax Numb	er j. Email a	ddress	
	Check if more than one	owner		
(If there is	s more than one property ow	ner, please attach a list of	these property owners to this form )	
(	in the property of	ier, preuse actuen a hat or	enese property owners to this form.)	
4. Re	epresentative (if any)			
Matthe	w Smith	r	Norwood Engineering Co., Inc.	
a. First Nan	b. Last Name	(	e. Company	
1410 R	oute One			
d. Mailing A	ddress			
Norwood	i	MA	02062	
e. City/Tow	'n	f. State	g. Zip Coo	de
781 - 762	- 0143	msmit	h@norwoodengineering.com	
h. Phone Ni	inber i. Fax Numb	er j. Email a	ddress	

## NOTICE OF INTENT APPLICATION FORM

Boston File Number



City of Boston Environment

Boston Wetlands Ordinance City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4

MassDEP File Number

5. Is any portion of the proposed project jurisdictional under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131 §40?

🖄 Yes 🗆 No

If yes, please file the WPA Form 3 - Notice of Intent with this form

6. General Information

The construction of a 1-family house, a 2-family house, both with associated site features along

with parking improvements at an existing 3-family house at 1817 River Street, Hyde Park, MA

7.	Project Type Checklist	
	a. 🛛 Single Family Home	b. x Residential Subdivision
	c. 🛛 Limited Project Driveway Crossing	d. 🗆 Commercial/Industrial
	e. 🗆 Dock/Pier	f. 🗆 Utilities
	g. 🗆 Coastal Engineering Structure	h. 🗅 Agriculture - cranberries, forestry
	i. 🗆 Transportation	j. 🗌 Other
8.	Property recorded at the Registry of Deeds	
S	uffolk	250
a. C	ounty	b. Page Number
6 с. Вс	7102 pok	d. Certificate # (if registered land)
9.	Total Fee Paid	
3,66	1,012.50	1,150.00
a. To	b. State Fee Paid	c. City Fee Paid

## B. BUFFER ZONE & RESOURCE AREA IMPACTS

Buffer Zone Only – Is the project located only in the Buffer Zone of a resource area protected by the Boston Wetlands Ordinance?

□ Yes

X No

1. Coastal Resource Areas

CITY of BOSTON



NOTICE OF INTENT APPLICATION FORM

Boston File Number

Boston Wetlands Ordinance

City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4

MassDEP File Number

Re	esource Area	Resource Area Size	Proposed <u>Alteration*</u>	Proposed <u>Migitation</u>
	Coastal Flood Resilience Zone			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	25-foot Waterfront Area			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	100-foot Salt Marsh Area			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Riverfront Area			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
2.	Inland Resource Areas			

Re	source Area	Resource <u>Area Size</u>	Proposed <u>Alteration*</u>	Proposed Migitation
	Inland Flood Resilience Zone			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Isolated Wetlands			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Vernal Pool			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Vernal Pool Habitat (vernal pool + 100 ft. upland area)			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
2	25-foot Waterfront Area	105	0	0
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Riverfront Area			3
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet

## C. OTHER APPLICABLE STANDARDS & REQUIREMENTS

1. What other permits, variances, or approvals are required for the proposed activity described herein and what is the status of such permits, variances, or approvals?

ALT1161211 (Subdivision application number that went to board of appeals to subdivide land into 2 parcels), BOA-1202082 (This is the board of appeals application number that was for the subdivision application listed above. This was approved by the board on 6/29/21 and went back to ISD with a date of entry of 8/20/21). This approval was not contested and became official 20 days after the entry date. ERT 1161578 is the application for the two family to be built on lot B. This application has been reviewed and approved to be an as of right project subject only to approvals from Parks and Conservation. This application will also require public works street opening permits for new utility connections, and Boston Water and Sewer approvals for new utility connection has been reviewed to be an as of right project subject only to approvals from Parks and Conservation. This application will also require public works street opening permits for new utility connections. ERT 1161583 is the permit number for the single family to be built on Lot C. This application has been reviewed and approved to be an as of right project subject only to approvals from Parks and Conservation. This application will also require public works street opening permits for new utility connections, and Boston Water and Sewer approvals for new utility connections.

#### CITY OF BOSTON



## City of Boston NOTICE OF INTENT APPLICATION FORM

Boston File Number

Boston Wetlands Ordinance City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4

MassDEP File Number

2. Is any portion of the proposed project located in Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)? To view habitat maps, see the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas or go to http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/nhregmap.htm.

Yes	X	N
Yes	20	N

If yes, the project is subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18).

## A. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review

Percentage/acreage of property to be altered:

(1) within wetland Resource Area

percentage/acreage

percentage/acreage

Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site

(2) outside Resource Area

- 3. Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?
  - 🗆 Yes

Environment

If yes, provide the name of the ACEC: \_\_\_\_\_

X No

- 4. Is the proposed project subject to provisions of the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards?
  - □ Yes. Attach a copy of the Stormwater Checklist & Stormwater Report as required.
    - Applying for a Low Impact Development (LID) site design credits
    - A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment
    - Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Management System
  - Ճ No. Check below & include a narrative as to why the project is exempt
    - Single-family house
    - □ Emergency road repair
    - Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 single family houses or less than or equal to 4 units in a multifamily housing projects) with no discharge to Critical Areas
- 5. Is the proposed project subject to Boston Water and Sewer Commission Review?

🛛 Yes 🗆 No



## City of Boston NOTICE OF INTENT APPLICATION FORM

Boston Wetlands Ordinance City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4

Boston File Number

MassDEP File Number

#### D. SIGNATURES AND SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the Wetlands Protection Ordinance.

ature of Applicant

7-15-22 Date

Signature of Property Owner (if different)

Environment

Signature of Representative (if any)

Date 71 15 Date

## CITY of BOSTON

#### **PROJECT NARRATIVE:**



Notice of Intent 1817 River Street (Lots A, B & C) Hyde Park, Massachusetts June 1, 2022, REV July 15, 2022

This Notice of Intent is filed under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L. Ch. 131, § 40; the "Act") and its implementing regulations (310 CMR 10.00 *et seq.*; the "Regulations") and the City of Boston Wetlands Protection and Climate Adaptation Ordinance (Chapter VII, Section 7-1.4; the "Ordinance") and Boston Wetlands Regulations (the "Ordinance Regulations"). The Applicant, 1817 River Street LLC, seeks an Order of Conditions for the construction of a 1-family house, a 2-family house, both with associated site features, along with parking improvements at the existing 3-family house at 1817 River Street (Lots A, B & C), Hyde Park, Massachusetts. The work on the lots is proposed within or partially within the 100' Buffer Zone to Bordering Vegetated Wetlands under the Act and Ordinance. Based upon the Land Development Plan, no work on the subject lots is proposed within any other wetland resource area under the Act or Ordinance.

#### **Existing Conditions and Wetland Resource Areas:**

The existing conditions of the site and the wetland resources on or near the subject lot are described in the Wetland Resource Evaluation, prepared by EcoTec, Inc., dated October 30, 2021, a copy of which is included as part of the Notice of Intent. As shown on the Land Development Plan and in the above-referenced Wetland Resource Evaluation, the jurisdictional areas that occur on the subject lots are: (1) 25' Waterfront Area under the Ordinance only; and (2) 100' Buffer Zone to Bordering Vegetated Wetlands under the Act and Ordinance. The Waterfront Area associated with the Riverfront Area to Mother Brook occurs only in the extreme northwestern corner of the Lot A, 1817 River Street property. Rierfront Area and Waterfront Area under the Ordinance are part of the 100-foot Buffer Zone under the Ordinance. The Ordinance are part of the 100' Buffer Zone is not a wetland resource area under the Act but is considered a wetland resource area under the Ordinance. Land Under Water, Bank, Bordering Vegetated Wetlands, Land Subject to Flooding, and Riverfront Area under the Act do not occur on the lot; Land Under Water, Bank, Land Subject to Flooding and Riverfront Area under the Ordinance do not occur on the subject lot. Lastly, the area is not mapped as Priority Habitat or Estimated Habitat by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program; Certified or Potential Vernal Pools are not mapped on the lot covered by the filing.

The following is a summary of the proposed project for each of the three proposed lots (Lot A, Lot B, and Lot C).

#### Lot A

The existing 3-family dwelling will remain and will be located on proposed Lot A. A portion of the existing pavement will be removed and new pavement will be added to create three new parking spaces. A portion of Lot A is within the 25' Waterfront Area under the Ordinance, but no work is proposed within the 25' Waterfront Area. No landscaping work is being proposed in the waterfront area. A portion of Lot A is within the 100' Wetland Buffer.

The proposed work on Lot A includes installation of an erosion control barrier comprising entrenched siltation fence fronted by a compost sock, site preparation, paved parking improvements, infiltration systems to address runoff from impervious surfaces, and associated grading, lawn, and landscaping. As detailed on the Land Development Plan, 3,794± square feet or 47.4% of the 8,000 square foot subject lot is considered impervious, with the balance (i.e., 52.6%) considered pervious or vegetated. Proposed Buffer Zone fill is 27 cubic yards. Proposed Buffer Zone disturbance is 2,865 square feet. As shown on the Landscape Plan, the existing trees near the rear of the lot are proposed to be retained; this will serve to protect and shade the adjacent Bordering Vegetated Wetland. Lastly, as shown on the Landscape Plan, significant tree, sapling, shrub, and herbaceous plantings are proposed on the lot. The retention of existing trees and the proposed landscaping combined with the reduced pavement associated with the one-car garage will serve to reduce the heat island effect associated with the development of the subject lot.

#### Lot B

The Applicant proposes a 2-family dwelling on Lot B. A driveway approximately 21' wide is proposed and this driveway will be centered on the Lot B/Lot C lot line. Two parking spaces for Unit B1 and two parking spaces for Unit B2 are proposed for Lot B. A portion of Lot B is within the 100' Wetland Buffer.

The proposed work on Lot B includes installation of an erosion control barrier comprising entrenched siltation fence fronted by a compost sock, site preparation, construction of a 2-family house with full basement, front porches, paved driveway, front walkways, wood or composite rear decks with stairs, rear walkways, infiltration systems to address runoff from impervious surfaces, and associated grading, lawn, and landscaping. As detailed on the Land Development Plan, 3,875± square feet or 47.5% of the 8,156 square foot subject lot is considered impervious, with the balance (i.e., 52.5%) considered pervious or vegetated. Proposed Buffer Zone fill is 387 cubic yards. Proposed Buffer Zone disturbance is 4,758 square feet. The rear retaining wall will provide for a more level rear yard, will reduce the the slope toward Wetland A, and promote additional infiltration within the more level lawn areas on the site. The area between the rear retaining wall and the proposed erosion control barrier is necessary for the safe and proper construction of the retaining wall; as this area is within a drainage easement, it is proposed to be graded, loamed, and seeded as lawn. As shown on the Landscape Plan, the existing trees near the rear of the lot are proposed to be retained; this will serve to protect and shade the adjacent Bordering Vegetated Wetland. Lastly, as shown on the Landscape Plan, significant tree, sapling, shrub, and herbaceous plantings are proposed on the lot. The retention of existing trees and the proposed landscaping combined with the reduced pavement associated with the one-car garage will serve to reduce the heat island effect associated with the development of the subject lot.

#### Lot C

The Applicant proposes to build a single family dwelling on Lot C. The driveway, also described above in the Lot B narrative, is proposed to be approximately 21' wide and will be centered on the Lot B/Lot C lot line. Two parking spaces for the single family Unit C are proposed for Lot C. A portion of Lot C is within the 100' Wetland Buffer.

The proposed work on Lot C includes installation of an erosion control barrier comprising entrenched siltation fence fronted by a compost sock, site preparation, construction of a 1-family house with full basement, a front porch, paved driveway, front walkway, wood or composite rear porch with stairs and landing, rear walkway, infiltration systems to address runoff from impervious surfaces, and associated grading, lawn, and landscaping. As detailed on the Land Development Plan, 2,774± square feet or 53.6% of the 5,172 square foot subject lot is considered impervious, with the balance (i.e., 46.4%) considered pervious or vegetated. Proposed Buffer Zone fill is 270 cubic yards. Proposed Buffer Zone distrubance is 3,144 square feet. The rear retaining wall will provide for a more level rear yard, will reduce the slope toward Wetland A, and promote additional infiltration within the more level lawn areas on the site. The area between the rear retaining wall and the proposed erosion control barrier is necessary for the safe and proper construction of the retaining wall; as this area is within a drainage easement, it is proposed to be graded, loamed, and seeded as lawn. As shown on the Landscape Plan, the existing trees near the rear of the lot are proposed to be retained; this will serve to protect and shade the adjacent Bordering Vegetated Wetland. Lastly, as shown on the Landscape Plan, significant tree, sapling, shrub, and herbaceous plantings are proposed on the lot. The retention of existing trees and the proposed landscaping combined with the reduced pavement associated with the one-car garage will serve to reduce the heat island effect associated with the development of the subject lot.

	LOT A	LOT B	LOT C	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>SITE</u>
IMPERVIOUS	3,794 sf	3,875 sf	2,774 sf	10,443 sf
AREA	47.40%	47.50%	53.60%	
PERVIOUS/				
VEGETATED	4,206 sf	4,281 sf	2,398 sf	10,885 sf
AREA	52.60%	52.50%	46.40%	
TOTAL LAND				
AREA	8,000 sf	8,156 sf	5,172 sf	21,328 sf
BUFFER ZONE FILL	27 cv	387 cv	270 су	684 cv
	27 Oy	007 09	270 09	004 09
BUFFER ZONE				
DISTURBANCE	2,865 sf	4,758 sf	3,144 sf	10,767 sf

Chart Showing Impervious and Pervious Areas for Proposed Construction For Each Lot:

	LOT A	LOT B	LOT C	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>SITE</u>
IMPERVIOUS	2,876 sf	719 sf	0 sf	3,595 sf
AREA	36.00%	8.80%	0%	
PERVIOUS/				
VEGETATED	5,124 sf	7,437 sf	5,172 sf	17,733 sf
AREA	64.00%	91.20%	100.00%	
TOTAL LAND				
AREA	8,000 sf	8,156 sf	5,172 sf	21,328 sf

Chart Showing Impervious and Pervious Areas for the Existing Conditions For Each Lot:

## Protection of Wetland Resources and Project Impact Mitigation:

The following describes resource protection and project impact mitigation for the entire site. Site work for all three lots will occur concurrently and protection of resources and project impact mitigation is described below.

The means and measures to protect the adjacent wetland resources and to mitigate project impacts include the installation of an erosion control barrier comprising entrenched silt fence fronted by compost sock at the limit of work as shown on the Land Development Plan and the installation of drain inlet protection (e.g., silt sacks) in all catch basins proximate to the subject lot. The erosion control barrier will serve as the limit of work; no work will occur on the resource area side of the erosion control barrier. The erosion control measures will be installed prior to any other work on the site, will be maintained throughout construction, and will be removed at the end of the proposed work after the site is stable and with the authorization of the issuing authority.

To mitigate project impacts post-construction for the project, a stormwater infiltration system is proposed to be installed on the Lots B and C that will recharge one inch of rainfall over all impervious surfaces on the site, including the house, driveway, and walkway, into the ground. Driveway and walkway runoff on Lot A will be collected via a stone filter trench drain that discharges to a Grass Infiltration Basin as detailed on the Site Plan; roof runoff on Lots B & C will be collected in gutters and directed to the Infiltration System on Lot B via downspouts and drainage lines. The proposed infiltration systems have inspection ports and will be subject to an operations and maintenance plan. Upon the completion of the proposed project, the lot will be fully stablized by structure, pavement, lawn, and landscaping. Again, the rear retaining wall will provide for a more level rear yard, will reduce the slope toward Wetland A, and promote additional infiltration within the more level lawn areas on the site.

## Means and Measures to be used by the Contractor

Although the means and measures to be used will be finalized and implemented by the contractor, certain generalizations regarding the proposed work may be made. The construction equipment and materials involved will be typical of house construction and all equipment and materials access will be directly from River Street. The generalized construction sequence for the proposed project, subject to modification, is as follows:

- 1. Install erosion control barrier as shown on the Land Development Plan; install silt sacks in nearby catch basins; maintain extra supply of erosion control materials (i.e., silt fence, stakes; compost sock, silt sacks, etc.) on site;
- 2. Clear and grub work areas; rough grade the work areas;
- 3. Excavate for house footings and foundations; install footings and pour foundations; backfill foundations; remove excess soil from the work areas;
- 4. Grade for proposed rear retaining wall; install rear retaining wall; backfill to the wall;
- 5. Install stormwater infiltration systems with associated drainage lines;
- 6. Install subsurface utilities;
- 7. Grade sites; rough-out proposed driveways;
- 8. Install driveway base; install stone filter trench drain adjacent to driveway;
- 9. Install proposed decks, steps, and landings;
- 10. Install framing, windows and doors, exterior siding and trim, roof shingles, and gutters and downspouts, connecting to drainage systems;
- 11. Complete driveway paving;
- 12. Complete final site grading/loam; seed or hydroseed; install landscaping per the Landscape Plan;
- 13. Complete interior finishes;
- 14. Once sites are stable, request permission to remove the erosion control barrier.

#### **Compliance Evaluation:**

The proposed work on Lots B and C are best characterized as the development of a 1-family house on Lot C and a 2-family house on Lot B with work proposed within the 100' Buffer Zone to Bordering Vegetated Wetlands under the Act and Ordinance and parking improvements on Lot A within proximity of the 25' Waterfront Area under the Ordinance only. Based upon the Land Development Plan, no work is proposed within any other resource area under the Act or Ordinance.

Presently, the Ordinance does not list any performance standards specific to work only within the 100' Buffer Zone and the Ordinance lacks regulations that include specific performance standards for activites within the 100' Buffer Zone. The Ordinance at Section c) which discusses jurisdiction states that "...Buffer Zone is presumed important to the protection of the resource area because activities undertaken in close proximity to resource areas have a reasonable probablity of adverse impact upon the wetland or other resource, either immediately, as a consequence of construction, or over time, as a consequence of daily operation or existence of the activities. These adverse impacts from construction and use can include, without limitation, erosion, siltation, loss of groundwater recharge, degraded water quality, loss of wildlife habitat, degradation of wetland plant habitat, alteration of hydrology, soil contamination, and proliferation of invasive plants." The discussion provided below relative to the narrative standard under the Act is also applicable to compliance with the intent of the Ordinance.

Under the Act, the 100' Buffer Zone is not a wetland resource area; that said, Section 10.53(1) of the Regulations provides a narrative standard for work in the 100' Buffer Zone. Specifically:

"...If the issuing authority determines that a resource area is significant to an interest identified in M.G.L. c. 131, § 40 for which no presumption is stated in the Preamble to the applicable section, the issuing authority shall impose such conditions as are necessary to contribute to the protection of such interests. For work in the buffer zone subject to review under 310 CMR 10.02(2)(b)3., the issuing authority shall impose conditions to protect the interests of the Act identified for the

adjacent resource area. The potential for adverse impacts to resource areas from work in the buffer zone may increase with the extent of the work and the proximity to the resource area. The issuing authority may consider the characteristics of the buffer zone, such as the presence of steep slopes, that may increase the potential for adverse impacts on resource areas. Conditions may include limitations on the scope and location of work in the buffer zone as necessary to avoid alteration of resource areas. The issuing authority may require erosion and sedimentation controls during construction, a clear limit of work, and the preservation of natural vegetation adjacent to the resource area and/or other measures commensurate with the scope and location of the work within the buffer zone to protect the interests of the Act. Where a buffer zone has already been developed, the issuing authority may consider the extent of existing development in its review of subsequent proposed work and, where prior development is extensive, may consider measures such as the restoration of natural vegetation adjacent to a resource area to protect the interest of the Act. The purpose of preconstruction review of work in the buffer zone is to ensure that adjacent resource areas are not adversely affected during or after completion of the work."

Prior to the start of earth moving activities, an erosion control barrier consisting of entrenched siltation fence fronted by compost sock, which will also serve as the Limit of Work, will be located as shown on the Land Development Plan. This erosion control barrier will be maintained until the sites are stabilized by building, pavement, or vegetation. Approval of the issuing authority will be received prior to the removal of the erosion control barrier. The proposed retaining wall near the northeastern limit of work will serve to limit steep slopes; the proposed retaining wall will generally decrease the slope to the northeast across the lot. This retaining wall also serves as a true physical demarcation to limit potential future creep. The existing trees in the northeastern portion of the site will be retained which will serve to protect the wooded nature of the adjacent Bordering Vegetated Wetland. The retention of the existing trees and the proposed landscaping as shown on the Landscape Plan, which includes, trees, saplings, shrubs, and herbaceous materials, will serve to reduce runoff from the site toward the adjacent wetland and will reduce the heat island effect associated with the development on this lot. The proposed project results in increased impervious surfaces within the 100' Buffer Zone. However, the lot includes infiltration systems to exceed the required one inch of runoff over the proposed impervious surfaces on the lot and actually provides a volume equivalent to 1.67 inches over the proposed impervious areas. Upon the completion of work on the lots, the work areas will be stabilized by structure, pavement, lawn, and landscaping.

#### **Climate Resilience and Adaptation:**

The proposed project consists of the construction of a 1-family house, a 2-family house and associated site features on Lots C & B, along with parking improvements on Lot A. The proposed project has been designed with a one-car garage and minimal pavement to provide on-site parking for two cars; the project will be retaining existing mature trees in the northeastern portion of the site near the wetland and proposes significant landscaping including trees, saplings, shrubs, and herbaceous materials to contribute to a reduction of the heat island effect associated with development of this lot. Again, as detailed on the Land Development Plan, 2,774 square feet or 53.6% of the 5,172 square foot Lot C is considered impervious, with the balance (i.e., 46.4%) considered pervious or vegetated; 3,875 square feet or 47.5% of the 8,156 square foot Lot B is considered impervious, with the balance (i.e., 52.6%) considered pervious or vegetated. The proposed project is not located in proximity to the coast or to a mapped floodplain. As such, the proposed project is not anticipated to be affected by sea level rise or by flooding from a nearby floodplain. As changes in

6.

storm intensity and frequency have been projected into the future, the project has been designed such that the basement floor is located at least four feet above the elevation of the adjacent wetlands. The proposed erosion control barrier will serve to protect the adjacent resources during construction and the proposed infiltration systems will promote groundwater recharge under the developed condition. These features will serve to protect and promote resource area interests and values in the future.

#### **Conclusion:**

The work proposed for this project would occur within the 100' Buffer Zone to Bordering Vegetated Wetlands under the Act and Ordinance and work outside the 25' Waterfront Area under the Ordinance only. As shown on the Land Development Plan, no work is proposed within any other wetland resource area under the Act or Ordinance. The Ordinance and its regulations lack performance standards for the 100' Buffer Zone resource area. The 100' Buffer Zone is not a resource area under the Act; the Regulations provide only a narrative standard for work proposed within the 100' Buffer Zone. The intention of these standards is to protect the ability of the adjacent resource area to provide for and protect the statutory interests. Given the above, it is the opinion of Norwood Engineering Co., Inc. that the project is consistent with the provisions of the Act and Ordinance and will serve to protect the applicable statutory and Ordinance interests and values.

Cordially,

NORWOOD ENGINEERING CO., INC.

man

Matthew Smith, PLS, P.E. President

# EcoTec, Inc.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING SERVICES 102 Grove Street Worcester, MA 01605-2629 508-752-9666 – Fax: 508-752-9494

October 30, 2021

Mr. Anthony Ferrara Hub Development LLC 394 Washington Street Dedham, MA 02026

RE: Wetland Resource Evaluation, 1799 to 1817 River Street, Boston (Hyde Park), Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Ferrara:

On October 7, 2021, EcoTec, Inc. inspected the above-referenced property for the presence of wetland resources as defined by: (1) the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L. Ch. 131, § 40; the "Act") and its implementing regulations (310 CMR 10.00 *et seq.*; the "Regulations"); (2) the City of Boston Wetlands Protection and Climate Adaptation Ordinance (the "Ordinance") and associated regulations (the "Ordinance Regulations"); and (3) the U.S. Clean Water Act. John P. Rockwood, Ph.D., SPWS conducted the inspection.

The subject site consists of two parcels totaling 0.59± acres: 1799 River Street is developed with a residential structure with a rear deck and stairs, detached garage, paved driveway, and associated lawn and landscaping; 1817 River Street is developed with a residential structure with front porch and side deck, paved and gravel driveway, fencing, and associated lawn with scattered trees and landscaping. Plant species observed within uplands within the tree line to the north of the site include northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), black cherry (*Prunus serotina*), American plum (*Prunus americana*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), Norway maple (*Acer platanoides*), silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), black locust (*Robinia psuedoacacia*), American basswood (*Tilia americana*), and bigtooth aspen (*Populus grandidentata*) trees, saplings, and/or shrubs; poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*), Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*), oriental bitter-sweet (*Celastrus orbiculata*), and grape (*Vitis sp.*) climbing woody vines and/or ground cover; multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), common buckthorn (*Rhamnus cathartica*), tartarian honeysuckle (*Lonicera tatarica*), and Japanese barberry (*Berberis thunbergii*) shrubs; and Japanese knotweed (*Polygonum cuspidatum*) ground cover. The wetland resources on and near the subject site are described below.

#### Methodology

The subject site was inspected, and areas suspected to qualify as wetland resources were identified. The boundary of Bordering Vegetated Wetlands was delineated in the field per the definitions set forth in the regulations at 310 CMR 10.55(2)(c). Section 10.55(2)(c) states that "The

Mr. Anthony Ferrara October 30, 2021 Page 2.

boundary of Bordering Vegetated Wetlands is the line within which 50% or more of the vegetational community consists of wetland indicator plants and saturated or inundated conditions exist." The method used to delineate Bordering Vegetated Wetlands is further described in: (1) the BVW Policy "BVW: Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Delineation Criteria and Methodology," issued March 1, 1995; and (2) "Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act: A Handbook," produced by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, dated March 1995. The plant taxonomy used in this report is based on the National List of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands: Massachusetts (Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1988). Ordinance and federal wetlands were presumed to have boundaries conterminous with the delineated Bordering Vegetated Wetlands. One set of DEP Bordering Vegetated Wetlands and uplands near flag A7 is attached. The table below provides the Flag Numbers, Flag Type, and Wetland Types and Locations for the delineated wetland resources.

Flag Numbers	Flag Type	Wetland Types and Locations
Start A1 (=R2) to A25 Stop	Blue Flags	Bordering Vegetated Wetlands located off-site to the north that
		is associated with Mother Brook, a perennial stream.
Start R1 to R21 Stop	Pink Flags	Upper boundary of Bank and Mean Annual High-water Line (MAHWL) of Mother Brook located off-site to the north.

## Findings

Wetland A (i.e., A- series flags) consists of a band of forested swamp located off-site to the north that is associated with Mother Brook which is also located off-site to the north. Plant species observed include red maple (Acer rubrum), silver maple (Acer saccharinum), and American elm (Ulmus americana) trees, saplings, and/or shrubs; poison ivy (Toxicodendron radicans) climbing woody vines and ground cover; silky dogwood (Cornus amomum) and glossy buckthorn (Rhamnus frangula) shrubs; and grasses (Gramineae sp.), sedges (Cyperaceae sp.), rushes (Juncaceae sp.), purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria), spotted touch-me-not (Impatiens capensis), and golden-rods (Solidago sp.) ground cover. Evidence of wetland hydrology, including hydric soils, high groundwater, saturated soils, pore linings, and evidence of flooding, was observed within the delineated wetland. This vegetated wetland borders a perennial stream; accordingly, the vegetated wetlands would be regulated as Bordering Vegetated Wetlands and the perennial stream would be regulated as Bank and Land Under Water Bodies and Waterways under the Regulations and Ordinance. A 100-foot Buffer Zone extends horizontally outward from the edge of Bordering Vegetated Wetlands under the Regulations and Ordinance. The 100-foot Buffer Zone is a resource area under the Ordinance. Land Under Water Bodies and Waterways, Bank, and Bordering Vegetated Wetlands do not occur on the 1799 and 1817 River Street properties. The 100-foot Buffer Zone to Bordering Vegetated Wetlands extends onto both the 1799 and 1817 River Street properties.

Mr. Anthony Ferrara October 30, 2021 Page 3.

Bordering Land Subject to Flooding is an area that floods due to a rise in floodwaters from a bordering waterway or water body. Where flood studies have been completed, the boundary of Bordering Land Subject to Flooding is based upon flood profile data prepared by the National Flood Insurance Program. Section 10.57(2)(a)3. states that "The boundary of Bordering Land Subject to Flooding is the estimated maximum lateral extent of flood water which will theoretically result from the statistical 100-year frequency storm." Based upon a review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map, Map Number 25025C0157J, Effective Date March 16, 2016 (attached), there is a mapped Zone AE (i.e., 100-year floodplain) with a 100-year flood elevation of 50 feet (NAVD 1988) and Floodway associated with Mother Brook proximate to the site. Based upon a survey by Norwood Engineering Co., Inc., Bordering Land Subject to Flooding occurs in the extreme northern portion of the 1799 River Street property and does not occur on the 1817 River Street property. When present, Bordering Land Subject to Flooding would occur in areas where the 100-year flood elevation is located outside of or upgradient of the delineated Bordering Vegetated Wetlands boundary. Bordering Land Subject to Flooding does not have a 100-foot Buffer Zone under the Regulations or Ordinance.

The Massachusetts Rivers Protection Act amended the Act to establish an additional wetland resource area: Riverfront Area. Based upon a review of the current USGS Map (i.e., Norwood Quadrangle, dated 1985, attached), Mother Brook, a perennially mapped stream, is located over 25 feet to the north of the site. Streams that are shown as perennial on the current USGS map are designated perennial under the Regulations. Unless this perennial designation is overcome, Riverfront Area under the Regulations in Boston is presumed to extend 25 feet horizontally upgradient from the mean annual high-water line of the stream. Section 10.58(2)(a)2. states that the "Mean annual high-water line of a river is the line that is apparent from visible markings or changes in the character of soils or vegetation due to prolonged presence of water and that distinguishes between predominantly aquatic and predominantly terrestrial land. Field indicators of bankfull conditions shall be used to determine the mean annual high-water line. Bankfull field indicators include but are not limited to: changes in slope, changes in vegetation, stain lines, top of pointbars, changes in bank materials, or bank undercuts." Section 10.58(2)(a)2.a. states that "In most rivers, the first observable break in slope is coincident with bankfull conditions and the mean annual high-water line." The mean annual high-water line of the stream was delineated in the field with pink R-series flags based upon the above-referenced regulation. Based upon a review of the current USGS Map and observations made during the site inspection, there are no mapped or significant unmapped streams located on or within 25 feet of the site; as such, Riverfront Area under the Regulations does not occur on the site. Riverfront Area does not have a Buffer Zone under the Act but may overlap other wetland resources and their Buffer Zones.

The Ordinance establishes a 25-foot Riverfront Area associated with all streams regardless of stream status. As such, Mother Brook would have a 25-foot Riverfront Area extending outward from the pink R-series flags. However, since Mother Brook is located greater than 25 feet from the site, Riverfront Area under the Ordinance would not occur on the site. The Ordinance also establishes a 25-foot Waterfront Area that extends horizontally outward from the 25-foot

# EcoTec, Inc.

Mr. Anthony Ferrara October 30, 2021 Page 4.

Riverfront Area under the Ordinance. The Waterfront Area associated with the Riverfront Area to Mother Brook is located to the north of the 1799 River Street property and occurs only in the extreme northwestern corner of the 1817 River Street Property. Riverfront Area and Waterfront Area under the Ordinance are part of the 100-foot Buffer Zone under the Ordinance and do not have a 100-foot Buffer Zone under the Ordinance.

The Regulations require that no project may be permitted that will have any adverse effect on specified habitat sites of rare vertebrate or invertebrate species, as identified by procedures set forth at 310 CMR 10.59. Based upon a review of the *Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas*, 15<sup>th</sup> edition, Priority Habitats and Estimated Habitats from the NHESP Interactive Viewer, valid from August 1, 2021, and Certified and Potential Vernal Pools from MassGIS (attached), there are no Estimated Habitats [for use with the Act and Regulations (310 CMR 10.00 *et seq.*)], Priority Habitats [for use with Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (M.G.L. Ch. 131A; "MESA") and MESA Regulations (321 CMR 10.00 *et seq.*)], or Certified or Potential Vernal Pools on or in the immediate vicinity of the site.

The reader should be aware that the regulatory authority for determining wetland jurisdiction rests with local, state, and federal authorities. A brief description of my experience and qualifications is attached. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at any time.

Cordially, ECOTEC, INC.

John P. Rochwood

John P. Rockwood, Ph.D., SPWS Principal Environmental Scientist

Attachments (5, 8 pages)

18/BOSTON1817RIVERWRE2021

# EcoTec, Inc.

	<b>JEP Bordering Veg</b>	etated Wetland (310 C	:MR 10.55) D	elineation	l Field Data Fo	rm
Applicant:	Prepare	èd by: EcoTce, Inc.	Project locati	ion: 1817 River S	itreet, Boston	DEP File # :
Check all that apply: Cvegetation al Cvegetation al Method other	lone presumed adequate to nd other indications of hydro · than dominance test used (	delineate BVW boundary: fill out llogy used to delineate BVW bou (attach additional information)	t Section I only undary: fill out Sec	tions I and II		
Section I. Vegeta	tion Observation	1 Plot Number: A7	Transect Numb	er. Up	Date of Delin	leation: 10/07/2021
A. Sample Layer and (by common/scien	I Plant Species # tific name)		3. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category * #
Tree	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	60	100	Yes	IN
Climby Woody Viue	Oriental Bitter-sweet	Celastrus orbiculata	15	100	Yes	NL
Shrub	Silky Dogwood Tartarian Honeysuckle Japanese Barberry Multiflora Rose	Cornus amonum Lonicera tatarica Berberis thunbergü Rosa multiflora	15 15 10	30 20 20	Y es Y es Y es Y es	FACW* FACU FACU FACU
Ground Cover	Virginia Creeper Norway Maple	Parthenocissus quinquefolia Acer platanoides	<i>N</i> 20	25	Yes Yes	FACU NJ
# Plant Taxonomy and Wetlan *Use an asterisk to mark w FACW+, or OBL; or plants next to the asterisk.	d Indicator Category from "National List tettand indicator plants: plant specie with physiological or morphological (	of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands: Massa ss listed in the Wetlands Protection Act (A adaptations. If any plants are identified as	achusetts" (říšh & Wildlife MGL c. 131, s. 40); pla s wetland indicator plant	s Service, U.S. Depart ints in the genus ts due to physiolog	meat of the Interior, 1988) as r Sphagnum; plants listed a: jical or morphological adap	required by 310 CMR 10.55(2)(c). s FAC, FAC+, FACW, FACW, trations, describe the adaptation
Vegetation conclus Number of dominant wills the number of domin	ions: etland indicator plants: 1 ant wetland plants equal to or (	Number of dominant non-wetts greater than the number of dominan	and indicator plants: nt non-wetland plant	: 7 :5? No		
If vegetation alone is presume	d adequate to delineate the BVW bound	ary, submit this form with the Request for Deter	ermination of Applicability of	or Notice of Intent.		MA DEP; 3/95

Page 1

TRANSECT A7 UP (CONT.)		Other Indications of Hvdrology: (check all that apply and describe)
Section II. Indicators of Hydrology		□ Site inundated:
1. Soil Survey		Depth to free water in observation hole:
Is there a published soil survey for this site? -		Depth to soil saturation in observation hole:
title/date: - man numher -		□ Water marks:
soil type mapped: - hvdric soil inclusions: -		Drift lines:
Are field observations consistent with soil survey? -		□ Sediment deposits:
Remarks: -		Drainage patterns in BVW:
		Oxidized rhizospheres:
		Water-stained leaves:
2. Soil Description Horizon Depth (inches) Matrix Color	Mottle Color	Recorded data (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other):
A 0-6 10 YR 3/1 Loam B 6-16 10 YR 5/4 Sandy Loam	1 1	Other:
		Vegetation and Hydrology Conclusion yes no
		Number of wetland indicator plants ≥ number of non-wetland indicator plants
Remarks: Terminated at 16 inches; groundwater not encountered.		Wetland hydrology present:
		hydric soil present
3. Other: -		other indicators of hydrology present
Conclusion: Is soil Hydric? No		Sample location is in a BVW
		Submit this form with the Request for Determination of Applicability or Notice of Intent.

Page 2

Check all that apply: Uvegetation alone preserves C Vegetation and other Nethod other than do Section I. Vegetation A. Sample Layer and Plant Sr (by common/scientific nami A. Sample Layer and Sr (by common/scientific nami A. Sample Layer and Plant Sr (by common/scientific nami A. Sample Layer and Sr (by common/scientific nami A. Sample Laye	esumed adequate to er indications of hydro dominance test used Observatio	delineate BVW boundary: f ology used to delineate BVV (attach additional informatic				
Section I. Vegetation A. Sample Layer and Plant Sp (by common/scientific name Tree Red Mar Norway America Silver M. Climbing Woody Vine Poison J. Shrub Silty Doy Ground Cover Japanese Ground Cover Japanese # Plant Taxonomy and Wetland Indicator ( *Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or OBL: or plants with physic next to the asterisk.	Observatio		ill out Section I only V boundary: fill out Sec n)	ctions I and II		
A. Sample Layer and Plant Sp (by common/scientific name Tree Red Map Norway Silver M. Climbing Woody Vine Poison M. Shrub Silky Do Ground Cover Japanese Ground Cover Japanese # Plant Taxonomy and Wetland Indicator ( *Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or OBL: or plants with physic next to the asterisk. Vegetation conclusions:		n Plot Number A7	Transect Numb	er. Wet	Date of Deliv	neation: 10/07/2021
Tree Red Map Norwayl American Silver M. Climbing Woody Vine Poison J. Shrub Silky Doy Ground Cover Japanese Ground Cover Japanese # Plant Taxonomy and Wetland Indicator ( *Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or OBL: or plants with physic next to the asterisk. Vegetation conclusions:	Species # me)		B. Percent Cover (or basal area)	C. Percent Dominance	D. Dominant Plant (yes or no)	E. Wetland Indicator Category * #
Climbing Woody Vinc Poison Jy Shrub Silky Do Ground Cover Japanese # Plant Taxonomy and Wetland Indicator C *Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or OBL: or plants with physic next to the saterisk.	faple ay Maple ican Elm Maple	Acer rubrum Acer platanoides Ulmus americana Acer saccharinum	15 15 15	25 25 25	Yes Yes Yes Yes	FAC* NL FACW-* FACW*
Shruh Silky Do Ground Cover Japanese # Plant Taxonomy and Wetland Indicator C *Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or OBL: or plants with physic next to the asterisk. Vegetation Corollusions:	a Kvy	Toxicodendron radicans	10	100	Yes	FAC*
Ground Cover Japanese # Plant Taxonomy and Wetland Indicator C *Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physic next to the asterisk.	Dogwood	Cornus amonum	50	100	Yes	FACW*
# Plant Taxonomy and Wetland Indicator 6 #Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or ObL; or plants with physic next to the asterisk. Vegetation conclusions:	iese Knotweed	Polygonum cuspidatum	30	100	Yes	FACU
# Plant Taxonomy and Wetland Indicator C *Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or OBL; or plants with physio next to the asterisk. Vegetation Conclusions:						
*Use an asterisk to mark wetland indi FACW+, or OBL: or plants with physio next to the asterisk. Vegetation conclusions:	or Category from "National List	t of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands	:: Massachusetts" (Fish & Wildlif	fe Service, U.S. Depar	rtment of the Interior, 1988) as	s required by 310 CMR 10.55(2)(c).
Vegetation conclusions:	ndicator plants: plant speci siological or morphological	es listed in the Wetlands Protection adaptations. If any plants are identi	n Act (MGL c. 131, s. 40); plå fied as wetland indicator plan	lants in the genus its due to physiolo	Sphagnum; plants listed a gical or morphological ada	as FAC, FAC+, FACW-, FAC/ aptations, describe the adaptati
Is the number of dominant wetlan	ndicator plants: 5 tland plants equal to or	Number of dominant non greater than the number of do	⊦wetland indicator plants minant non-wetland plan	s; 2 Its? Yes		
If vegetation alone is presumed adequate t	te to delineate the BVW bound	lary, submit this form with the Request t	or Determination of Applicability	or Notice of Intent.		MA DEP; 3/

TRANSECT A7 WET (CONT.)	Other Indications	of Hvdrology: (check all that apply and describe	
Section II. Indicators of Hydrology	Site inundate	1;	
1. Soil Survey	Depth to free	water in observation hole: 8 inches	
Is there a published soil survey for this site? -	Depth to soil	saturation in observation hole: Surface	
title/date: - man number: -	□ Water marks:		
soil type mapped: - hydric soil inclusions: -	Drift lines:		
Are field observations consistent with soil survev? -	C Sediment der	osits:	
Remarks: -	Drainage patt	erns in BVW:	1
	Oxidized rhize	spheres:	
	🛛 Water-stained	l leaves:	
2. Soil Description Horizon Depth (inches) Matrix Color Mottle (	Color	a (stream, lake, or tidal gauge; aerial photo; other)	Ι
A 0-8 10 YR 2/1 Mucky Loam - B 8-16 10 YR 5/2 Sandy Loam 10 YR 6	1, 7.5 YR 5/6 Other:		
	Vegetation and H	/drology Conclusion yes no	
	Number of wetland ≥ number of non-w	indicator plants	
Remarks: Terminated at 16 inches.	Wetland hydrology	present:	
	hydric soil p	resent	
3. Other: -	other indicat	ors of hydrology present	
Conclusion: Is soil Hydric? Yes	Sample location	is in a BVW	
	Submit this form with the Requ	est for Determination of Applicability or Notice of Intent.	1

Page 4

# National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette







FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for

unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for

regulatory purposes.





## EcoTec, Inc. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING SERVICES 102 Grove Street Worcester, MA 01605-2629 508-752-9666 – Fax: 508-752-9494

## John P. Rockwood, Ph.D., SPWS Principal Environmental Scientist

Dr. John P. Rockwood has been a Staff Scientist with EcoTec, Inc. since October 1999. He was previously a Chief Environmental Scientist at Sanford Ecological Services, Inc. of Southborough, Massachusetts from September 1990 to October 1999. Dr. Rockwood was certified in August 2002 and recertified in March 2008, January 2013, and June 2018 as a Professional Wetland Scientist (PWS) by the Society of Wetland Scientists Professional Certification Program (SWSPCP), and in April 2020, he was made a Senior Professional Wetland Scientist (SPWS) by the SWSPCP. His project experience includes wetland resource evaluation, delineation, and permitting at the local, state, and federal levels; wildlife habitat evaluation; pond and stream evaluation; vernal pool evaluation, certification, construction/replication, and monitoring; rare species habitat and impact assessment; wetland replacement, replication, and restoration area design, construction, and monitoring; invasive species removal and treatment protocols and monitoring; and expert testimony preparation. He has served as a consultant to municipalities, conservation commissions, the development community, engineering and survey firms, industry, and citizen's groups. He has managed and participated in a wide variety of wetlands-related projects ranging in scope from single-family house lots to subdivisions, commercial developments, mixed use developments, golf courses, a water park, MBTA commuter train station, and a regional mall. He has assessed the potential impacts of stormwater runoff, landfill leachate, and/or hazardous waste disposal sites on rare vertebrate and/or invertebrate species, and has conducted and/or directed surveys, delineated actual habitat, conducted habitat evaluations, and/or developed mitigation strategies necessary to protect rare vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant species and their habitats from proposed development-related impacts. He has designed and conducted drift fence studies for rare vertebrates. He has conducted and led preconstruction sweeps for the spotted turtle, wood turtle, and eastern box turtle. He has filed MESA Project Review Checklists for numerous species and has prepared applications for Conservation and Management Permits and Amendments for the eastern box turtle and marbled salamander under MESA. He has submitted rare animal and plant observation forms to NHESP for several vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant species. He has conducted environmental impact assessments and has prepared MEPA documentation related to an office park, an MBTA commuter train station, water park, residential subdivisions, skating rink facility, landfill, and regional mall. Dr. Rockwood also has extensive experience in environmental site assessment related to possible oil and/or hazardous material contamination. He has conducted numerous environmental assessments, several including subsurface investigations, for sites located in Massachusetts, and has conducted preliminary environmental assessments for properties located in New York, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island. He has conducted ecological risk assessments (i.e., Stage I Environmental Screenings and Stage II Environmental Risk Characterizations) for a number of disposal sites in Massachusetts, including several disposal sites that had the potential to affect state-listed vertebrate and invertebrate species, and has utilized the EPA Rapid Bioassessment Protocol for macroinvertebrates to assess potential impacts of disposal sites and hazardous material releases on streams and rivers in Massachusetts and New York. He has served as the environmental contractor to the Franklin Consolidated Office of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC-FCO) for 16 months, where he reviewed environmental reports, prepared scopes-of-work for site assessments, and provided technical advice to FDIC employees related to environmentally compromised assets. Dr. Rockwood has designed, conducted, and evaluated numerous surface water and groundwater monitoring programs. His prior research includes laboratory studies of the effects of low pH and aluminum on dragonfly nymphs and a field survey of the impact of chlorinated sewerage effluent on algal periphyton community dynamics. Dr. Rockwood is the co-author of a textbook on aquatic biology and is the principal author of three peerreviewed research publications in the field of aquatic toxicology that address the effect of low pH and aluminum on nymphs of the dragonfly Libellula julia. Dr. Rockwood served as the as the Editor of the AMWS Newsletter from November 2004 to October 2010 and as Assistant Editor from May 2003 to November 2004 and October 2010 to January 2012. He served as President of the Association of Massachusetts Wetland Scientists from November 2013 to December 2015 and as Immediate Past President from December 2015 to December 2017. He was twice awarded by AMWS with their President's Award.

Education:	Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.): Aquatic Pollution Biology – Plant and Soil Sciences University of Massachusetts at Amherst, 1989 Bachelor of Science (B.S.): Environmental Sciences, <i>Summa Cum Laude</i> University of Massachusetts at Amherst, 1984
Professional Affiliations:	Society for Freshwater Science Sigma Xi, Full Member Association of Massachusetts Wetland Scientists, Voting Member Society of Wetland Scientists Massachusetts Association of Conservation Commissions
Certifications:	Society of Wetlands Scientists Senior Professional Wetland Scientist, Certification Number 1349 OSHA Health and Safety Training, 40-Hour Training, 29 CFR 1910.120 OSHA Health and Safety Training, 8-Hour Supervisor Training OSHA Health and Safety Training, 8-Hour Refresher Training






#### NOTIFICATION TO ABUTTERS BOSTON CONSERVATION COMMISSION

In accordance with the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act, Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 131, Section 40, and the Boston Wetlands Ordinance, you are hereby notified as an abutter to a project filed with the Boston Conservation Commission.

A. **1817 River Street LLC** has filed a Notice of Intent with the Boston Conservation Commission seeking permission to alter an Area Subject to Protection under the Wetlands Protection Act (General Laws Chapter 131, section 40) and Boston Wetlands Ordinance.

B. The address of the lot where the activity is proposed is <u>1817 River Street (Lots A, B & C)</u>, <u>Hyde Park</u>, MA Construction of a 1-family house, a 2-family house, both with associated site features, C. The project involves along with parking improvements at the existing 3-family house at 1817 River Street.

D. Copies of the Notice of Intent may be obtained by contacting the Boston Conservation Commission at **CC@boston.gov**.

E. Copies of the Notice of Intent may be obtained from <u>Norwood Engineering Co., Inc.</u> by contacting them at 1410 Route One, Norwood, MA 02062 between the hours of <u>9 AM & 3 PM Mon-Fri</u>, (781-762-0143)

F. In accordance with the Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021, the public hearing will take place **virtually** at <u>https://zoom.us/j/6864582044</u>. If you are unable to access the internet, you can call 1-929-205-6099, enter Meeting ID 686 458 2044 # and use # as your participant ID.

G. Information regarding the date and time of the public hearing may be obtained from the **Boston Conservation Commission** by emailing <u>CC@boston.gov</u> or calling (617) 635-3850 between the hours of 9 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Friday.

NOTE: Notice of the public hearing, including its date, time, and place, will be published at least five (5) days in advance in the **Boston Herald**.

NOTE: Notice of the public hearing, including its date, time, and place, will be posted on <u>www.boston.gov/public-notices</u> and in Boston City Hall not less than forty-eight (48) hours in advance. If you would like to provide comments, you may attend the public hearing or send written comments to <u>CC@boston.gov</u> or Boston City Hall, Environment Department, Room 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

NOTE: If you would like to provide comments, you may attend the public hearing or send written comments to <u>CC@boston.gov</u> or Boston City Hall, Environment Department, Room 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

NOTE: You also may contact the Boston Conservation Commission or the Department of Environmental Protection Northeast Regional Office for more information about this application or the Wetlands Protection Act. To contact DEP, call: the Northeast Region: (978) 694–3200.

NOTE: If you plan to attend the public hearing and are in need of interpretation, please notify staff at <u>CC@boston.gov</u> by 12 PM the day before the hearing.

# **CITY** of **BOSTON**

1 CITY HALL SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02201-2021 | ROOM 709 | 617-635-3850 | CC@BOSTON.GOV





#### NOTIFICACIÓN PARA PROPIETARIOS Y/O VECINOS COLINDANTES COMISIÓN DE CONSERVACIÓN DE BOSTON

De conformidad con la Ley de protección de los humedales de Massachusetts, el Capítulo 131, Sección 40 de las Leyes Generales de Massachusetts y la Ordenanza sobre los humedales de Boston, por la presente queda usted notificado como propietario o vecino colindante de un proyecto presentado ante la Comisión de Conservación de Boston.

- A. **1817** River Street LLC ha presentado una solicitud a la Comisión de Conservación de Boston pidiendo permiso para modificar una zona sujeta a protección en virtud de la Ley de protección de los humedales (Leyes generales, capítulo 131, sección 40) y la Ordenanza sobre los humedales de Boston.
- B. La dirección del lote donde se propone la actividad es 1817 River Street (Lotes A, B, C), Hyde Park, MA.
- C. El proyecto consiste en la construcción de una vivienda para 1 y 2 familias, ambas con características de diseño similares, y la ejecución de mejoras en el sector de estacionamiento en la vivienda para 3 familias ya existente, sita en 1817 River Street.
- D. Se pueden obtener copias del Aviso de Intención comunicándose con la Comisión de Conservación de Boston en <u>CC@boston.gov</u>.
- E. Las copias de la notificación de intención pueden obtenerse en Norwood Engineering Co., Inc., sita en 1410
  Route 1, Norwood, MA 02062 entre las 9 AM y las 3 PM, de lunes a viernes, (781-762-0143).
- F. De acuerdo con el Decreto Ejecutivo de la Mancomunidad de Massachusetts que suspende ciertas disposiciones de la Ley de reuniones abiertas, la audiencia pública se llevará a cabo virtualmente en <u>https://zoom.us/j/6864582044</u>. Si no puede acceder a Internet, puede llamar al 1-929-2056099, ingresar ID de reunión 686 458 2044 # y usar # como su ID de participante.
- G. La información relativa a la fecha y hora de la audiencia pública puede solicitarse a la **Comisión de Conservación** de **Boston** por correo electrónico a <u>CC@boston.gov</u> o llamando al (617) 635-4416 entre las 9 AM y las 5 PM, de lunes a viernes.

NOTA: La notificación de la audiencia pública, incluida su fecha, hora y lugar, se publicará en el **Boston Herald** con al menos cinco (5) días de antelación.

NOTA: La notificación de la audiencia pública, incluida su fecha, hora y lugar, se publicará en <u>www.boston.gov/public-notices</u> y en el Ayuntamiento de Boston con no menos de cuarenta y ocho (48) horas de antelación. Si desea formular comentarios, puede asistir a la audiencia pública o enviarlos por escrito a <u>CC@boston.gov</u> o al Ayuntamiento de Boston, Departamento de Medio Ambiente, Sala 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201.

NOTA: También puede comunicarse con la Comisión de Conservación de Boston o con la Oficina Regional del Noreste del Departamento de Protección Ambiental para obtener más información sobre esta solicitud o la Ley de Protección de Humedales. Para comunicarse con el DEP, llame a la Región Noreste: (978) 694-3200.

# CITY of **BOSTON**





NOTA: Si tiene previsto asistir a la audiencia pública y necesita servicios de interpretación, sírvase informar al personal en <u>CC@boston.gov</u> antes de las 12 PM del día anterior a la audiencia.





#### AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE FOR ABUTTER NOTIFICATION

#### Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and Boston Wetlands Ordinance

I, Matthew D. Smith \_\_\_\_\_, hereby certify under pains and penalties of perjury that that at least one week prior to the public hearing, I gave notice to abutters in compliance with the second paragraph of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 131, section 40, and the DEP Guide to Abutter Notification dated April 8, 1994, in connection with the following matter:

Notice of Intent

Notice of Intent A Please-Select Application Type- was filed under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and /or the Boston Wetlands Ordinance by HUB Development LLC the construction of a 1-family house, a 2-family house, both with associated site features, along with parking<sup>Or</sup> improvements at the existing 3- family house at 1817 River Street (Lots A, B & C), Hyde Park, Massachusetts located at \_1817 River Street (Lots A, B & C), Hyde Park, Massachusetts

The Abutter Notification For, the list of abutters to whom it was given, and their addresses are attached to this Affidavit of Service.

non

Name

6/8/22 Date

**Translator Affidavit** 

Regarding the <u>1817 River Street</u> (Lots A, B, C), Hyde Park, MA I make the following Affidavit.

- Boston Linguistics MA is listed in the Boston Office of Language and Communication Access online list of translators.
- Boston Linguistics MA prepared the attached translation for <u>1817 River</u> <u>Street</u> (Lots A, B, C), Hyde Park, MA

**Boston Linguistics MA** 

DATE : 06/02/2022



#### BABEL NOTICE

#### English:

**IMPORTANT!** This document or application contains <u>important information</u> about your rights, responsibilities and/or benefits. It is crucial that you understand the information in this document and/or application, and we will provide the information in your preferred language at no cost to you. If you need them, please contact us at <u>cc@boston.gov</u> or 617-635-3850. Spanish:

**¡IMPORTANTE!** Este documento o solicitud contiene **información importante** sobre sus derechos, responsabilidades y/o beneficios. Es fundamental que usted entienda la información contenida en este documento y/o solicitud, y le proporcionaremos la información en su idioma preferido sin costo alguno para usted. Si los necesita, póngase en contacto con nosotros en el correo electrónico cc@boston.gov o llamando al 617-635-3850.

Haitian Creole:

**AVI ENPÒTAN!** Dokiman oubyen aplikasyon sa genyen <u>enfòmasyon ki enpòtan</u> konsènan dwa, responsablite, ak/oswa benefis ou yo. Li enpòtan ke ou konprann enfòmasyon ki nan dokiman ak/oubyen aplikasyon sa, e n ap bay enfòmasyon an nan lang ou prefere a, san ou pa peye anyen. Si w bezwen yo, tanpri kontakte nou nan <u>cc@boston.gov</u> oswa 617-635-3850. Traditional Chinese:

**非常重要!**這份文件或是申請表格包含關於您的權利,責任,和/或福利的重要信息。請您務必完全理解 這份文件或申請表格的全部信息,這對我們來說十分重要。我們會免費給您提供翻譯服務。如果您有需要 請聯糸我們的郵箱 <u>cc@boston.gov</u> 電話# 617-635-3850..

#### Vietnamese:

**QUAN TRỌNG!** Tài liệu hoặc đơn yêu cầu này chứa **thông tin quan trọng** về các quyền, trách nhiệm và/hoặc lợi ích của bạn. Việc bạn hiểu rõ thông tin trong tài liệu và/hoặc đơn yêu cầu này rất quan trọng, và chúng tôi sẽ cung cấp thông tin bằng ngôn ngữ bạn muốn mà không tính phí. Nếu quý vị cần những dịch vụ này, vui lòng liên lạc với chúng tôi theo địa chỉ <u>cc@boston.gov</u> hoặc số điện thoại 617-635-3850.

Simplified Chinese:

**非常重要!**这份文件或是申请表格包含关于您的权利,责任,和/或福利的重要信息。请您务必完全理解 这份文件或申请表格的全部信息,这对我们来说十分重要。我们会免费给您提供翻译服务。如果您有需要 请联糸我们的邮箱 <u>cc@boston.gov</u> 电话# 617-635-3850.

# CITY of BOSTON

Cape Verdean Creole:

**INPURTANTI**! Es dukumentu ó aplikason ten <u>informason inpurtanti</u> sobri bu direitus, rasponsabilidadis i/ó benefísius. Ê krusial ki bu intendi informason na es dukumentu i/ó aplikason ó nu ta da informason na língua di bu preferênsia sen ninhun kustu pa bó. Si bu prisiza del, kontata-nu na <u>cc@boston.gov</u> ó 617-635-3850.

#### Arabic:

مهم! يحتوي هذا المستند أو التطبيق على معلومات مهمة حول حقوقك ومسؤولياتك أو فواندك. من الأهمية أن تفهم المعلومات الواردة في هذا المستند أو التطبيق. سوف نقدم المعلومات بلغتك المفضلة دون أي تكلفة عليك. إذا كنت في حاجة إليها، يرجى الاتصال بنا على <u>cc@boston.gov</u> أو .<u>cc@boston.gov</u>

#### Russian:

**ВАЖНО!** В этом документе или заявлении содержится **важная информация** о ваших правах, обязанностях и/или льготах. Для нас очень важно, чтобы вы понимали приведенную в этом документе и/или заявлении информацию, и мы готовы бесплатно предоставить вам информацию на предпочитаемом вами языке. Если Вам они нужны, просьба связаться с нами по адресу электронной почты <u>cc@boston.gov</u>, либо по телефону 617-635-3850. Portuguese:

**IMPORTANTE!** Este documento ou aplicativo contém **Informações importantes** sobre os seus direitos, responsabilidades e/ou benefícios. É importante que você compreenda as informações contidas neste documento e/ou aplicativo, e nós iremos fornecer as informações em seu idioma de preferência sem nenhum custo para você. Se precisar deles, fale conosco: <u>cc@boston.gov</u> ou 617-635-3850.

#### French:

**IMPORTANT** ! Ce document ou cette demande contient des <u>informations importantes</u> concernant vos droits, responsabilités et/ou avantages. Il est essentiel que vous compreniez les informations contenues dans ce document et/ou cette demande, que nous pouvons vous communiquer gratuitement dans la langue de votre choix. Si vous en avez besoin, veuillez nous contacter à <u>cc@boston.gov</u> ou au 617-635-3850.



# **CITY** of **BOSTON**

# Pre-Development & Post-Development Stormwater Calculations 1817 River Street Boston, Massachusetts

Prepared For Anthony Ferrara 1817 River Street LLC 394 Washington Street Unit B Dedham, MA, 02026



Prepared By Norwood Engineering Co., Inc. 1410 Route One Norwood, Ma 02062



May 26, 2022

# **Table of Contents**

- Stormwater Management Summary
- Exhibit #1 Drainage Summary
- Existing Drainage Area Plan
- Proposed Drainage Area Plan
- Existing Drainage Calculations
- Proposed Drainage Calculations
- Soils Information
- Soil Test Data
- Rainfall Data

# **Stormwater Management Summary**

In the existing condition, there is an existing 3-family house with an existing paved driveway, gravel area and grass area. This area (E1) flows untreated and un-detained overland to the rear of the lot and towards the wetland and towards Mother Brook.

In the proposed condition, there will be a new Lot A for the existing 3 family house. The driveway to the existing 3-family house will be modified. The higher portions of the driveway with have a grass berm to direct the flow to the lower areas of the driveway. The driveway will have an infiltration trench along the low areas of the driveway to treat and infiltrate the paved area. This drainage will then flow overland over grass towards the wetland and toward Mother Brook. This infiltration trench and grass area will provide the 80% TSS required. This area is a portion of the (P1) proposed overland drainage area.

The remainder of the property will have 2 additional Lots, Lot B and Lot C which will have a 21' wide driveway from River Street that is split by the lot line. This driveway will service 2 parking areas to the rear of each of the lots. This driveway will have a deep sump catch basin with a hood that flow to 20 Cultec R-280HD infiltration chambers. The treatment of the deep sump catch basin and the infiltration system will meet the necessary 80% TSS requirement. The roof from both Lot B and Lot C will also flow into the infiltration chambers. These chambers will overflow towards the wetland and Mother Brook. This is the (P2) Drainage area.

The Overland flow from Lot B and Lot C are the remainder of the (P1) drainage area.

The proposed drainage from the property will have balanced rates of flow in all storm events from the property towards the wetland and Mother Brook. The stormwater will be treated and detained meeting the requirements of the Massachusetts and City of Boston stormwater regulations and will improve the quality of water from this site towards the wetlands and Mother Brook.

The design criteria used for the calculations as well as a summary of pre and post flow rates are shown on Exhibit #1 – Drainage Summary. The infiltration volume required and the infiltration volume proposed are also shown on Exhibit #1.

# Exhibit #1 – Drainage Summary

Existing Lots / Drainage Areas = 21,328 s.f. Proposed Lots / Drainage Areas = 21,328 s.f.

# Soil Types = "A" soils

Ground Cover includes: Gravel, Pavement, Roofs and Grass

# **Infiltration Rates Used**

Soils classified as "626 B" Merrimac Urban Land complex Sandy Loam "A" Soils Rawls Rate of 1.02 in/hr has been used for the "A" soils for infiltration calculations. Soil testing has been done in the areas of the infiltration systems and the soils consist of Sandy Loam "A" soils. This soil data and test hole locations are shown on the site plan set and information provided at the end of this report.

# **Infiltration Volume Required**

Proposed Impervious Area (pavement, roofs and walks) = 10,510.s.f.<u>Massachusetts Stormwater Recharge Requirement</u> 10,510 s.f. x .6" = 10,510 s.f x .6"/12" = 525.5 c.f. recharge required<u>City of Boston Stormwater Recharge Requirement</u> 10,510 s.f. x 1" = 10,510 x 1"/12" = 875.8 c.f. recharge required

# **Infiltration Volume Provided**

The total recharge volume provided in the infiltration systems is 1,466.5 c.f. This system also totally recharges the 2-year storm event for the P2 Drainage area. This provides a storage volume equal to 1.67" over the total proposed impervious area of 10,150 s.f.

# Stone Void Ratio Used = 0.40 (40% voids)

# Drainage Runoff Rates Summary Table

The NOAA Atlas 14 Precipitation Rates were used. Using TR55 Calculations the following results were obtained:

Storm Event (inches)	Total (E1)	Total (E1)	Total (P1 and P2)	Total (P1 and P2)
	Existing Rate	Existing	Proposed Rate	Proposed Volume
	Rear of	Volume Rear	Rear of Property	To Rear of
	Property	of Property		Property
1 Year Storm (2.75")	0.04 cfs	0.008 a.f.	0.02 cfs	0.004 a.f.
2 Year Storm (3.39")	0.14 cfs	0.017 a.f.	0.06 cfs	0.009 a.f.
10 Year Storm (5.30")	0.72 cfs	0.055 a.f.	0.38 cfs	0.046 a.f.
25 Year Storm (6.49")	1.18 cfs	0.086 a.f.	0.92cfs	0.079 a.f.
100 Year Storm (8.33")	1.97 cfs	0.138 a.f.	1.94 cfs	0.134 a.f.



5	CURB CUT	63.13	63	3.02	CURB_CUT		GRANITE CURB	62.91	CURB CUT		,62.89 62.
		0VERHEAL 53.61	O WRĒS				O			0	
		CONCRE	TE SIDEWALK								CONCRETE SIDEWA
63.72	63.6	3		63.60		63.72		63.61		63.51	
	DRIVEWAY			Ň	DRIVEWAY	*			DRIVEWAY		
				I							





5,	CURB CUT	63.13		63.02	CURB_CUT	562.97	GRANITE CURB	62.91	CURB CUT		<i>,</i> 62.89	62.
		×63.61	OVERHEAD WRES				 UPOLE			-7		SIDEWA
(0.70		(2.(2)	CONCRETE SIDEWALK	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )		(2.72		62.61		(0.54		
63.72		63,63		63.60		63.72		63.61		¥ 63.51		
	DRIVEWAY				DRIVEWAY				DRI VE WA Y			
			PARCEL ROGER 1812 F 2-FAM	12505-000 N/F LAZDDOWSKY RIVER STREET ILY DWELLING			PARCEL 12506-000 N/F WHITING AVENUE LLC 1808 RIVER STREET 2-FAMILY DWELLING	PAI 5 1 <sup>,</sup>	RCEL 12507-01 N/F SUN 0 NORTON STREET -FAMILY DWELLING	0 —⁄		

# <u>ADDRESS:</u> 1817 RIVER STREET

<u>OWNER:</u>

MAP No. 18236 PARCEL 12374-000

	OWNER/APPLI 1817 RIVER S 394 WASHING DEDHAM, MA	<u>CANT:</u> STREET LLC STON ST — U 02026	NIT B
TREET	<u>CONTACT:</u> TONY FERRAR	A 617-438-	2171
<u>NCE:</u> ) 18			
PR	OPOSED ARFA	DRAIN. PLAN	AGE
18 0 0 0 0	B17 RIVE	ER STRE	
RO2	SION	, M <i>i</i>	122.
(HYDE scal	PARK — E: 1"=10'	02136- мау 26,	-6036) <sup>2022</sup>
NORWO CIVIL E 1410 RO PHONE: 78	D ENGINI NGINEERS & UTE ONE, 1 31–762–0143	EERING C LAND SUR NORWOOD, I FAX 781	O., INC. VEYORS MA 02062 -762-8595
METERS FEET 0	2.5	5 	10 30
SHEET No.	1 OF 1		7876-35



## Area Listing (all nodes)

Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
0.330	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A (E1)
0.077	96	Gravel surface, HSG A (E1)
0.083	98	Pave, Roof, Walk, HSG A (E1)
0.490	58	TOTAL AREA

## Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.490	HSG A	E1
0.000	HSG B	
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	
0.490		TOTAL AREA

## Ground Covers (all nodes)

 HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcatchment Numbers
 0.330	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.330	>75% Grass cover, Good	E1
0.077	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.077	Gravel surface	E1
0.083	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.083	Pave, Roof, Walk	E1
0.490	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.490	TOTAL AREA	

7876-35 Existing	Type III 24-hr 1 Year Storm Rainfall=2.77"
Prepared by na	Printed 6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 HydroCA	AD Software Solutions LLC Page 5

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREARunoff Area=21,328 sf16.86% ImperviousRunoff Depth=0.20"Flow Length=100'Tc=5.1 minCN=58Runoff=0.04 cfs0.008 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=0.04 cfs 0.008 af Primary=0.04 cfs 0.008 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 acRunoff Volume = 0.008 afAverage Runoff Depth = 0.20"83.14% Pervious = 0.407 ac16.86% Impervious = 0.083 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA

Runoff = 0.04 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume= 0.008 af, Depth= 0.20"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 1 Year Storm Rainfall=2.77"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description								
		14,359	39	>75% Gras	% Grass cover, Good, HSG A							
		3,373	96	Gravel surf	avel surface, HSG A							
*		3,596	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS	GA						
		21,328	58	Weighted A	verage							
		17,732		83.14% Pe	rvious Area							
		3,596		16.86% Imp	pervious Ar	ea						
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description						
(	min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)							
	4.4	50	0.0860	0.19		Sheet Flow, A-B						
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"						
	0.0	15	0.1460	6.15		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C						
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps						
	0.7	35	0.0030	0.88		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D						
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps						
	5.1	100	Total									

#### Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA



#### Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow Ar	ea =	0.490 ac, 16.86% Impervious, In	flow Depth = 0.20" for 1 Year Storm event
Inflow	=	0.04 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume=	0.008 af
Primary	=	0.04 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume=	0.008 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

#### Hydrograph Inflow Primary 0.044 0.04 0.042 Inflow Area=0.490 ac 0.04 cfs 0.04 0.038 0.036 0.034 0.032 0.03 0.028 0.026 Flow (cfs) 0.024 0.022 0.02 0.018 0.016 0.014 0.012 0.01 0.008 0.006 0.004 0.002 0 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours)

#### Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

7876-35 Existing	Type III 24-hr 2 Year Storm Rainfall=3.41"
Prepared by na	Printed 6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 HydroC/	AD Software Solutions LLC Page 8

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREARunoff Area=21,328 sf16.86% ImperviousRunoff Depth=0.42"Flow Length=100'Tc=5.1 minCN=58Runoff=0.14 cfs0.017 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=0.14 cfs 0.017 af Primary=0.14 cfs 0.017 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 acRunoff Volume = 0.017 afAverage Runoff Depth = 0.42"83.14% Pervious = 0.407 ac16.86% Impervious = 0.083 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA

Runoff = 0.14 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.017 af, Depth= 0.42"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2 Year Storm Rainfall=3.41"

	A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description							
		14,359	39 :	>75% Gras	o Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
		3,373	96	Gravel surfa	vel surface, HSG A						
*		3,596	98	Pave, Roof	ve, Roof, Walk, HSG A						
		21,328	58	8 Weighted Average							
		17,732	8	33.14% Pei	vious Area						
		3,596		16.86% Imp	pervious Ar	ea					
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description					
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)						
	4.4	50	0.0860	0.19		Sheet Flow, A-B					
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"					
	0.0	15	0.1460	6.15		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C					
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps					
	0.7	35	0.0030	0.88		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D					
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps					

5.1 100 Total

#### Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA



#### Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow A	rea =	0.490 ac, 16.86% Impervious, Inflow D	Depth = 0.42"	for 2 Year Storm event
Inflow	=	0.14 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume=	0.017 af	
Primary	=	0.14 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume=	0.017 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

7876-35 Existing	Type III 24-hr 10 Year Storm Rainfall=5.33"
Prepared by na	Printed 6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 207	8 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 11

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREARunoff Area=21,328 sf16.86% ImperviousRunoff Depth=1.35"Flow Length=100'Tc=5.1 minCN=58Runoff=0.72 cfs0.055 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=0.72 cfs 0.055 af Primary=0.72 cfs 0.055 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 ac Runoff Volume = 0.055 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.35" 83.14% Pervious = 0.407 ac 16.86% Impervious = 0.083 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA

Runoff = 0.72 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.055 af, Depth= 1.35"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10 Year Storm Rainfall=5.33"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description						
		14,359	39	9 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
		3,373	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	N .				
*		3,596	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS	G A				
		21,328	58	Weighted A	verage					
		17,732		83.14% Per	vious Area					
		3,596		16.86% Imp	pervious Are	ea				
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description				
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	4.4	50	0.0860	0.19		Sheet Flow, A-B				
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"				
	0.0	15	0.1460	6.15		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C				
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				
	0.7	35	0.0030	0.88		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D				
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				

5.1 100 Total

#### Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA



#### Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow Are	a =	0.490 ac, 1	6.86% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Dep	oth = 1	.35" for 10	Year Storm event
Inflow	=	0.72 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	= 0	).055 af		
Primary	=	0.72 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	= 0	).055 af	, Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

7876-35 Existing	Type III 24-hr 25 Year Storm Rainfall=6.53'
Prepared by na	Printed 6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 Hydro(	AD Software Solutions LLC Page 14

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREARunoff Area=21,328 sf16.86% ImperviousRunoff Depth=2.10"Flow Length=100'Tc=5.1 minCN=58Runoff=1.18 cfs0.086 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=1.18 cfs 0.086 af Primary=1.18 cfs 0.086 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 acRunoff Volume = 0.086 afAverage Runoff Depth = 2.10"83.14% Pervious = 0.407 ac16.86% Impervious = 0.083 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA

Runoff = 1.18 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.086 af, Depth= 2.10"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 Year Storm Rainfall=6.53"

	A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description						
		14,359	39 :	39 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
		3,373	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	N Contraction of the second seco				
*		3,596	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	G A				
		21,328	58	Weighted A	verage		_			
		17,732	8	33.14% Pei	rvious Area					
		3,596	596 16.86% Impervious Area							
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	4.4	50	0.0860	0.19		Sheet Flow, A-B				
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"				
	0.0	15	0.1460	6.15		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C				
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				
	0.7	35	0.0030	0.88		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D				
_						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				

5.1 100 Total

#### Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA



#### Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow A	rea =	0.490 ac, 16.86% Impervious, Inflo	ow Depth = 2.10" for 25 Year Storm even	t
Inflow	=	1.18 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.086 af	
Primary	=	1.18 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.086 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min	

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



## Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA	Runoff Area=21,3	328 sf 16.86	% Impervious	Runoff Depth=3.38"
	Flow Length=100'	Tc=5.1 min	CN=58 Run	off=1.97 cfs 0.138 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=1.97 cfs 0.138 af Primary=1.97 cfs 0.138 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 acRunoff Volume = 0.138 afAverage Runoff Depth = 3.38"83.14% Pervious = 0.407 ac16.86% Impervious = 0.083 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA

Runoff = 1.97 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.138 af, Depth= 3.38"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 Year Storm Rainfall=8.37"

	A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description						
		14,359	39 :	39 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
		3,373	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	N Contraction of the second seco				
*		3,596	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	G A				
		21,328	58	Weighted A	verage		_			
		17,732	8	33.14% Pei	rvious Area					
		3,596	596 16.86% Impervious Area							
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	4.4	50	0.0860	0.19		Sheet Flow, A-B				
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"				
	0.0	15	0.1460	6.15		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C				
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				
	0.7	35	0.0030	0.88		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D				
_						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				

5.1 100 Total

#### Subcatchment E1: EXISTING AREA



#### Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow A	rea =	0.490 ac, 16.86% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 3.38" for 100 Year Storm even	t
Inflow	=	1.97 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.138 af	
Primary	=	1.97 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.138 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min	

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY



## Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.233	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A (P1, P2)
0.015	39	Open Deck and Landscaping, Good, HSG A (P2)
0.241	98	Pave, Roof, Walk, HSG A (P1, P2)
0.490	68	TOTAL AREA

## Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.490	HSG A	P1, P2
0.000	HSG B	
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	
0.490		TOTAL AREA
**7876-35 Proposed** Prepared by na HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

# Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatc
 (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Number
 0.233	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.233	>75% Grass cover, Good	
0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.015	Open Deck and Landscaping, Good	
0.241	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.241	Pave, Roof, Walk	
0.490	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.490	TOTAL AREA	

7876-35 Proposed	Type III 24-hr 1 Year Storm Rainfall=2.77'
Prepared by na	Printed 6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 HydroC	AD Software Solutions LLC Page 5

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND Runoff Area=13,469 sf 28.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.16" Flow Length=110' Tc=5.7 min CN=56 Runoff=0.02 cfs 0.004 af

Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO Runoff Area=7,859 sf 85.46% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.69" Tc=6.0 min CN=89 Runoff=0.36 cfs 0.025 af

Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM Peak Elev=57.63' Storage=534 cf Inflow=0.36 cfs 0.025 af Discarded=0.02 cfs 0.025 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.02 cfs 0.025 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=0.02 cfs 0.004 af Primary=0.02 cfs 0.004 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 acRunoff Volume = 0.030 afAverage Runoff Depth = 0.72"50.72% Pervious = 0.248 ac49.28% Impervious = 0.241 ac

### Summary for Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND

Runoff = 0.02 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume= 0.004 af, Depth= 0.16"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 1 Year Storm Rainfall=2.77"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
		9,675	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A					
*		3,794	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	G A					
		13,469	56	56 Weighted Average							
		9,675		71.83% Pei	vious Area						
		3,794		28.17% Impervious Area							
	_										
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description					
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)						
	5.5	50	0.0500	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B					
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"					
	0.2	60	0.0650	) 4.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C					
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps					
	57	110	Total								

## Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND



## Summary for Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS

Runoff = 0.36 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.025 af, Depth= 1.69"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 1 Year Storm Rainfall=2.77"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description					
	487	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A			
*	656	39	Open Deck	and Lands	caping, Good, HSG A			
*	6,716	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	GÁ			
	7,859	89	Weighted A	verage				
	1,143		14.54% Pervious Area					
	6,716		85.46% Imp	pervious Are	ea			
T (min	c Length ) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
6.	0				Direct Entry,			

# Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS



# Summary for Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

Inflow Area =		0.180 ac, 8	5.46% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	1.6	69" foi	<sup>-</sup> 1 Ye	ar Stor	m event
Inflow	=	0.36 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.025	af				
Outflow	=	0.02 cfs @	14.29 hrs,	Volume	=	0.025	af,	Atten=	94%,	Lag=	132.0 min
Discarded	=	0.02 cfs @	14.29 hrs,	Volume	=	0.025	af				
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume	=	0.000	af				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 57.63' @ 14.29 hrs Surf.Area= 731 sf Storage= 534 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 262.7 min calculated for 0.025 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 262.7 min (1,079.7 - 817.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	56.50'	586 cf	23.58'W x 31.00'L x 3.21'H Field A
			2,346 cf Overall - 880 cf Embedded = 1,465 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	57.00'	880 cf	Cultec R-280HD x 20 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf
			Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows
-		1 466 cf	Total Available Storage

1,466 cf Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	56.50'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Wetted area
#2	Primary	58.50'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#3	Primary	59.60'	4.0' long x 0.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00
			Coef. (English) 2.80 2.92 3.08 3.30 3.32

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.02 cfs @ 14.29 hrs HW=57.63' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=56.50' (Free Discharge) -2=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A

#### Chamber Model = Cultec R-280HD (Cultec Recharger® 280HD)

Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows

47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 53.0" C-C Row Spacing

4 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long +1.00' Row Adjustment = 29.00' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 31.00' Base Length 5 Rows x 47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 23.58' Base Width 6.0" Base + 26.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.21' Field Height

20 Chambers x 42.5 cf +1.00' Row Adjustment x 6.07 sf x 5 Rows = 880.4 cf Chamber Storage

2,345.6 cf Field - 880.4 cf Chambers = 1,465.2 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 586.1 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 1,466.5 cf = 0.034 afOverall Storage Efficiency = 62.5%Overall System Size =  $31.00' \times 23.58' \times 3.21'$ 

20 Chambers 86.9 cy Field 54.3 cy Stone





# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM



# Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Page 11

Inflow Are	ea =	0.490 ac, 49.28% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 0.10" for 1 Y	ear Storm event
Inflow	=	0.02 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume=	0.004 af	
Primary	=	0.02 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume=	0.004 af, Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

7876-35 Proposed	Type III 24-hr 2 Year Storm Rainfall=3.41'
Prepared by na	Printed 6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 HydroCAD So	oftware Solutions LLC Page 12

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND Runoff Area=13,469 sf 28.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.35" Flow Length=110' Tc=5.7 min CN=56 Runoff=0.06 cfs 0.009 af

Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO Runoff Area=7,859 sf 85.46% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.27" Tc=6.0 min CN=89 Runoff=0.48 cfs 0.034 af

Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM Peak Elev=58.07' Storage=788 cf Inflow=0.48 cfs 0.034 af Discarded=0.02 cfs 0.034 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.02 cfs 0.034 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=0.06 cfs 0.009 af Primary=0.06 cfs 0.009 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 ac Runoff Volume = 0.043 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.06" 50.72% Pervious = 0.248 ac 49.28% Impervious = 0.241 ac

## Summary for Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND

Runoff = 0.06 cfs @ 12.14 hrs, Volume= 0.009 af, Depth= 0.35"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2 Year Storm Rainfall=3.41"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
		9,675	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A							
*		3,794	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	GA					
		13,469	56	56 Weighted Average							
		9,675		71.83% Pei	rvious Area						
		3,794	28.17% Impervious Area								
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description					
(	min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)						
	5.5	50	0.0500	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B					
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"					
	0.2	60	0.0650	) 4.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C					
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps					
	5.7	110	Total								

## Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND



# Summary for Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS

Runoff = 0.48 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.034 af, Depth= 2.27"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2 Year Storm Rainfall=3.41"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description					
	487	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A			
*	656	39	Open Deck	and Lands	caping, Good, HSG A			
*	6,716	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	GÁ			
	7,859	89	Weighted A	verage				
	1,143		14.54% Pervious Area					
	6,716		85.46% Imp	pervious Are	ea			
To (min)	: Length ) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
6.0	)				Direct Entry,			

# Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS



## Summary for Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

Inflow Area =		0.180 ac, 8	5.46% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	2.2	27" fo	r 2 Ye	ar Stor	m event
Inflow	=	0.48 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.034	af				
Outflow	=	0.02 cfs @	15.03 hrs,	Volume	=	0.034	af,	Atten=	96%,	Lag= '	176.8 min
Discarded	=	0.02 cfs @	15.03 hrs,	Volume	=	0.034	af				
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume	=	0.000	af				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 58.07' @ 15.03 hrs Surf.Area= 731 sf Storage= 788 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 371.5 min calculated for 0.034 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 371.5 min (1,180.1 - 808.6)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	56.50'	586 cf	23.58'W x 31.00'L x 3.21'H Field A
			2,346 cf Overall - 880 cf Embedded = 1,465 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	57.00'	880 cf	Cultec R-280HD x 20 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf
			Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows
		1 /66 cf	Total Available Storage

1,466 cf I otal Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	56.50'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Wetted area
#2	Primary	58.50'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#3	Primary	59.60'	4.0' long x 0.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00
			Coef. (English) 2.80 2.92 3.08 3.30 3.32

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.02 cfs @ 15.03 hrs HW=58.07' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=56.50' (Free Discharge) -2=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A

#### Chamber Model = Cultec R-280HD (Cultec Recharger® 280HD)

Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows

47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 53.0" C-C Row Spacing

4 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long +1.00' Row Adjustment = 29.00' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 31.00' Base Length 5 Rows x 47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 23.58' Base Width 6.0" Base + 26.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.21' Field Height

20 Chambers x 42.5 cf +1.00' Row Adjustment x 6.07 sf x 5 Rows = 880.4 cf Chamber Storage

2,345.6 cf Field - 880.4 cf Chambers = 1,465.2 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 586.1 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 1,466.5 cf = 0.034 afOverall Storage Efficiency = 62.5%Overall System Size =  $31.00' \times 23.58' \times 3.21'$ 

20 Chambers 86.9 cy Field 54.3 cy Stone







Time (hours)

# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

# Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow Area	a =	0.490 ac, 4	9.28% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Depth	= 0.2	22" for	2 Year Storn	n event
Inflow	=	0.06 cfs @	12.14 hrs,	Volume	= 0.0	09 af			
Primary	=	0.06 cfs @	12.14 hrs,	Volume	= 0.0	09 af,	Atten= 0	)%, Lag= 0.0	min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

7876-35 Proposed	Type III 24-hr	10 Year Storm Rain	fall=5.33"
Prepared by na		Printed	6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 HydroCAD Software	Solutions LLC		Page 19

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND Runoff Area=13,469 sf 28.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.22" Flow Length=110' Tc=5.7 min CN=56 Runoff=0.38 cfs 0.031 af

Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO Runoff Area=7,859 sf 85.46% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.09" Tc=6.0 min CN=89 Runoff=0.84 cfs 0.061 af

Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM Peak Elev=58.79' Storage=1,165 cf Inflow=0.84 cfs 0.061 af Discarded=0.02 cfs 0.047 af Primary=0.22 cfs 0.015 af Outflow=0.24 cfs 0.061 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=0.38 cfs 0.046 af Primary=0.38 cfs 0.046 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 ac Runoff Volume = 0.093 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.27" 50.72% Pervious = 0.248 ac 49.28% Impervious = 0.241 ac

## Summary for Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND

Runoff = 0.38 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.031 af, Depth= 1.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10 Year Storm Rainfall=5.33"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
		9,675	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A	
*		3,794	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	GA	
		13,469	56	Weighted A	verage		
		9,675		71.83% Pei	rvious Area		
		3,794		28.17% Imp	pervious Are	ea	
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(	min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	5.5	50	0.0500	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B	
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"	
	0.2	60	0.0650	4.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C	
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps	
	5.7	110	Total				

## Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND



## Summary for Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS

Runoff = 0.84 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.061 af, Depth= 4.09"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10 Year Storm Rainfall=5.33"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description					
	487	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A			
*	656	39	Open Deck	and Lands	caping, Good, HSG A			
*	6,716	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	GÁ			
	7,859	89	Weighted A	verage				
	1,143		14.54% Pervious Area					
	6,716		85.46% Imp	pervious Are	ea			
To (min	c Length ) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
6.0	)				Direct Entry,			

# Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS



### Summary for Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

Inflow Area	ı =	0.180 ac, 8	5.46% Imp	ervious,	Inflow [	Depth =	4.0	9" foi	<sup>·</sup> 10 Y	'ear Storr	n event
Inflow	=	0.84 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.061	af				
Outflow	=	0.24 cfs @	12.43 hrs,	Volume	=	0.061	af,	Atten=	72%,	Lag= 20	.5 min
Discarded	=	0.02 cfs @	12.43 hrs,	Volume	=	0.047	af				
Primary	=	0.22 cfs @	12.43 hrs,	Volume	=	0.015	af				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 58.79' @ 12.43 hrs Surf.Area= 731 sf Storage= 1,165 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 366.5 min calculated for 0.061 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 366.6 min (1,158.7 - 792.2)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	56.50'	586 cf	23.58'W x 31.00'L x 3.21'H Field A
			2,346 cf Overall - 880 cf Embedded = 1,465 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	57.00'	880 cf	Cultec R-280HD x 20 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf
			Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows
		1 466 cf	Total Available Storage

1,466 cf Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
Discarded	56.50'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Wetted area
Primary	58.50'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
Primary	59.60'	4.0' long x 0.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
		Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00
		Coef. (English) 2.80 2.92 3.08 3.30 3.32
	Routing Discarded Primary Primary	RoutingInvertDiscarded56.50'Primary58.50'Primary59.60'

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.02 cfs @ 12.43 hrs HW=58.79' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.21 cfs @ 12.43 hrs HW=58.79' (Free Discharge) -2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.21 cfs @ 1.83 fps) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A

#### Chamber Model = Cultec R-280HD (Cultec Recharger® 280HD)

Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows

47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 53.0" C-C Row Spacing

4 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long +1.00' Row Adjustment = 29.00' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 31.00' Base Length 5 Rows x 47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 23.58' Base Width 6.0" Base + 26.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.21' Field Height

20 Chambers x 42.5 cf +1.00' Row Adjustment x 6.07 sf x 5 Rows = 880.4 cf Chamber Storage

2,345.6 cf Field - 880.4 cf Chambers = 1,465.2 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 586.1 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 1,466.5 cf = 0.034 af Overall Storage Efficiency = 62.5% Overall System Size = 31.00' x 23.58' x 3.21'

20 Chambers 86.9 cy Field 54.3 cy Stone





#### Hydrograph Inflow 0.84 cfs Outflow Inflow Area=0.180 ac Discarded Primary 0.9 Peak Elev=58.79' 0.85 0.8 Storage=1,165 cf 0.75 0.7 0.65 0.6 0.55 (cfs) 0.5 Flow 0.45 0.4 0.24 0.35 0.3 0.25 0.22 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05

# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours)

0.

# Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow Are	a =	0.490 ac, 4	9.28% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	1.1	3" for 10	Year Storm event
Inflow	=	0.38 cfs @	12.38 hrs,	Volume	= 0.046	af		
Primary	=	0.38 cfs @	12.38 hrs,	Volume	= 0.046	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

7876-35 Proposed	Type III 24-hr	25 Year Storm Raint	fall=6.53"
Prepared by na		Printed	6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	are Solutions LLC		Page 26

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND Runoff Area=13,469 sf 28.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.92" Flow Length=110' Tc=5.7 min CN=56 Runoff=0.65 cfs 0.049 af

Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO Runoff Area=7,859 sf 85.46% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.25" Tc=6.0 min CN=89 Runoff=1.06 cfs 0.079 af

Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM Peak Elev=59.03' Storage=1,262 cf Inflow=1.06 cfs 0.079 af Discarded=0.02 cfs 0.050 af Primary=0.50 cfs 0.029 af Outflow=0.52 cfs 0.079 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=0.92 cfs 0.079 af Primary=0.92 cfs 0.079 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 ac Runoff Volume = 0.128 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.15" 50.72% Pervious = 0.248 ac 49.28% Impervious = 0.241 ac

## Summary for Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND

Runoff = 0.65 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.049 af, Depth= 1.92"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 Year Storm Rainfall=6.53"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
		9,675	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A	
*		3,794	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	G A	
		13,469	56	Weighted A	verage		
		9,675		71.83% Pei	vious Area		
		3,794		28.17% Imp	pervious Are	ea	
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description	
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	5.5	50	0.0500	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B	
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"	
	0.2	60	0.0650	4.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C	
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps	
	5.7	110	Total				

## Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND



### Summary for Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS

Runoff = 1.06 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.079 af, Depth= 5.25"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25 Year Storm Rainfall=6.53"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description					
	487	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A			
*	656	39	Open Deck	and Lands	scaping, Good, HSG A			
*	6,716	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	GÁ			
	7,859	89	89 Weighted Average					
	1,143		14.54% Pe	rvious Area	3			
	6,716		85.46% Imp	pervious Are	ea			
T (mir	c Length n) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
6.	0				Direct Entry,			

### Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS

(g) Og 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 42 62 83 03 22 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours)

### Summary for Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

Inflow Area	a =	0.180 ac, 8	5.46% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	5.25"	' for 25 Y	'ear Storm event
Inflow	=	1.06 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	=	0.079	af		
Outflow	=	0.52 cfs @	12.23 hrs,	Volume	=	0.079	af, At	tten= 51%,	Lag= 9.0 min
Discarded	=	0.02 cfs @	12.23 hrs,	Volume	=	0.050	af		
Primary	=	0.50 cfs @	12.23 hrs,	Volume	=	0.029	af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 59.03' @ 12.23 hrs Surf.Area= 731 sf Storage= 1,262 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 310.0 min calculated for 0.079 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 310.0 min (1,095.4 - 785.4)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	56.50'	586 cf	23.58'W x 31.00'L x 3.21'H Field A
			2,346 cf Overall - 880 cf Embedded = 1,465 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	57.00'	880 cf	Cultec R-280HD x 20 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf
			Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows
		1 /66 cf	Total Available Storage

1,466 cf I otal Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	56.50'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Wetted area
#2	Primary	58.50'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#3	Primary	59.60'	4.0' long x 0.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00
			Coef. (English) 2.80 2.92 3.08 3.30 3.32

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.02 cfs @ 12.23 hrs HW=59.02' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

**Primary OutFlow** Max=0.50 cfs @ 12.23 hrs HW=59.02' (Free Discharge) -2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.50 cfs @ 2.52 fps) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A

#### Chamber Model = Cultec R-280HD (Cultec Recharger® 280HD)

Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows

47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 53.0" C-C Row Spacing

4 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long +1.00' Row Adjustment = 29.00' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 31.00' Base Length 5 Rows x 47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 23.58' Base Width 6.0" Base + 26.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.21' Field Height

20 Chambers x 42.5 cf +1.00' Row Adjustment x 6.07 sf x 5 Rows = 880.4 cf Chamber Storage

2,345.6 cf Field - 880.4 cf Chambers = 1,465.2 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 586.1 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 1,466.5 cf = 0.034 af Overall Storage Efficiency = 62.5% Overall System Size = 31.00' x 23.58' x 3.21'

20 Chambers 86.9 cy Field 54.3 cy Stone









Time (hours)

# Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow Area	a =	0.490 ac, 4	9.28% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Depth	= 1.93	3" for 25	Year Storm event
Inflow	=	0.92 cfs @	12.17 hrs,	Volume	= 0.0	79 af		
Primary	=	0.92 cfs @	12.17 hrs,	Volume	= 0.0	79 af, 7	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

7876-35 Proposed	Type III 24-hr	100 Year Storm Rainfall=8.37"
Prepared by na		Printed 6/1/2022
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018	HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 33

Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND Runoff Area=13,469 sf 28.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.15" Flow Length=110' Tc=5.7 min CN=56 Runoff=1.12 cfs 0.081 af

Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO Runoff Area=7,859 sf 85.46% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.05" Tc=6.0 min CN=89 Runoff=1.40 cfs 0.106 af

Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM Peak Elev=59.65' Storage=1,450 cf Inflow=1.40 cfs 0.106 af Discarded=0.03 cfs 0.054 af Primary=1.03 cfs 0.052 af Outflow=1.06 cfs 0.106 af

Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow=1.94 cfs 0.134 af Primary=1.94 cfs 0.134 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.490 ac Runoff Volume = 0.187 af Average Runoff Depth = 4.59" 50.72% Pervious = 0.248 ac 49.28% Impervious = 0.241 ac

### Summary for Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND

Runoff = 1.12 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.081 af, Depth= 3.15"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 Year Storm Rainfall=8.37"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
		9,675	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A					
*		3,794	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	G A			
		13,469	56	Weighted A	verage				
		9,675		71.83% Pei	vious Area				
		3,794		28.17% Imp	pervious Are	ea			
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description			
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
	5.5	50	0.0500	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B			
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.41"			
	0.2	60	0.0650	4.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C			
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps			
	5.7	110	Total						

## Subcatchment P1: PROPOSED OVERLAND



## Summary for Subcatchment P2: PROPOSED FLOW TO CHAMBERS

Runoff = 1.40 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.106 af, Depth= 7.05"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 Year Storm Rainfall=8.37"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description		
	487	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A
*	656	39	Open Deck	and Lands	caping, Good, HSG A
*	6,716	98	Pave, Roof	, Walk, HS0	GÁ
	7,859	89	Weighted A	verage	
	1,143		14.54% Pe	vious Area	
	6,716		85.46% Imp	pervious Are	ea
To (min	c Length ) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0	)				Direct Entry,





### Summary for Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

Inflow Area	=	0.180 ac, 8	5.46% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Dep	th =	7.05"	for	100	Year S	torm ever	٦t
Inflow	=	1.40 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	= 0	).106 a	af					
Outflow	=	1.06 cfs @	12.15 hrs,	Volume	= 0	).106 a	af, Atte	en= 2	25%,	Lag=	4.1 min	
Discarded	=	0.03 cfs @	12.15 hrs,	Volume	= 0	).054 a	af					
Primary	=	1.03 cfs @	12.15 hrs,	Volume	= 0	).052 a	af					

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 59.65' @ 12.15 hrs Surf.Area= 731 sf Storage= 1,450 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 255.2 min calculated for 0.106 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 255.2 min (1,032.9 - 777.7)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	56.50'	586 cf	23.58'W x 31.00'L x 3.21'H Field A
			2,346 cf Overall - 880 cf Embedded = 1,465 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	57.00'	880 cf	Cultec R-280HD x 20 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf
			Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows
-		1 466 cf	Total Available Storage

1,466 cf Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
Discarded	56.50'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Wetted area
Primary	58.50'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
Primary	59.60'	4.0' long x 0.5' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
		Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00
		Coef. (English) 2.80 2.92 3.08 3.30 3.32
	Routing Discarded Primary Primary	RoutingInvertDiscarded56.50'Primary58.50'Primary59.60'

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.03 cfs @ 12.15 hrs HW=59.65' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.03 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=1.02 cfs @ 12.15 hrs HW=59.65' (Free Discharge) -2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.90 cfs @ 4.57 fps) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.13 cfs @ 0.63 fps)

# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM - Chamber Wizard Field A

#### Chamber Model = Cultec R-280HD (Cultec Recharger® 280HD)

Effective Size= 46.9"W x 26.0"H => 6.07 sf x 7.00'L = 42.5 cf Overall Size= 47.0"W x 26.5"H x 8.00'L with 1.00' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +1.00' x 6.07 sf x 5 rows

47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 53.0" C-C Row Spacing

4 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long +1.00' Row Adjustment = 29.00' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 31.00' Base Length 5 Rows x 47.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 4 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 23.58' Base Width 6.0" Base + 26.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.21' Field Height

20 Chambers x 42.5 cf +1.00' Row Adjustment x 6.07 sf x 5 Rows = 880.4 cf Chamber Storage

2,345.6 cf Field - 880.4 cf Chambers = 1,465.2 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 586.1 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 1,466.5 cf = 0.034 af Overall Storage Efficiency = 62.5% Overall System Size = 31.00' x 23.58' x 3.21'

20 Chambers 86.9 cy Field 54.3 cy Stone







# Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

# Summary for Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY

Inflow A	rea =	0.490 ac, 49.28% Impervious, Inflow [	Depth = 3.28" for 100 Year Storm event
Inflow	=	1.94 cfs @ 12.14 hrs, Volume=	0.134 af
Primary	=	1.94 cfs @ 12.14 hrs, Volume=	0.134 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Link R: TO REAR OF PROPERTY
SDA

Contact Us | Subscribe <u></u> | Archived Soil Surveys | Soil Survey Status | Glossary | Preferences | Link | Logout | Help



			_
	Printable Version Add to Shopping Cart		
		Soil Reports	
Shopping Cart (Free)		Ecological Sites	
Download Soils Data		Soil Properties and Qualities	
Soil Data Explorer	>	itations for Use	
Soil Map	se: All Uses	Suitabilities and Limi	
Area of Interest (AOI)	View Soil Information By U	Intro to Soils	

earcn
← → Clear Search
asic Search
Enter keywords
dvanced Search
🗲 🛃 Clear Search
soil Reports
Open All Close All
OI Inventory
suilding Site Development
Construction Materials
Disaster Recovery Planning
and Classifications
and Management
tecreational Development
anitary Facilities
soil Chemical Properties
soil Erosion
soil Health
ioil Physical Properties
Engineering Properties
View Description View Soil Report
Options
Include minor soils?
View Description View Soil Report
Fragments on the Soil Surface
Particle Size and Coarse Fragments
Physical Soil Properties
soil Qualities and Features
egetative Productivity
Vaste Management

Water Management Water Features

Soil Map



### **Engineering Properties**

This table gives the engineering classifications and the range of engineering properties for the layers of each soil in the survey area.

Hydrologic soil group is a group of soils having similar runoff potential under similar storm and cover conditions. The criteria for determining Hydrologic soil group is found in the National Engineering Handbook, Chapter 7 issued May 2007(http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/OpenNonWebContent.aspx? content=17757.wba). Listing HSGs by soil map unit component and not by soil series is a new concept for the engineers. Past engineering references contained lists of HSGs by soil series. Soil series are continually being defined and redefined, and the list of soil series names changes so frequently as to make the task of maintaining a single national list virtually impossible. Therefore, the criteria is now used to calculate the HSG using the component soil properties and no such national series lists will be maintained. All such references are obsolete and their use should be discontinued. Soil properties that influence runoff potential are those that influence the minimum rate of infiltration for a bare soil after prolonged wetting and when not frozen. These properties are depth to a seasonal high water table, saturated hydraulic conductivity after prolonged wetting, and depth to a layer with a very slow water transmission rate. Changes in soil properties caused by land management or climate changes also cause the hydrologic soil group to change. The influence of ground cover is treated independently. There are four hydrologic soil groups, A, B, C, and D, and three dual groups, A/D, B/D, and C/D. In the dual groups, the first letter is for drained areas and the second letter is for undrained areas.

The four hydrologic soil groups are described in the following paragraphs:

*Group A.* Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

*Group B.* Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

*Group C.* Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

*Group D.* Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

*Texture* is given in the standard terms used by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. These terms are defined according to percentages of sand, silt, and clay in the fraction of the soil that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. "Loam," for example, is soil that is 7 to 27 percent clay, 28 to 50 percent silt, and less than 52 percent sand. If the content of particles coarser than sand is 15 percent or more, an appropriate modifier is added, for example, "gravelly."

*Classification* of the soils is determined according to the Unified soil classification system (ASTM, 2005) and the system adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO, 2004).

The Unified system classifies soils according to properties that affect their use as construction material. Soils are classified according to particle-size distribution of the fraction less than 3 inches in diameter and according to plasticity index, liquid limit, and organic matter content. Sandy and gravelly soils are identified as GW, GP, GM, GC, SW, SP, SM, and SC; silty and clayey soils as ML, CL, OL, MH, CH, and OH; and highly organic soils as PT. Soils exhibiting engineering properties of two groups can have a dual classification, for example, CL-ML.

The AASHTO system classifies soils according to those properties that affect roadway construction and maintenance. In this system, the fraction of a mineral soil that is less than 3 inches in diameter is classified in one of seven groups from A-1 through A-7 on the basis of particle-size distribution, liquid limit, and plasticity index. Soils in group A-1 are coarse grained and low in content of fines (silt and clay). At the other extreme, soils in group A-7 are fine grained. Highly organic soils are classified in group A-8 on the basis of visual inspection.

If laboratory data are available, the A-1, A-2, and A-7 groups are further classified as A-1-a, A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-5, A-2-6, A-2-7, A-7-5, or A-7-6. As an additional refinement, the suitability of a soil as subgrade material can be indicated by a group index number. Group index numbers range from 0 for the best subgrade material to 20 or higher for the poorest.

*Percentage of rock fragments* larger than 10 inches in diameter and 3 to 10 inches in diameter are indicated as a percentage of the total soil on a dry-weight basis. The percentages are estimates determined mainly by converting volume percentage in the field to weight percentage. Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H).

*Percentage (of soil particles) passing designated sieves* is the percentage of the soil fraction less than 3 inches in diameter based on an ovendry weight. The sieves, numbers 4, 10, 40, and 200 (USA Standard Series), have openings of 4.76, 2.00, 0.420, and 0.074 millimeters, respectively. Estimates are based on laboratory tests of soils sampled in the survey area and in nearby areas and on estimates made in the field. Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H).

*Liquid limit* and *plasticity index* (Atterberg limits) indicate the plasticity characteristics of a soil. The estimates are based on test data from the survey area or from nearby areas and on field examination. Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H).

### References:

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

## **Report—Engineering Properties**

Absence of an entry indicates that the data were not estimated. The asterisk \*\* denotes the representative texture; other possible textures follow the dash. The criteria for determining the hydrologic soil group for individual soil components is OpenNonWebContent aspx?content=17757 wba). Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H). found in the National Engineering Handbook, Chapter 7 issued May 2007(http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/

Engineering Propertie	Engineering Propertie	Engineering Properties	Engineering Propertie	ő⊢	s-Norfolk	and Suffolk	Counties,	Massach	lusetts					
Map unit symbol and	Pct. of	Hydrolo	Depth	USDA texture	Classif	fication	Pct Fra	gments	Percenta	ge passin	g sieve n	umber	Liquid limit	Plastic v indev
	unit	group			Unified	AASHTO	>10 inches	3-10 inches	4	10	40	200		y much
			ц				Н-Я-Л	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H
52—Freetown muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes														
Freetown	85	B/D	0-2	Mucky peat, muck, woody muck, peat, woody peat, woody mucky peat	РТ	A-8	0-0-0	0-0-0			I			I
			2-79	Muck, woody muck	РТ	A-8	0-0-0	0-0-0		I	I	I	I	I





	Plasticit	y muex	Н-Я-Л		1	NP-4-8	NP-6 -11
	Liquid limit		Н-Я-Л		1	0-45 -80	0-22 -41
	umber-	200	Н-Я-Л		I	13-41- 46	22-41- 57
	ng sieve n	40	Н-Я-Л		1	24-72- 77	37-68- 88
	ıge passir	10	Н-Я-Л		1	31-84- 84	46-79- 91
usetts	Percenta	4	Н-Я-Л		l	48-100- 100	59-87-1 00
, Massach	gments	3-10 inches	Н-Я-Л		0-0-0	0- 0- 18	0- 3- 13
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	Н-Я-Л		0-0-0	6 -0 -0	0- 0- 0
ind Suffolk	ication	AASHTO			A-8	A-5, A-1- b, A-2-4, A-1-a,	A-2-5, A-5, A-2-6, A-1-b, A-2-7, A-2-7, A-6, A-4
s–Norfolk a	Classif	Unified			РТ	GC-GM, SM, GM GM	ML, GM, SC-SM, OL, CL, SC, GC, CL-ML, SM, GC
ingineering Propertie	USDA texture	USDA texture			Slightly decomposed plant material, highly decomposed plant material, moderately decomposed plant material	Sandy loam, fine sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam, loam, gravelly loam	Fine sandy loam, gravelly loam, loam, gravelly sandy loam, sandy loam, very gravelly sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam
Ш	Depth		иI		0-2	24	4-27
	Hydrolo	group			в		
	Pct. of	unit			50		
	Map unit symbol and			103C—Charlton- Hollis-Rock outcrop complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Charlton, extremely stony		

5/18/2022 Page 5 of 17

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Massachusetts
Counties,
and Suffolk
-Norfolk
Properties
Engineering

	Plasticit	y index	L-R-H	9- 9- 4- 6		NP4 -8	NP-6 -11	5/18/2022 ge 6 of 17
	Liquid limit		Н-Я-Л	0-22 -24		042-80	0-22 -41	С С
		200	L-R-H	16-35- 47	1	14-35-48	19-39- 56	
	ng sieve r	40	L-R-H	30-60- 82	1	26-61- 80	33-65- 87	
	age passi	10	L-R-H	36-68- 92	1	33-72- 88	41-75- 91	
usetts	Percenta	4	H-R-H	49-76-1 00	1	40-83- 88	47-84- 91	
, Massach	Igments	3-10 inches	L-R-H	0- 7- 25	0-0-0	0-5-34	0- 7- 29	20
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	H-R-H	0-0-0	0 0 0	9- 16	0-0-14	vey Soil Surve
ind Suffolk	ication	AASHTO		A-4, A-2-4, A-1-b	A-8	A-2-5, A-4, A-1-a, A-2-4, A-5	A-2, A-4, A-2-5, A-1-b, A-2-4, A-5, A-2-6,	/eb <del>/s6i</del> 7Sur CodptBrative A-7-5
s-Norfolk a	Classif	Unified		GC-GM, SM, GC, SM, GC, SM, GC	РТ	SM, GM, GC- GM, SC-SM	SM, SC- SM, CL- ML, CL, ML, GM, GM, GC,	GC- V ReMoRA
Engineering Propertie	USDA texture			Gravelly loam, loam, fine sandy loam, sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam, very gravelly sandy loam	Slightly decomposed plant material, moderately decomposed plant material, highly decomposed plant material	Fine sandy loam, very gravelly sandy loam, loam, gravelly loam, cobbly fine sandy loam, very gravelly loam, gravelly sandy loam, stony loam, stony fine sandy loam, gravelly loam, gravelly loam, gravelly sandy loam	Fine sandy loam, very gravelly sandy loam, loam, gravelly loam, cobbly fine sandy loam, very	gravelly loam, stony loam, stony fine sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam,
	Depth		ч	27-65	0-2	2-7	7-16	
	Hydrolo	group			۵			ces service
	Pct. of	unit			20			al Resour ervation S
	Map unit symbol and	soll name			Hollis, extremely stony			USDA Natur Cons

	Plasticit	y mutex	H-R-H			NP-3 -5	NP-3 -5	l	NP-3 -5	NP-2 -3	ЧN
	Liquid limit		H-R-H	1		15-20 -25	15-20 -25	I	15-20 -25	15-20 -25	1
	umber—	200	L-R-H			20 <del>-</del> 43 <del>-</del> 65	20-43- 65		30-50- 70	20-43 <del>-</del> 65	20-33- 45
	ng sieve n	40	<i>H-R-H</i>	1		40-63- 85	40-60- 80		60-73 <del>-</del> 85	50-65- 80	40-58- 75
	age passiı	10	L-R-H			60-90- 95	60-78- 95	Ι	-06-07 90	-06-09 -06	55-85- 85
iusetts	Percenta	4	L-R-H			65-95-1 00	65-83-1 00		75-95- 95	65-90- 90	-06-09 -06
, Massach	gments	3-10 inches	Н-Я-Л	1		5-5-15	0- 8- 15		5- 5- 20	0- 1- 15	5- 5- 25
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	L-R-H	1		0-0-5	0-0-5		0-0-5	0-0-5	0-0-5
and Suffolk	ication	AASHTO				A-2, A-4	A-2, A-4	I	A-2, A-4	A-2, A-4	A-2, A-4
s–Norfolk a	Classif	Unified				GM, ML, SM	GM, ML, SM		ML, SM	ML, SM	GM, SM
ingineering Propertie	USDA texture			Bedrock		Fine sandy loam	Gravelly fine sandy loam, sandy loam, loam	Unweathered bedrock	Fine sandy loam	Fine sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam, gravelly loam	Fine sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam
Ш	Depth		ц	62-0		0-3	3-14	14-18	9-0	6-36	36-60
	Hydrolo	group		۵		0			×		
	Pct. of	unit		10		35			25		
	Map unit symbol and			Rock outcrop	104D—Hollis-Rock outcrop-Chartton complex, 15 to 35 percent slopes	Hollis			Charlton		

5/18/2022 Page 7 of 17

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

	Insticit	Yanu	-R-H		5 E	11	11	ų Ε
	- Ple	>	 -		L L	Z Z P	9 NF	
	Liquid limit		L-R-H		0-29 -4	0-21 -3.	0-19 -2	0-18 -2
	number-	200	Н-Я-Л		18-43- 55	20-44- 57	22-41- 57	24-34- 58
	ng sieve n	40	Н-Я-Л		34-75- 85	38-76- 87	41-71- 88	45-58- 90
	age passii	10	L-R-H		44-89- 89	50-91- 91	55 <b>-</b> 85- 92	59-69- 93
nusetts	Percenta	4	L-R-H		47-89 <del>-</del> 89	52 <del>-</del> 91- 91	56-85- 92	61-70- 94
, Massach	gments	3-10 inches	Н-Я-Л		0- 0- 22	0- 0- 14	0- 0- 13	0-0-12
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	Н-Я-Л		0- 0- 22	0-0-2	<b>0- 0-</b> 0	9 -0 -0
ind Suffolk	ication	AASHTO			A-1, A-7, A-4	A-2, A-1, A-6, A-4	A-2, A-1, A-6, A-4	A-1, A-6, A-4, A-2-4
s–Norfolk a	Classif	Unified			SM, SC- SM	CL-ML, GM, CL, ML, SM	CL-ML, ML, SM, GM, CL	CL-ML, SM, ML, GM, CL
Engineering Propertie	<b>USDA</b> texture				Fine sandy loam, loam, gravelly sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam	Fine sandy loam, loam, grave <b>ll</b> y sandy loam	Fine sandy loam, loam, grave <b>ll</b> y sandy loam	Gravelly sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam, fine sandy loam, loam, gravelly coarse sandy loam
	Depth		ц		8-0	8-15	15-26	26-65
	Hydrolo	group			C			
	Pct. of	unit			80			
	Map unit symbol and			305B—Paxton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Paxton			

5/18/2022 Page 8 of 17

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

	asticit	Yanii	L-R-H		-10 -10	5. 1-	Р-3 -11	5 <del>-</del>
	Liquid P.	×	r-R-H			-21 -32 N	-19 -29 N	-18 -28 N
	nber— I	200	H-Я-Л		9-44- 56	0-44-0. 57	57	58 58
	sieve nur	40	Н-Я-Л		86	87 2	88	5-58- 90
	e passing	10	L-R-H		-90- 3 90	91-91-3	92	9-69- 93 93
setts	ercentag	4	L-R-H		90 -090 - 14	91-55 91	92	94
Massachu	ments	3-10 inches	L-R-H		- 0-21	)- 0- 14 5	- 0- 13	- 0- 12 6
Counties, I	Pct Frag	>10 inches	L-R-H		0-0-21	0-0-2	9 -0 -0	9-0-0
nd Suffolk C	cation	AASHTO			A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-5, A-4 A-5, A-4	A-4, A-6, A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-6	A-6, A-2-6, A-2-4, A-1-b, A-4	A-2-4, A-4, A-1-b, A-6, A-2-6
s–Norfolk a	Classifi	Unified			SC-SM, SC, CL, ML, CH, GM, GC, GC, GC, CL-ML	SM, GC- GM, GC- CL-ML, SC-SM, GG, CL, ML ML	SM, GC- GM, GC- CL, ML, SC-SM, SC, GM, GC	GM, GC, SM, GC, SC-SM, SC-SM, GC, ML, CL-ML, CL-ML, CL, ML
ingineering Propertie	USDA texture				Gravelly sandy loam, fine sandy loam, loam, gravelly fine sandy loam	Loam, gravelly sandy loam, fine sandy loam	Loam, gravelly sandy loam, fine sandy loam	Gravelly sandy loam, loam, gravelly coarse sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam, fine sandy loam
ш	Depth		иI		0-8	8-15	15-26	26-65
	Hydrolo	group			с			
	Pct. of	unit			85			
	Map unit symbol and			305C—Paxton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Paxton			

5/18/2022 Page 9 of 17

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

	Plasticit	y muex	L-R-H		NP-5 -10	NP-3 -11	NP-3 -11
	Liquid		Н-Я-Л		0-30 -60	0-21 -32	0-19 -29
	umber—	200	Н-Я-Л		19-44- 56	20-44- 57	22-41- 57
	ng sieve n	40	Н-Я-Л		36-76- 86	38-76- 87	41-71- 88
	age passir	10	Н-Я-Л		47-90- 90	50-91- 91	55-85- 92
iusetts	Percenta	4	Н-Я-Л		49-90- 90	52-91- 91	56-85- 92
, Massach	gments	3-10 inches	Н-Я-Л		0- 0- 21	0- 0- 14	0- 0- 13
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	Н-Я-Л		0- 0- 21	0-0-2	0-0-0
nd Suffolk	Classification	AASHTO			A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-5, A-4 A-5, A-4	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-6, A-2-6	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-6, A-2-6
s–Norfolk a		Unified			SC-SM, SC SC, ML, ML, SM, OL, SM, OL, GM, GC, CL-ML CL-ML	SM, SC- SM, SC- SC, SC, SC, SC, SC, SC, CL, ML CL, ML	SM, SC, SM, SC, SC, SC, SC, GC, CL-ML, CL-ML, CL, ML
Engineering Propertie	<b>USDA</b> texture				Loam, gravelly fine sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam, fine sandy loam	Fine sandy loam, loam, gravelly sandy loam	Fine sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam, loam
	Depth		и		0-8	8-15	15-26
	Hydrolo aio	group			U		
	Pct. of	unit			85		
	Map unit symbol and			305D—Paxton fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Paxton		

5/18/2022 Page 10 of 17

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

	Plasticit	y muex	L-R-H	NP-3 -11
	Liquid limit		H-A-J	0-18 -28
	umber—	200	Н-Я-Л	24-34- 58
	ng sieve n	40	Н-Я-Л	45-58- 90
	age passir	10	Н-Я-Л	59-69- 93
nusetts	Percenta	4	Н-Я-Л	61-70- 94
, Massach	gments	3-10 inches	L-R-H	0-0-12
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	L-R-H	0-0-6
and Suffolk	ication	AASHTO		A-1-b, A-6, A-2-6, A-2-4, A-4
s-Norfolk	Classif	Unified		SM, SC- SM, SC, SC, GC, GC, CL-ML, CL, ML
Engineering Propertie	<b>USDA</b> texture			Gravelly coarse sandy loam, fine sandy loam, loam, gravelly fine sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam
Ш	Depth		и	26-65
	Hydrolo 210	group		
	Pct. of	unit		
	Map unit symbol and			

Natural Resources Conservation Service

	Plasticit	y muex	<i>L-R-Н</i>			A 94
	Liquid limit		H-R-H			0-35 -48
	umber—	200	L-R-H		I	24-42- 50
	ng sieve n	40	L-R-H			48-78- 89
	ıge passir	10	H-R-H			60-90-1 00
usetts	Percenta	4	L-R-H			80-95-1
, Massach	gments	3-10 inches	H-R-H		0-0-0	2 -0 -0
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	L-R-H		0-0-0	0- 0- 21
and Suffolk	ication	AASHTO			A-8	A-2-4, A-4
s–Norfolk a	Classif	Unified			РТ	sc-sm, sm
ingineering Propertie	USDA texture				Slightly decomposed plant material, highly decomposed plant material, moderately decomposed plant material	Gravelly sandy loam, loam, sandy loam, gravelly very fine sandy very fine sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam, very fine sandy loam, very gravelly very fine sandy loam, very gravelly loam, very gravelly loam
Ξ	Depth		ц		0-2	2-5
	Hydrolo aio	group			۵	
	Pct. of	unit			80	
	Map unit symbol and			422C—Canton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, extremely stony	Canton, extremely stony	

5/18/2022 Page 12 of 17

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

etts	
nties, Massachuse	
and Suffolk Cour	
erties-Norfolk	
Engineering Prop	

	Plasticit	y muex	Н-Я-Л	NP-4 -6	9- 0-dN
	Liquid limit		Н-Я-Л	0-19 -27	0-0 -27
	umber-	200	Н-Я-Л	27-43-51	26-32- 50
	ng sieve n	40	Н-Я-Л	53-81- 92	52-64- 91
	ıge passir	10	Н-Я-Л	63-92-1 00	63-76-1 00
usetts	Percenta	4	Н-Я-Л	82-96-1 00	82-88-1 00
, Massach	igments	3-10 inches	H-R-H	9 	9 
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	Н-Я-Л	0- 0- 20	0- 0- 20
nd Suffolk	Classification	AASHTO		A-2-4, A-4	A.4, A-2-4
ss–Norfolk a		Unified		sc, sc. sm, sm	SC-SM, SC, SM
ingineering Propertic	<b>USDA</b> texture			Gravelly loam, very fine sandy loam, very very gravelly sandy loam, very gravelly very fine sandy loam, very gravelly fine sandy loam, very gravelly loam, very fine sandy loam, fine sandy loam, gravelly very fine sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam	Gravelly loam, very fine sandy loam, very gravelly sandy loam, very gravelly very fine sandy loam, very gravelly fine sandy loam, very gravelly sandy loam, fine sandy loam, fine sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam sandy loam
E	Depth		иĮ	5-16	16-22
	Hydrolo gic group				
	Pct. of	unit			
	Map unit symbol and				

5/18/2022 Page 13 of 17

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Massachusetts
Counties,
l Suffolk
Vorfolk and
Ĩ
opertie
ineering Propertie
Engineering Propertie

	Plasticit	y muex	L-R-H	NP-0-2
	Liquid limit		H-A-J	0-0 -17
	umber-	200	L-R-H	14-19- 25
	ng sieve n	40	Н-Я-Л	39-51- 63
	age passiı	10	L-R-H	50-65- 78
nusetts	Percenta	4	L-R-H	67-72- 85
, Massach	gments	3-10 inches	L-R-H	9-9-2
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	L-R-H	9-9- 12
ind Suffolk	ication	AASHTO		A-1-b, A-2-4
s-Norfolk	Classif	Unified		Š
ingineering Propertic	<b>USDA</b> texture			Very gravelly loamy fine sand, very gravelly sand, gravelly loamy sand, gravelly loamy coarse sand, very gravelly loamy very fine sand, very gravelly fine sand, very gravelly loamy fine sand, very fine sand, very gravelly loamy gravelly loamy
	Depth		ц	22-67
	Hydrolo gic group			
	Pct. of	unit		
	Map unit symbol and			

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

			-	Engineering Propertie	es–Norfolk a	and Suffolk	Counties	Massach	usetts					
unit symbol and	Pct. of	Hydrolo	Depth	<b>USDA</b> texture	Classif	ication	Pct Fra	gments	Percenta	ge passir	ig sieve n	umber—	Liquid	Plasticit
	unit	group			Unified	AASHTO	>10 inches	3-10 inches	4	10	40	200		y muex
			ц				L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H
3—Merrimac- ban land mplex, 0 to 8 rcent slopes														
rrimac	45	A	0-10	Gravelly fine sandy loam, very fine sandy loam, fine sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam, sandy loam, gravelly very fine sandy loam	SM, ML	A-4, A-2-4	0-0-0	0-0-0	69-84-1 00	68-83-1 00	53-72- 97	29-44- 62	0-26 -34	NP-2-4
			10-22	Sandy loam, gravelly coarse sandy loam, very fine sandy loam, gravelly sandy loam, gravelly very fine sandy loam, fine sandy loam, coarse sandy loam, gravelly fine sandy loam	SM	A-1-b, A-2-4, A-4	0 -0 -0	0-0-0	70-78-1 00	68-77-1 00	50-62- 93	24-31- 54	0-16 -24	NP-1-4

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

5/18/2022 Page 15 of 17

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Engineering Properties—Norfolk and Suffolk Counties,	Massachusetts
Engineering Properties—Norfolk and Suffolk	Counties,
Engineering Properties—Norfolk and	l Suffolk
Engineering Properties-	Vorfolk and
Engineering Pr	operties-
	Engineering Pr

	Plasticit	y muex	L-R-H	4- 1-4
	Liquid		L-R-H	0-17 -24
	umber—	200	Н-Я-Л	14-24- 45
	ng sieve n	40	Н-Я-Л	38-56- 88
	age passii	10	L-R-H	56-75-1 00
nusetts	Percenta	4	Н-Я-Л	58-76-1 00
, Massach	gments	3-10 inches	Н-Я-Л	0-0-0
Counties	Pct Fra	>10 inches	Н-Я-Л	0 <b>-</b> 0
ind Suffolk	ication	AASHTO		A-1-b, A-2-4, A-4
s–Norfolk a	Classi	Unified		SM, SC- SM
ingineering Propertie	USDA texture			Stratified gravel to loamy sand, stratified gravel to loamy fine sand, stratified gravel to gravel to coarse sand, stratified gravel to coarse sandy loam, coarse sandy loam, stratified gravel to gravely loam, stratified gravel to gravel to sandy loam, stratified gravel to gravel to gravel to sandy loam, stratified gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to gravel to
Ш	Depth		ц	22-26
	Hydrolo gic group			
	Pct. of	unit		
	Map unit symbol and			

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

			ш	Engineering Propertie	ss–Norfolk a	and Suffolk	Counties,	, Massach	usetts					
Map unit symbol and	Pct. of	Hydrolo	Depth	USDA texture	Classif	ication	Pct Fra	gments	Percenta	ige passir	ig sieve ni	umber—	Liquid	Plasticit
	unit	group			Unified	AASHTO	>10 inches	3-10 inches	4	10	40	200		y muex
			П				H-R-H	<i>L-R-Н</i>	L-R-H	H-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H
			26-65	Stratified gravel to gravelly sand, stratified gravel to coarse sand, stratified gravel to sand, stratified gravel to cobbles, stratified gravel to very gravely gravel to very gravel to very gravel stratified gravel to very gravel very g	SP, SP- SM, GP, GP-GM, SM	A-1-a, A-2-4	0-0-0	0-12-31	13-44- 78	9-41- 77	5-25-58	1- 5- 15	0-0 -14	L Z
Urban land	40	D	0-10	Cemented material			I			I				
654—Udorthents, Ioamy														
Udorthents	80	A	0-6	Variable			0-0-5	-	l					I
			<b>6-6</b> 0	Variable			9-0-0		l		I		1	I

## **Data Source Information**

Soil Survey Area: Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts Survey Area Data: Version 17, Sep 3, 2021 1799 & 1817 RIVER STREET, HYDE PARK

WEATHER: 35° CLOUDY

SOIL EVALUATIONS PERFORMED BY MASSACHUSETTS CERTIFIED DEP SOIL EVALUATOR ON FEB. 10, 2022

GREGORY A. BUNAVICZ, SE 2712

MOTTLES: 64" WATER STANDING: 78"





NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 10, Version 3 Location name: Hyde Park, Massachusetts, USA\* Latitude: 42.2437°, Longitude: -71.1393° Elevation: 56.83 ft\*\* \* source: ESRI Maps \*\* source: USGS



# POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sandra Pavlovic, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Orlan Wilhite

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF tabular | PF graphical | Maps & aerials

### PF tabular

PDS-	based poi	int precipi	tation fre	quency es	timates w	vith 90% c	confidenc	ce interva	als (in inc	ches)'
Duration				Average	recurrence	interval (ye	ars)			
	-	2	2	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	<b>0.307</b>	<b>0.380</b>	<b>0.500</b>	<b>0.599</b>	<b>0.736</b>	<b>0.837</b>	<b>0.946</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>1 45</b>
	(0.246-0.382)	(0.305-0.474)	(0.399-0.626)	(0.476-0.755)	(0.564-0.984)	(0.628-1.15)	(0.689-1.37)	(0.730-1.59)	(0.828-1.96)	(0 915-2 27)
10-min	<b>0.434</b>	<b>0.538</b>	<b>0.708</b>	<b>0.848</b>	<b>1.04</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.34</b>	<b>1.53</b>	<b>1.81</b>	<b>2.05</b>
	(0.349-0.541)	(0.432-0.671)	(0.566-0.886)	(0.673-1.07)	(0.799-1.39)	(0.890-1.63)	(0.976-1.94)	(1.04-2.25)	(1.17-2.78)	(1.30-3.22)
15-min	<b>0.511</b>	<b>0.633</b>	<b>0.833</b>	<b>0.998</b>	<b>1.23</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>1.58</b>	<b>1.80</b>	<b>2.13</b>	<b>2.41</b>
	(0.411-0.637)	(0.508-0.790)	(0.666-1.04)	(0.793-1.26)	(0.940-1.64)	(1.05-1.92)	(1.15-2.28)	(1.22-2.65)	(1.38-3.27)	(1.52-3.79)
30-min	<b>0.698</b>	<b>0.868</b>	<b>1.15</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>1.69</b>	<b>1.93</b>	<b>2.18</b>	<b>2.49</b>	<b>2.95</b>	<b>3.34</b>
	(0.561-0.869)	(0.696-1.08)	(0.915-1.44)	(1.09-1.74)	(1.30-2.26)	(1.45-2.65)	(1.59-3.15)	(1.69-3.66)	(1.91-4.53)	(2.11-5.25)
60-min	<b>0.885</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>1 46</b>	<b>1 75</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>2.46</b>	<b>2.78</b>	<b>3.18</b>	<b>3.77</b>	<b>4.27</b>
	(0.711-1.10)	(0.885-1.37)	(1 16-1 83)	(1 39-2 21)	(1.66-2.89)	(1.85-3.39)	(2.03-4.02)	(2.15-4.68)	(2.45-5.79)	(2.70-6.72)
2-hr	<b>1.13</b>	<b>1 42</b>	<b>1.90</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>2.85</b>	<b>3.25</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>5.07</b>	<b>5.79</b>
	(0.909-1.39)	(1 15-1 76)	(1.53-2.37)	(1.84-2.88)	(2.20-3.79)	(2.46-4.46)	(2.71-5.32)	(2.88-6.19)	(3.30-7.72)	(3.68-9.02)
3-hr	<b>1.31</b>	<b>1.65</b>	<b>2.21</b>	<b>2.68</b>	<b>3.32</b>	<b>3.79</b>	<b>4.30</b>	<b>4.94</b>	<b>5.92</b>	<b>6.77</b>
	(1.06-1.61)	(1.34-2.04)	(1.78-2.74)	(2.14–3.34)	(2.57-4.40)	(2.87-5.17)	(3.17-6.17)	(3.37-7.18)	(3.86-8.97)	(4.30-10.5)
6-hr	<b>1 72</b>	<b>2.15</b>	<b>2.85</b>	<b>3.42</b>	<b>4.22</b>	<b>4.81</b>	<b>5.45</b>	<b>6.23</b>	<b>7.43</b>	<b>8.47</b>
	(1 40-2 11)	(1.75-2.63)	(2.31-3.51)	(2.76-4.25)	(3.28-5.55)	(3.66-6.50)	(4.02-7.74)	(4.27-8.98)	(4.87-11-2)	(5.40-13.0)
12-hr	<b>2.26</b>	<b>2.77</b>	<b>3.61</b>	<b>4.31</b>	<b>5.27</b>	<b>5.98</b>	<b>6.74</b>	<b>7.67</b>	<b>9.06</b>	<b>10.3</b>
	(1.85-2.75)	(2.27-3.38)	(2.95-4.42)	(3.49-5.31)	(4.11-6.86)	(4.56-8.00)	(4.99-9.46)	(5.27-10.9)	(5.96-13.5)	(6.56-15.6)
24-hr	<b>2.77</b>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>5.33</b>	<b>6.53</b>	<b>7.41</b>	<b>8.37</b>	<b>9.55</b>	<b>11 4</b>	<b>12.9</b>
	(2.29 <del>.</del> 3.35)	(2.81-4.13)	(3.66-5.42)	(4.34-6.52)	(5.13-8.45)	(5.69-9.86)	(6.24-11.7)	(6.59-13.5)	(7 49 16 7)	(8.30-19.5)
2-day	<b>3.17</b>	<b>3.98</b>	<b>5.32</b>	<b>6.42</b>	<b>7.95</b>	<b>9.06</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>16.6</b>
	(2.63-3.81)	(3.30-4.79)	(4.39-6.42)	(5.27-7.81)	(6.29-10.3)	(7.02-12.0)	(7.76-14.4)	(8.22-16.7)	(9.52-21.0)	(10.7-24.8)
3-day	<b>3.48</b>	<b>4.36</b>	<b>5.80</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>8.65</b>	<b>9.85</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>12.9</b>	<b>15.7</b>	<b>18.2</b>
	(2.90-4.16)	(3.63-5.22)	(4.81-6.98)	(5.76-8.48)	(6.87-11.1)	(7.66-13.0)	(8.46-15.6)	(8.96-18.1)	(10.4-22.8)	(11.7-26.9)
4-day	<b>3.77</b>	<b>4.68</b>	<b>6.17</b>	<b>7.41</b>	<b>9.11</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>11 7</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>16.4</b>	<b>19.0</b>
	(3.15-4.50)	(3.90-5.59)	(5.12-7.40)	(6.11-8.94)	(7.25-11.7)	(8.07-13.6)	(8.89-16.3)	(9.39-18.8)	(10.9-23.7)	(12.3-28.0)
7-day	4.58	5.53	7.07	8.35	10.1	11.4	12.8	14.7	17.6	20.3

	(3.85-5.44)	(4.63-6.57)	(5.90-8.44)	(6.92-10.0)	(8.09-12.9)	(8.91-14.9)	(9.74-17.6)	(10.2-20.3)	(11 7 25 3)	(13.1.29.7)
10-day	<b>5 34</b>	<b>6 31</b>	<b>7.89</b>	<b>9.20</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>13.8</b>	<b>15.6</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>21 1</b>
	(4 49-6 32)	(5 30-7 47)	(6.60-9.38)	(7.64-11.0)	(8.81-13.9)	(9.64-16.0)	(10.4-18.7)	(10.9-21.5)	(12.4-26.4)	(13.7.30.7)
20-day	<b>7.52</b>	<b>8 56</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>13.7</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>18.4</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>23.1</b>
	(6.36-8.84)	(7 24-10 1)	(8.65-12.1)	(9.78-13.9)	(11.0-17.0)	(11.8-19.2)	(12.5-22.1)	(13.0-25.0)	(14.1-29.6)	(15.0-33.3)
30-day	<b>9.30</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>12.2</b>	<b>13.7</b>	<b>15.8</b>	<b>17.4</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>20.7</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>24.8</b>
	(7.90-10.9)	(8.83-12.2)	(10.3-14.4)	(11.5-16.3)	(12.7-19.5)	(13.6-21.9)	(14.2-24.8)	(14.6-27.9)	(15.5-32.2)	(16.1-35.5)
45-day	<b>11.5</b> (9.82-13.4)	<b>12.7</b> (10.8-14.8)	<b>14.6</b> (12.4.17.1)	<b>16.2</b> (13.6-19.1)	<b>18.4</b> (14.8-22.5)	<b>20.1</b> (15.7-25.1)	<b>21.8</b> (16.3-28.0)	<b>23.4</b> (16.6-31.4)	<b>25.5</b> (17.2-35.4)	<b>26.9</b> (17.6-38.3)
60-day	<b>13.4</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>16.6</b>	<b>18.3</b>	<b>20.5</b>	<b>22.3</b>	<b>24.1</b>	<b>25.6</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>28.8</b>
	(11.4-15.6)	(12.5-17.0)	(14.1-19.4)	(15.4-21.5)	(16.6-25.0)	(17.5-27.7)	(17.9-30.7)	(18.2-34.2)	(18.6-38.1)	(18.8-40.8)

<sup>1</sup> Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

**Back to Top** 

### **PF graphical**









NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 10, Version 3

Created (GMT): Wed May 18 10:57:19 2022

<u>Back to Top</u>

## Maps & aerials

Small scale terrain





Large scale terrain



Large scale map



Large scale aerial



**Back to Top** 

<u>US Department of Commerce</u> <u>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</u> <u>National Weather Service</u> <u>National Water Center</u> 1325 East West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 Questions?: <u>HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov</u>

<u>Disclaimer</u>

### ILLICIT DISCHARGE STATEMENT

Standard 10 of the Stormwater Management Regulations prohibits illicit discharges to stormwater management systems.

The land is currently vacant. The previous existing building has been demolished and waste materials properly removed with the site and disposed of.

Attached is a plan that identifies the location of the proposed systems for conveying stormwater on the site as part of the proposed commercial development of 1817 River Street in Boston, Massachusetts. There is currently no known existing stormwater management system on the site. However, a comprehensive stormwater management system has been designed in accordance with the Stormwater Management Regulations to service the proposed site. The design of the proposed system is detailed on the attached plan set. Furthermore, the site will be connected to the municipal sewer system. The plan identifies the location of the proposed sewer system for conveying and disposal of wastewater on the site. Accordingly, there will be no proposed illicit discharges into the proposed stormwater management system.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge there are no known or proposed illicit discharges to the stormwater management system, including wastewater discharges and discharges of stormwater contaminated by contact with process wastes, raw materials, toxic pollutants, hazardous substances, oil, or grease.

Antonio Ferrara

1817 River Street LLC

May 26, 2022

Date



### Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

### A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.<sup>1</sup> This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8<sup>2</sup>
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



### **B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification**

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.

*Note:* Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

### **Registered Professional Engineer's Certification**

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Longterm Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



Checklist

**Project Type:** Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

New development

Redevelopment

Mix of New Development and Redevelopment



### Checklist (continued)

LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

$\boxtimes$	No disturbance to any W	/etland Resource Areas
	Site Design Practices (e	.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
	Reduced Impervious Are	ea (Redevelopment Only)
	Minimizing disturbance	o existing trees and shrubs
	LID Site Design Credit F	Requested:
	Credit 1	
	Credit 2	
	Credit 3	
$\boxtimes$	Use of "country drainage	e" versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
	Bioretention Cells (inclu-	des Rain Gardens)
	Constructed Stormwater	Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
	Treebox Filter	
	Water Quality Swale	
	Grass Channel	
	Green Roof	
$\boxtimes$	Other (describe):	Lot "A" will have a stone filter and a grass strip along the edge of pavement prior to flowing to a grass infiltration basin."country drainge" checked above
Sta	ndard 1: No New Untre	ated Discharges

No new untreated discharges

- Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



### Checklist (continued)

### Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

- Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.
- Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.
- Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed predevelopment rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24hour storm.

### Standard 3: Recharge

- Soil Analysis provided.
- Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.
- Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.

Static Simple Dynamic

- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site discharging to the infiltration BMP.
- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.

Dynamic Field<sup>1</sup>

- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume *only* to the maximum extent practicable for the following reason:
  - Site is comprised solely of C and D soils and/or bedrock at the land surface
  - M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000
  - Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000
  - Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.
- Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.
- Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



### Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

### Checklist (continued)

### Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

- The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.
- Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

### Standard 4: Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
- · Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
- Vehicle washing controls;
- Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
- Spill prevention and response plans;
- Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
- Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
- Pet waste management provisions;
- Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
- Provisions for solid waste management;
- Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
- Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
- Street sweeping schedules;
- Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
- Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
- Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
- List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.
- Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:
  - is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
  - is near or to other critical areas
  - is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
  - involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.
- The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.



Checklist	(continued)	
onconnot	(continucu)	

### Standard 4: Water Quality (continued)

- The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:
  - The 1/2" or 1" Water Quality Volume or
  - The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.
- The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs.
- A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.

### Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)

- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report.
- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted **prior to** the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.
- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does *not* cover the land use.
- LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- All exposure has been eliminated.
- All exposure has *not* been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.
- The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.

### **Standard 6: Critical Areas**

- The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.
- Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



### **Checklist for Stormwater Report**

### Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects S	ubject to the Standards only to the maximum
extent practicable	

- The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:
  - Limited Project
  - Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
  - Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
  - Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
  - Bike Path and/or Foot Path
  - Redevelopment Project
  - Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.
- Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.

☐ The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

### Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
- Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
- Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
- Vegetation Planning;
- Site Development Plan;
- Construction Sequencing Plan;
- Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Inspection Schedule;
- Maintenance Schedule;
- Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.
- A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.



### Checklist (continued)

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued)

- ☐ The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has *not* been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted *before* land disturbance begins.
- The project is *not* covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

### Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

- The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:
  - Name of the stormwater management system owners;
  - Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
  - Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
  - Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
  - Description and delineation of public safety features;
  - Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
  - Operation and Maintenance Log Form.
- The responsible party is **not** the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:
  - A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
  - A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

### Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

- The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;
- An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;
- NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted *prior to* the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.

### INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu

2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.

	Location: 1817 River Street, Boston, MA				
	В	C	D	E	F
	BMP <sup>1</sup>	ISS Removal Rate <sup>1</sup>	Starting ISS	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining
		INdie			
	Deep Sump and Hooded Catch Basin	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.75
oval	<b>S</b> Infiltration Basin	0.80	0.75	0.60	0.15
Rem	N	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.15
TSS	culati	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.15
(	Cal	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.15
	Total TSS Removal =			85%	Separate Form Needs to be Completed for Each Outlet or BMP Train
	Project:	7876-35			
Prepared By: 🗚			*Equals remaining load from previous BMP (E)		
	Date:	5/18/2022		which enters the BMP	

Version 1, Automated: Mar. 4, 2008

Non-automated TSS Calculation Sheet must be used if Proprietary BMP Proposed 1. From MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1

### INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu

2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.

-

Location: 1817 River Street, Boston, MA				
В	C	D	E	F
BMP <sup>1</sup>	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Starting TSS Load*	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D-E)
Vegetated Filter Strip >25 feet	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.90
Infiltration Basin	0.80	0.90	0.72	0.18
	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.18
	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.18
	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.18
Total TSS Removal =			82%	Separate Form Needs to be Completed for Each Outlet or BMP Train
Project: Prepared By: Date:	<sup>7876-35</sup> RH 5/19/2022	- - -	*Equals remaining load fron which enters the BMP	n previous BMP (E)
	Elocation: B BMP <sup>1</sup> Vegetated Filter Strip >25 feet Infiltration Basin	B C   BMP <sup>1</sup> Rate <sup>1</sup> Vegetated Filter Strip >25 0.10   Infiltration Basin 0.80   0.00 0.00   0.00 0.00   Project: 7876-35   Prepared By: RH   Date: 5/19/2022	Location: 1817 River Street, Boston, MA   B C D   TSS Removal Starting TSS   BMP <sup>1</sup> Rate <sup>1</sup> Load*   Vegetated Filter Strip >25 0.10 1.00   Infiltration Basin 0.80 0.90   Infiltration Basin 0.00 0.18   0.00 0.18 0.18   Date: 5/19/2022 5/19/2022	Location:   1817 River Street, Boston, MA     B   C   D   E     TSS Removal   Starting TSS   Amount     BMP <sup>1</sup> Rate <sup>1</sup> Load*   Removed (C*D)     Vegetated Filter Strip >25   0.10   1.00   0.10     Infiltration Basin   0.80   0.90   0.72     0.00   0.18   0.00     Infiltration Basin   0.00   0.18   0.00     0.00   0.18   0.00   200     Foregraded Bill   RH   0.00   0.18   0.00     Project:   7876-35   RH   2%   2%     Project:   5/19/2022   814   *Equals remaining load from which enters the BMP

V

Non-automated TSS Calculation Sheet must be used if Proprietary BMP Proposed 1. From MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1

Mass. Dept. of Environmental Protection
# Norwood Engineering

# STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN LONG-TERM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN

For

1817 River Street Boston, Massachusetts

Prepared for 1817 River Street LLC 394 Washington Street Unit B Dedham, MA, 02026

Prepared by

Norwood Engineering Company, Inc. 1410 Route One Norwood, MA 02062

May 26, 2022

#### **Table of Contents and List of Attachments**

- I. Background
- II. Identification of Operators
- **III.** Pollution Prevention

#### **IV.** Pollution Prevention

- A. Components of System
- B. Operation and Maintenance Plan
- C. Maintenance Summary

## V. Certification and Signatures

#### VI. Attachments

- A. BMP Inspection and Maintenance Checklist
- B. BMP Exhibit Plan

#### I. Background

This document provides a guideline for the long-term operation and maintenance of the stormwater system after the completion of construction activities located at 1817 River Street, Boston, MA 02026

The site has a comprehensive stormwater management system consistent with all agency requirements and has been designed and constructed to address water quality, peak rate attenuation and the control of erosion and sedimentation.

### **II. Identification of Operators**

The name of the owner (Responsible Party) for the ongoing operation and maintenance of all components of the stormwater system is:

Antonio Ferrara 1817 River Street LLC 394 Washington Street – Unit B Dedham, MA 02026 Email: Tony Ferrara <u>tony@hubmtg.com</u>

The Responsible Party for the Stormwater Management System must notify the Stormwater Authority of any changes in ownership, assignment of O&M responsibilities or assignment of financial responsibilities within 30 days of the change. The owner of record will be responsible for O&M activities until a copy of an updated plan is provided to the Stormwater Authority and signed by the new Owner or any Responsible Party.

#### **III.** Pollution Prevention

#### A. Components of System

- 1. The stormwater treatment train for Lots B & C provides for the collection of stormwater runoff from all impervious areas via a conventional catch basin, pipe and manhole system. Deep sump hooded catch basins are provided as an initial component to remove Total Suspended Solids, oil and grease from parking lot runoff. The system discharges to a deep sump and hooded catch basin and an infiltration basin, with 85% removal of Total Suspended Solids.
- 2. The stormwater treatment train for Lot A provides for the collection of stormwater runoff from all impervious areas via a filter strip and grass infiltration basin. The filter strip is provided as an initial component to remove Total Suspended Solids. The stormwater is then discharged to a grass strip and to the grass infiltration basin with 82% removal of Total Suspended Solids.

#### **B.** Operation and Maintenance Plan

- 1. It is necessary to maintain a comprehensive operational plan for the maintenance of these facilities to ensure that the high degree of water quality protection is continued after construction. The priority is to maintain the integrity of the site as designed. This includes maintenance and repair of any of the structures related to stormwater management BMPs.
- 2. Written maintenance agreements with permanent contractors will be provided to the Stormwater Authority that specify the proper operation, maintenance, emergency repairs, and financing. These documents will provide for the preservation of the stormwater conveyancing and infiltration areas and facilities, as well as maintenance of all structures.
- 3. To insure the long term optimal operation of the system, a strict program of maintenance will be followed. An initial budget of \$3,000.00 for the cleaning portion of the maintenance program will be established with the City of Boston by the Responsible Party to perform the periodic inspections. Funds will be replenished by the Responsible Party as required to maintain the systems.
- 4. Good housekeeping measures to be on-going by the Responsible Party are:
  - a. Storing of materials and waste products (trash) inside or under cover.
  - b. Routine inspections and maintenance of all stormwater BMP's.
  - c. Maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscape areas.
  - d. Proper storage and application of fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides.
  - e. Proper management and storage of deicing chemicals and snow disposal.
- 5. BMPs Operation and Maintenance Plan

#### a. Curbing and Sweeping

All curbing is continuous where indicated on the plans to direct all runoff into the catch basins and stormwater treatment system. Should any curbing be damaged by snowplows or broken by the impact of vehicles, it will be repaired as soon as possible. Any catch basin inlet blocked by ice, snow, leaves or other debris will be cleaned as soon as it is noticed at inspection.

As part of this phase of maintenance, the paved parking areas will be swept on a scheduled, periodic basis to remove sand from de-icing operations, leaves, sticks, and foreign material left on the pavement. The more frequently the paved areas are swept, the less material will enter the basins and must be removed from the sumps of catch basins. This non-structural source control will be completed at least two times per year, or when sand is noticeable. It is critical to remove the accumulated sediment from the winter months (Nov.-March) as soon as possible, especially before heavy and frequent spring (April-June) precipitation.

#### b. Catch Basins and Drain Manholes

Catch basins and manholes will be inspected by a qualified contractor once per year for damage and cleaned at least once per year, typically in the spring. Catch basins will also be cleaned whenever the accumulated sediment is measured within two feet of the outlet invert by a qualified contractor. All necessary repairs will be done within six weeks of inspection.

#### c. Cultech Infiltration Chamber System

The inspection ports shall be opened and the condition of the chambers observed every two (2) years to determine that infiltration is provided according to the design. The system shall be cleaned when sediment exceeds 2"in depth. Jet spray cleaning of the chambers will be completed when required. Remedial action is required if the system is found not to be operating as designed.

#### d. Filter Strip

Regular maintenance is critical for filter strips to be effective and to ensure that flow does not short circuit the system. Inspect every 6 months during the first year of operation, and annually thereafter. Inspect for sediment buildup and the grass strip for signs of erosion, bare spots, and overall health. Regular, frequent mowing of the grass is required. Remove sediment from the toe of slope and reseed bare spots as necessary. Periodically, remove sediment that accumulates near the top of the strip to maintain the appropriate slope and prevent formation of a "berm" that could impede the distribution of runoff as sheet flow.

#### e. Grass Infiltration Basin

Once the basin is in use, inspect it after every major storm for the first few months Ensure it is stabilized and functioning properly and if necessary, take corrective action. If the ponding is due to clogging, immediately address the reasons for the clogging (such as upland sediment erosion, excessive compaction of soils, or low spots). Thereafter, inspect the infiltration basin at least twice per year. Important items to check during the inspection include:

- Signs of differential settlement,
- Cracking,
- Erosion,
- Leakage in the embankments
- Tree growth on the embankments
- Condition of riprap,
- Sediment accumulation and
- The health of the turf.

At least twice a year, mow the buffer area, side slopes, and basin bottom. Remove grass clippings and accumulated organic matter to prevent an impervious organic mat from forming. Remove trash and debris at the same time. Use deep tilling to break up clogged surfaces and revegetate immediately. Remove sediment from the basin as necessary but wait until the floor of the basin is thoroughly dry. Use light equipment to remove the top layer so as to not compact the underlying soil. Deeply till the remaining soil and revegetate as soon as possible.

#### f. Snow and Snowmelt Management

Proper placement of plowed snow and minimization of chemicals for de-icing will be completed Snow will not be piled over catch basins, and the snow will be kept a minimum distance of 20 feet away from the inlets. Plows will concentrate on clearing the inlet covers first, then working away to allow any runoff to get off the paved areas. Snow from paved areas will not be placed on pervious/grass surfaces that might allow oils to permeate the ground during a thaw or sand to damage any landscaping. De-icing compounds will not contain any sodium but may be calcium or magnesium based.

Prior to the first snowfall of each year, a meeting of all the hired snow plow contractors and the Responsible Party will be held on the site. This Operations and Maintenance Plan for snow removal will be reviewed and copies distributed.

#### C. Maintenance Summary

#### 1. Inspections

- a. The Responsible Party or designated agent will conduct and document inspections of all control measures as noted above. The purpose of such inspections will be to determine the overall effectiveness of the Stormwater Management Plan, and the need for maintenance or additional control measures as well as verifying compliance with the plan. The Responsible Party or designated agent will submit periodic reports as may be required to the Stormwater Authority or designated agent in a format approved by the Stormwater Authority.
- b. Inspect curbing and catch basin inlets. Any broken or missing curbing will be replaced as soon as feasible, and inlets cleared of debris immediately.
- c. Sweep the pavement two times a year, when sand is noticeable or after the winter months.
- d. Catch Basin sumps will be inspected at least once per year on a scheduled basis.
- e. The subsurface infiltration basins will be maintained by an outside firm specializing in this type of work. A written contract will be entered into with this firm to provide this service and a file maintained on-site.
- f. Inspect the filter strip for sediment buildup and the grass strip for signs of erosion. Remove any sediment buildup and maintain grass strip.
- g. Inspect Grass Infiltration Basin for any defects and maintain as described above.
- h. A log of all maintenance activities will be kept and made available when requested.

# V. CERTIFICATIONS AND SIGNATURES

I certify, to the best of my knowledge the information contained in this Plan is accurate and correct.

May 26, 2022

Antonio Ferrara 1817 River Street LLC 394 Washington Street – Unit B Dedham, MA 02026

Date

Norwood Engineering Co., Inc. \* Consulting Engineers & Land Surveyors 1410 Route One \* Norwood, Ma. 02062 \* (781) 762-0143 \* FAX (781) 762-8595 95 State Road \* Box 207 \* Sagamore Beach, Ma. 02562 \* (508) 888-0088



# **Basin 72 Hour Drain Down Calculations**

For 1799 & 1817 Washington Street Boston, Massachusetts

Prepared For Anthony Ferrara 1817 River Street LLC 394 Washington Street Unit B Dedham, MA, 02026

Prepared by Norwood Engineering Company, Inc. 1410 Route One Norwood, MA 02062

May 26, 2022

Prepared by na HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 09538 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

## Hydrograph for Pond 1P: CHAMBER INFILTRATION SYSTEM

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Discarded	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(cubic-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.00	0.00	1	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
6.00	0.01	5	56.52	0.01	0.01	0.00
8.00	0.02	16	56.55	0.02	0.02	0.00
10.00	0.06	162	57.02	0.02	0.02	0.00
12.00	0.87	1,145	58.75	0.19	0.02	0.16
14.00	0.08	1,095	58.64	0.08	0.02	0.06
16.00	0.04	1,066	58.58	0.04	0.02	0.02
18.00	0.02	1,044	58.54	0.03	0.02	0.01
20.00	0.02	1,024	58.50	0.02	0.02	0.00
22.00	0.02	992	58.44	0.02	0.02	0.00
24.00	0.01	938	58.34	0.02	0.02	0.00
26.00	0.00	786	58.07	0.02	0.02	0.00
28.00	0.00	635	57.80	0.02	0.02	0.00
30.00	0.00	489	57.56	0.02	0.02	0.00
32.00	0.00	347	57.32	0.02	0.02	0.00
34.00	0.00	210	57.10	0.02	0.02	0.00
36.00	0.00	77	56.76	0.02	0.02	0.00
38.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
40.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
42.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
44.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
46.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
48.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
50.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
52.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
54.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
56.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
58.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
60.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
62.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
64.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
66.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
68.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
70.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
72.00	0.00	0	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00





ENT SURVEYS PERFORMED BY D OCTOBER OF 2021.
IS A THREE-FAMILY DWELLING WITH WITH A TOTAL OF 6 BEDROOMS. THE O GALLONS OF SEWERAGE PER DAY.
ROXIMATE ONLY, AND ARE NOT ILITIES ARE SHOWN BASED ON THORITIES, AND HAVE BEEN FIELD ES MAY EXIST WHICH ARE NOT ITIES SHALL BE VERIFIED FOR ETC. PRIOR TO NEW CONNECTIONS TO NOTIFY DIG SAFE AT TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. NOTIFY THIS ES PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY SSION IS NOT PART OF DIG SAFE EWER LINES MUST BE MARKED BY TY EIGHT HOURS IN ADVANCE OF ANY
ACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDING PROVIDING GUIDANCE AND BEST PA 241. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NTING PROCEDURES AND CREATING
AND MATERIALS NECESSARY TO OPERTIES DURING CONSTRUCTION. ALL RTMENT OF LABOR, OCCUPATIONAL FANDARDS.
ATIONS SHOWN ARE FROM AVAILABLE LY. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE TING WATER AND SEWER LINES. IF THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VISUALLY VIDEO OF THE PIPE AS REQUIRED BY
KE FIELD NOTES WITH TIES TO THE AT HAVE BEEN CUT AND CAPPED ORD THIS INFORMATION. THE TO THE PROJECT ENGINEER OR LAND OF ANY REQUIRED ASBUILT PLANS. EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION IMPACT ON WATER BODIES, GE SYSTEMS AND ABUTTING
TH MOTHER BROOK LOCATED BEHIND NEIGHBORHOOD DISTRICT OF THE CITY KWOOD PH.D, SPWS OF ECOTEC, INC. ERE DELINEATED IN THE FIELD IN IN THE REGULATION AT 310 CMR SION WETLAND ORDINANCE, CITY OF E EXTENT OF THE 100-YEAR FLOOD ) IS BASED UPON TOPOGRAPHY FROM RING CO., INC. AND THE BASE FLOOD (56.44 BCB).
T OF THE EXISTING 3-FAMILY HOUSE HE DRIVEWAY AND PARKING AREAS.
FRONT YARD MODAL STUDY DAL STUDY WAS PERFORMED BY NORWOOD RING LOCATING THE BUILDINGS ON THE RLY SIDE OF RIVER STREET, FROM SOLARIS O NORTON STREET. THE SETBACKS FOR EACH WAS MEASURED FROM THE BACK OF K AND THE RESULTS ARE SHOWN BELOW.
BACK       ADDRESS       FRONTAGE (1)         FT       1799,1869,1873       160.64       FT         FT       1785,1795       679.0       FT       2         FT       1859       52.00       FT       2         FT       1855       52.00       FT       7         FT       1863       52.35       FT       7         FT       1841       45.20       FT       7         FT       1851       92.00       FT       7         FT       1851       92.00       FT       7         FT       1853       50.81       FT       7         FT       1829       50.81       FT       7         FT       1717       211.50       FT       7         FT       1837       61.18       FT       3         FT       1821,1833       100.21       FT       4         FT       1903       70.00       FT       7         FT       1843       45.20       FT       7         FT       1883       49.16       FT       7         FT       1825–1827       14.00       FT       7   <
OT FRONTAGE IS BASED ON ASSESSOR'S RDS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. RONTAGE BASED ON DEED DESCRIPTION SINGLE LOT IN DEED BK 41397, PG 5 O MARCH 5, 2007. RONTAGE FROM DEED BK 29381 PG 309 RONTAGE FROM DEED BK 59444 PG 150
<u>OWNER/APPLICANT:</u> 1817 RIVER STREET LLC 394 WASHINGTON ST – UNIT B DEDHAM, MA 02026 <u>CONTACT:</u> TONY FERRARA 617–438–2171
ND DEVELOPMENT PLAN 1817 RIVER STREET DSTON, MASS.
DE PARK – 02136–6036) CALE: 1"=10' MAY 26, 2022 WOOD ENGINEERING CO., INC. L ENGINEERS & LAND SURVEYORS ROUTE ONE, NORWOOD, MA 02062 : 781–762–0143 FAX 781–762–8595 0 25 5 10
0 5 10 20 30 No. 2 OF 3 7876-35





	COMMON NAME	SIZE	NOTES	
	'Autumn Brilliance' Serviceberry Eastern Red Bud American Yellowwood American Sycamore White Cedar		2-2.5" cal. 2" cal. 2" cal. 2" cal. 6-7ft.	B&B B&B, Fall Dig Hazard B&B B&B, Fall Dig Hazard B&B
ice' Ils' 'Roseum'	Sweet Fern Isanti Red Twig Dogwood Alice Oakleaf Hydrangea Niagara Falls Easter White Pine Rosebay Rhododendron	•	3 gal. 5 gal. #3 5 gal. 5 gal.	Pots 4' o.c. for Native Hedge Urban tolerant
'Karl Foerster'	Bluestar Feather Reed Grass Beebalm		2 gal. 2 gal. 2 gal.	24" o.c. Pots

NEWP: New England Wetland Plants MOIST SITE MIX aka (New England Erosion Control/Restoration Mix for Detention Basins and Moist Sites

The New England Erosion Control/Restoration Mix for Detention Basins and Moist Sites contains a selection of native grasses and wildflowers designed to colonize generally moist, recently disturbed sites where quick growth of vegetation is desired to stabilize the soil surface. It is an appropriate seed mix for ecologically sensitive restorations that require stabilization as well as long-term establishment of native vegetation. This mix is particularly appropriate for detention basins that do not hold standing water. Many of the plants in this mix can tolerate infrequent inundation, but not constant flooding. The mix may be applied by hand, by mechanical spreader, or by hydroseeder. Summer seeding. Late Fall and Winter dormant seeding requires an increase in the application rate. A light mulching of clean, weed-free

LEGEND: SEE PLANT SCHEDULE EXISTING TREE TREE PROTECTION TO BE REMOVED AFTER CONSTRUCTION PROPOSED DECIDUOUS TREE PROPOSED EVERGREEN TREE PROPOSED ORNAMENTAL TREE + PROPOSED SHRUBS GROUNDCOVERS, PERENNIALS + ORNAMENTAL GRASSES TYP. SEED MIXES: SEE SPECIFICATIONS AND DETAIL LOAM AND SEED - GENERAL LAWN SEE SPECIFICATIONS FOR SEED TYPE Lawn NEWP SEED MIX (MOW ONCE PER YEAR) PH: 413-548-8000 FX: 413-549-4000 E: info@newp.com New England Wetland Plants, Inc. 820 West Street Amherst, MA 01002 PLANTING NOTES 1. All plant material shall be approved by the Landscape Architect prior to arrival on the site. 2. All plant material shall conform to the guidelines established by "The American Standard for Nursery Stock", published by the American Hort. 3. No substitution of plant species will be allowed without the written approval of the Landscape Architect. Any proposed substitutions of plant species shall be a plant of equivalent overall form, height and branching habit, flower, leaf and fruit, color and time of bloom. 4. The Contractor shall locate and verify all utility line locations prior to excavation for tree pits and report any conflicts to the Landscape Architect. 5. All plants shall be placed in their approximate location by the Contractor. The Contractor shall adjust the

locations as required by the Landscape Architect. Trees shall be placed first, then shrubs, then perennials and last, groundcovers. Final locations must be approved by the Landscape Architect prior to planting. The rootballs of trees shall be planted 3" above adjacent finished grade. The rootballs of shrubs shall be planted

- 2" above adjacent finished grade. The rootflare of perennials shall be set at the level at which the plant was growing.
- 7. All planting to be done under the full time supervision of a certified arborist, nurseryman or licensed Landscape Architect.
- 8. All plants are to be thoroughly watered after installation, at least twice within the first 24 hours.





NAME	COMMON NAME SIZ	Έ	NOTES			
						X
astis kentukea	American Yellowwood	2'	2" cal. B&	εB		
ocladus dioicus	Kentucky Coffeetree	2'	eral. B&	B		
strobus 'Bennett's Oculus Draconis'	Bennett's Oculus Draconis White Pir	ne 4,	/5' B&	B		
us occidentalis	American Sycamore	2'	en e	B		
occidentalis 'Nigra'	White Cedar	6-	o-7ft.			
ngea quercifolia 'Snowflake'	Snowflake Oakleaf Hydrangea	3	gal.	Ur	rban tolerant	
		Z-	24-30" ht. Po	is		
nocissus quinquefolia (VIINE)		ک ح	igal. Po	iS	Native MI County	
strobus 'Niagara Falls'	Niagara Falls Easter White Pine	5	o gal.			
	Iceberg Climbing Rose (White)	3	gal.			
yphina 'liger Eyes'	Cutleat Staghorn Sumac	3	gal.			
nia hubrichtii	Bluestar	2	2 gal. 24	." o.c.		REA WITHIN THE 25' WATERFRONT AREA UNDER
nagrostis acutiflora 'Karl Foerster'	Feather Reed Grass	2	2 gal. Po	ts		UNTOUCHED
rda fistulosa	Beebalm	2	2 gal.			
Wetland Plants MOIST SITE MIX ak	a (New England Frasion Control/Rest	toratio	on Mix for D	etenti	ion Basins and Moist Sites)	
				SIGHT		
sion Control/Restoration Mix for De	tention Basins and Moist Sites contain	ns a se	election of r	native	e grasses and	PO-2

wildflowers designed to colonize generally moist, recently disturbed sites where quick growth of vegetation is desired to stabilize the soil surface. It is an appropriate seed mix for ecologically sensitive restorations that require stabilization as well as long-term establishment of native vegetation. This mix is particularly appropriate for detention basins that do not hold standing water. Many of the plants in this mix can tolerate infrequent inundation, but not constant flooding. The mix may be applied by hand, by mechanical spreader, or by hydroseeder. After sowing, lightly rake, roll or cultipack to insure good seed-to-soil contact. Best results are obtained with a Spring or late Summer seeding. Late Fall and Winter dormant seeding requires an increase in the application rate. A light mulching of clean, weed-free **LEGEND:** SEE PLANT SCHEDULE

> PROPOSED ORNAMENTAL TREE PROPOSED SHRUBS GROUNDCOVERS, PERENNIALS -ORNAMENTAL GRASSES TYP. **SEED MIXES:** SEE SPECIFICATIONS AND DETAIL LOAM AND SEED - GENERAL LAWN SEE SPECIFICATIONS FOR SEED TYPE Lawn NEWP SEED MIX (MOW ONCE PER YEAR) PH: 413-548-8000 FX: 413-549-4000 E: info@newp.com New England Wetland Plants, Inc.

820 West Street

Amherst, MA 01002

EXISTING TREE TREE PROTECTION

PROPOSED DECIDUOUS TREE

PROPOSED EVERGREEN TREE

TO BE REMOVED AFTER

CONSTRUCTION

PLANTING NOTES

1. All plant material shall be approved by the Landscape Architect prior to arrival on the site.

- 2. All plant material shall conform to the guidelines established by "The American Standard for Nursery Stock", published by the American Hort.
- 3. No substitution of plant species will be allowed without the written approval of the Landscape Architect. Any proposed substitutions of plant species shall be a plant of equivalent overall form, height and branching habit, flower, leaf and fruit, color and time of bloom.
- 4. The Contractor shall locate and verify all utility line locations prior to excavation for tree pits and report any conflicts to the Landscape Architect.
- 5. All plants shall be placed in their approximate location by the Contractor. The Contractor shall adjust the locations as required by the Landscape Architect. Trees shall be placed first, then shrubs, then perennials and last, groundcovers. Final locations must be approved by the Landscape Architect prior to planting.
- 6. The rootballs of trees shall be planted 3" above adjacent finished grade. The rootballs of shrubs shall be planted 2" above adjacent finished grade. The rootflare of perennials shall be set at the level at which the plant was growing.
- 7. All planting to be done under the full time supervision of a certified arborist, nurseryman or licensed Landscape Architect.
- 8. All plants are to be thoroughly watered after installation, at least twice within the first 24 hours.



© Verdant Landscape Architecture