Notice of Intent

Under 310 CMR 10.00 Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations and the City of Boston Wetlands Ordinance Chapter VII-I.IV

Herb Chambers Honda of Boston 710-720 Morrissey Blvd, Boston, MA

CHA Project Number: 059051

Submitted to: Boston Conservation Commission 1 City Hall Square Boston, MA 02201

Applicant: Herb Chambers of Woburn LLC c/o The Herb Chambers Companies 259 McGrath Highway Somerville, MA 02145

Prepared by:



1 Faneuil Hall Marketplace Boston, MA, 02109 Phone: (617) 451-2717

September 2021



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	<u>Section</u>
Notice of Intent (NOI) Forms Boston Notice of Intent Form	Ι
WPA Form 3 & NOI Wetland Transmittal Form	
Boston Conservation Commission NOI Filing Checklist	
Executive Summary	II
1.0 Introduction	
2.0 Existing Conditions	
3.0 Environmental Resource Areas	
4.0 Proposed Project	
5.0 Alternative Analysis	
6.0 General Construction Sequence	
7.0 Stormwater Standards	
8.0 Climate Resiliency	
9.0 Regulatory Compliance	
Figures	III
Figure 1 Locus Map	
Figure 2 USGS Map	
Figure 3 NHESP Map	
Figure 4 FEMA Map	
Figure 5 ACEC Map	
Abutter Notification and Information	IV
Filing Fee Information	V
	271
Appendices	VI
Appendix A Ulimate Keshiency Unecklist	
Appendix B Exhibits	
Appendix C Project Plans (separate cover)	
Appendix D Stormwater Report (separate cover)	



LIST OF ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS

Boston City Base (vertical datum)
Base Flood Elevation
Best Management Practice
Bordering Vegetated Wetland
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Flood Insurance Rate Map
Hydrologic Soil Group
Interim Wellhead Protection Area
Land Subject To Coastal Storm Flowage
Mean Annual High-Water
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
North American Vertical Datum
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Special Flood Hazard Area
Seasonal High Groundwater
Stormwater Management Standards Handbook
Time of Concentration
Total Suspended Solids
United States Geological Survey



1.0 NOTICE OF INTENT (NOI) FORMS



NOTICE OF INTENT APPLICATION FORM

Boston File Number

Boston Wetlands Ordinance City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4

MassDEP File Number

1. Project Location

710-720 Morrissey	' Blvd	Boston		02122
a. Street Address		b. City/Tov	wn	c. Zip Code
		1600251	1001	
f. Assessors Map/Pla	t Number	g. Parcel /I	Lot Number	
2. Applicant				
James	Xaros	The H	erb Chambers Co	mpanies
a. First Name	b. Last Name	c. Comp	any	
259 McGrath High	way			
d. Mailing Address				
Somerville		MA	0214	5
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip G	Code
617-666-8333	617-666-8448	ixaros@her	bchambers.com	
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email address		
3. Property Owr	ner			
		Herb Cha	mbers of Woburn	LLC
a. First Name	b. Last Name	c. Company		
720 Morrissey Blvc	b			
a. Mailing Address				
Boston		MA	02122	
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code	2
617-666-8333				
h. Phone Number	1. Fax Number	j. Email address		
Check if mo	re than one owner			
(If there is more than on	e property owner, please att	ach a list of these proper	ty owners to this form.)	
,			. ,	
4. Representativ	ve (if any)			
Kelly	Killeen	CHA Con	sulting, Inc.	
a. First Name b. Last Name		c. Company		
141 Longwater Dr	#104			
d. Mailing Address				
Norwell		MA	02061	
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code	2
781-982-5434	781-982-5490	kkilleen@chad	companies.com	
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email address	·	



City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4

Boston File Number

MassDEP File Number

5. Is any portion of the proposed project jurisdictional under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131 §40?

Boston Wetlands Ordinance

🗹 Yes 🗆 No

If yes, please file the WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent with this form

6. General Information

Redevelopment of an existing car dealership lot. Demo existing building and construct

4-story building with an approximately 28,600 sf footprint.

	7.	Proj	ject	Type Checklist			
		a.		Single Family Home	b.		Residential Subdivision
		c.		Limited Project Driveway Crossing	d.	Ø	Commercial/Industrial
		e.		Dock/Pier	f.		Utilities
		g.		Coastal Engineering Structure	h.		Agriculture – cranberries, forestry
		i.		Transportation	j.		Other
	8.	Pro	per	ty recorded at the Registry of Deeds			
Su	ffol	k			_	14	6
	a. (County	y		b. F	Page 1	Number
	60	631					
	c. I	Book			d. (Certif	icate # (if registered land)
	9.	Tota	al F	ee Paid			
\$2	,56	2.50		\$512.50			\$2,050.00
	а. Т	Fotal F	ee P	aid b. State Fee Paid			c. City Fee Paid
B. BUFFER ZONE & RESOURCE AREA IMPACTS							
	bul the		ton	Wetlands Ordinance?	ne d	une	2011 Of a resource area protected by
	uit		Yes	we changes Of uniance:			🗹 No

CITY of BOSTON

1.

Coastal Resource Areas

City of Boston Environment

NOTICE OF INTENT APPLICATION FORM

Boston File Number

Boston Wetlands Ordinance

City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4

MassDEP File Number

<u>Re</u>	esource Area	Resource <u>Area Size</u>	Proposed <u>Alteration*</u>	Proposed <u>Migitation</u>
	Coastal Flood Resilience Zone			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	25-foot Waterfront Area			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	100-foot Salt Marsh Area			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Riverfront Area			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
2.	Inland Resource Areas			
<u>Re</u>	source Area	Resource <u>Area Size</u>	Proposed <u>Alteration*</u>	Proposed <u>Migitation</u>
	Inland Flood Resilience Zone			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Isolated Wetlands			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Vernal Pool			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Vernal Pool Habitat (vernal pool + 100 ft. upland area)			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	25-foot Waterfront Area			
		Square feet	Square feet	Square feet
	Dimonfront Area			
	Riverfrom Area			

C. OTHER APPLICABLE STANDARDS & REQUIREMENTS

1. What other permits, variances, or approvals are required for the proposed activity described herein and what is the status of such permits, variances, or approvals?

BPDA Large Project Review - BCDC approval obtained on 7/6/21
BWSC Site Plan Review - Plans resubmitted (revision #2) on 6/9/21
Boston Board of Appeals - Application not yet submitted
Boston Parks and Recreation - Application not yet submitted
Boston Landmarks Commission - Application not yet submitted
Boston Public Works Department/PIC - Application not yet submitted
Boston Inspectional Services Department - Application not yet submitted
Department of Conservation & Recreation - Application not yet submitted
Boston Transportation Department - Application not yet submitted

CITY of BOSTON



NOTICE OF INTENT APPLICATION FORM

Boston File Number

City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4 MassDEP File Number

2. Is any portion of the proposed project located in Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)? To view habitat maps, see the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas or go to http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/nhregmap.htm.

Boston Wetlands Ordinance

□ Yes

🗹 No

If yes, the project is subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18).

A. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review

- Percentage/acreage of property to be altered:
 - (1) within wetland Resource Area

percentage/acreage

percentage/acreage

Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site

(2) outside Resource Area

3. Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?

□ Yes 🗹 No

If yes, provide the name of the ACEC: _____

- 4. Is the proposed project subject to provisions of the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards?
 - ☑ Yes. Attach a copy of the Stormwater Checklist & Stormwater Report as required.
 - □ Applying for a Low Impact Development (LID) site design credits
 - \mathbf{Z} A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment
 - ☑ Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Management System
 - $\hfill\square$ No. Check below & include a narrative as to why the project is exempt
 - □ Single-family house
 - □ Emergency road repair
 - Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 single family houses or less than or equal to 4 units in a multifamily housing projects) with no discharge to Critical Areas
- 5. Is the proposed project subject to Boston Water and Sewer Commission Review?
 - 🗹 Yes 🗆 No

 \square



NOTICE OF INTENT APPLICATION FORM City of Boston

Boston File Number

City of Boston Code, Ordinances, Chapter 7-1.4

MassDEP File Number

SIGNATURES AND SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS D.

Environment

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the Wetlands Protection Ordinance.

Boston Wetlands Ordinance

re of Applicant Owner (if different) Prop inc of if any

9/21 2 Date

Date 9.21.21 Date

CITY of BOSTON



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town



use the return

key.

A. General Information

1. Project Location (Note: electronic filers will click on button to locate project site):

710-720 Morrisse	ey Blvd	Boston	02122
a. Street Address	-	b. City/Town	c. Zip Code
		42.297432	-71.048370
Latitude and Lon	gitude:	d. Latitude	e. Longitude
		1600251001	
f. Assessors Map/Pla	it Number	g. Parcel /Lot Number	
Applicant:			
James		Xaros	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
The Herb Chamb	pers Companies		
c. Organization			
259 McGrath Hig	Jhway		
d. Street Address			
Somerville		MA	02145
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
617-666-8333	617-666-8448	jxaros@herbchambers	s.com
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers	required if different from a of Woburn LLC	oplicant): Check if m	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl	required if different from a of Woburn LLC	oplicant): Check if m	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address	required if different from a of Woburn LLC	oplicant): Check if m	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston	required if different from a of Woburn LLC	oplicant): Check if m	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers of c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town	required if different from a of Woburn LLC	oplicant): Check if m	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333	required if different from a of Woburn LLC	oplicant): Check if m	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number	required if different from a of Woburn LLC lvd 	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name 	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative ((required if different from a of Woburn LLC Ivd 	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address	nore than one owner <u>02122 g. Zip Code</u>
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly	(required if different from a of Woburn LLC Ivd i. Fax Number if any):	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen	nore than one owner 02122 g. Zip Code
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers of c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly a. First Name	(required if different from a of Woburn LLC Ivd i. Fax Number if any):	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen b. Last Name	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly a. First Name CHA Consulting,	(required if different from a of Woburn LLC Ivd 	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen b. Last Name	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly a. First Name CHA Consulting, c. Company	(required if different from a of Woburn LLC Ivd 	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen b. Last Name	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly a. First Name CHA Consulting, c. Company 141 Longwater D	(required if different from a of Woburn LLC Ivd i. Fax Number if any): Inc.	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen b. Last Name	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly a. First Name CHA Consulting, c. Company 141 Longwater D d. Street Address	(required if different from a) of Woburn LLC Ivd i. Fax Number if any): Inc.)r #104	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen b. Last Name	nore than one owner 02122 g. Zip Code
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly a. First Name CHA Consulting, c. Company 141 Longwater D d. Street Address Norwell	(required if different from a of Woburn LLC Ivd i. Fax Number if any): Inc.)r #104	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen b. Last Name	nore than one owner
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly a. First Name CHA Consulting, c. Company 141 Longwater D d. Street Address Norwell e. City/Town	(required if different from a of Woburn LLC Ivd i. Fax Number if any): Inc. pr #104	pplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen b. Last Name MA f. State	nore than one owner 02122 g. Zip Code
Property owner (a. First Name Herb Chambers c. Organization 720 Morrissey Bl d. Street Address Boston e. City/Town 617-666-8333 h. Phone Number Representative (Kelly a. First Name CHA Consulting, c. Company 141 Longwater D d. Street Address Norwell e. City/Town 781-982-5434	(required if different from a) of Woburn LLC Ivd i. Fax Number if any): Inc. Dr #104	oplicant): Check if m b. Last Name MA f. State j. Email address Killeen b. Last Name	nore than one owner 02122 g. Zip Code

4

Page 2 of 9

Provided by MassDEP:

2. Residential Subdivision

6. Coastal engineering Structure

Dock/Pier

8. Transportation

If yes, describe which limited project applies to this project. (See 310 CMR

10.24 and 10.53 for a complete list and description of limited project types)

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

If the proposed activity is eligible to be treated as an Ecological Restoration Limited Project (310 CMR10.24(8), 310 CMR 10.53(4)), complete and attach Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited

Project Checklist and Signed Certification.

8. Property recorded at the Registry of Deeds for:

Suffolk	
a. County	b. Certificate # (if registered land)
60631	146
c. Book	d. Page Number

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent)

- 1. Buffer Zone Only Check if the project is located only in the Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland, Inland Bank, or Coastal Resource Area.
- 2. Inland Resource Areas (see 310 CMR 10.54-10.58; if not applicable, go to Section B.3, Coastal Resource Areas).

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and any supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.



WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

building with an approximately 28,600 sf footprint.

7. Agriculture (e.g., cranberries, forestry)

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

A. General Information (continued)

6. General Project Description:

1. Single Family Home

3. Commercial/Industrial

5. Utilities

9. Other

1. 🗌 Yes 🖂 No

2. Limited Project Type

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

7a. Project Type Checklist: (Limited Project Types see Section A. 7b.)

Redevelopment of an existing car dealership lot. Demo existing building and construct 4-story

7b. Is any portion of the proposed activity eligible to be treated as a limited project (including Ecological Restoration Limited Project) subject to 310 CMR 10.24 (coastal) or 310 CMR 10.53 (inland)?

4

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Provided by MassDEP:

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

	Resour	<u>ce Area</u>	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
For all projects	a. 🗌	Bank	1. linear feet	2. linear feet
affecting other Resource Areas,	b. 🛄	Bordering Vegetated Wetland	1. square feet	2. square feet
narrative explaining how the resource	c. 🗌	Land Under Waterbodies and	1. square feet	2. square feet
area was delineated.		Waterways	3. cubic yards dredged	
	<u>Resour</u>	ce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
	d. 🗌	Bordering Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	2. square feet
	e 🗌	Isolated Land	3. cubic feet of flood storage lost	4. cubic feet replaced
	0.	Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	
			2. cubic feet of flood storage lost	3. cubic feet replaced
	f. 🗌	Riverfront Area	1. Name of Waterway (if available) - sp	ecify coastal or inland
	2.	Width of Riverfront Area	a (check one):	
		25 ft Designated	Densely Developed Areas only	
		100 ft New agricu	ltural projects only	
		200 ft All other pr	ojects	
	3.	Total area of Riverfront A	rea on the site of the proposed proj	ect: square feet
	4.	Proposed alteration of the	Riverfront Area:	
	a. 1	total square feet	b. square feet within 100 ft.	c. square feet between 100 ft. and 200 ft.
	5.	Has an alternatives analy	sis been done and is it attached to	this NOI?
	6.	Was the lot where the act	ivity is proposed created prior to Au	igust 1, 1996? □ Yes □ No
:	3. 🛛 Co	astal Resource Areas: (Se	ee 310 CMR 10.25-10.35)	
	Note:	for coastal riverfront area	s, please complete Section B.2.f. a	bove.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP:

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.

Online Users: Include your		Resource Area		Size of Proposed	ed Alteration Proposed Replacement (if ar			
transaction number		a. 🗌	Designated Port Areas	Indicate size ur	nder Land Under	the Ocean, below		
(provided on your receipt page) with all		b. Land Under the Ocean 1. square fe		1. square feet				
information you				2. cubic yards dredg	ed			
Department.		c. 🗌	Barrier Beach	Indicate size und	ler Coastal Beac	stal Beaches and/or Coastal Dunes below		
		d. 🗌	Coastal Beaches	1. square feet		2. cubic yards beach nourishment		
		e. 🗌	Coastal Dunes	1. square feet		2. cubic yards dune nourishment		
				Size of Proposed	d Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)		
		f. 🗌	Coastal Banks	1. linear feet				
		g. 🗌	Rocky Intertidal Shores	1. square feet				
		h. 🗌	Salt Marshes	1. square feet		2. sq ft restoration, rehab., creation		
		i. 🗌	Land Under Salt Ponds	1. square feet				
				2. cubic yards dredg	ed			
		j. 🗌	Land Containing Shellfish	1. square feet				
		k. 🗌	Fish Runs	Indicate size und Ocean, and/or in above	der Coastal Bank Iland Land Unde	s, inland Bank, Land Under the rWaterbodies and Waterways,		
		I. 🔀	Land Subject to	1. cubic yards dredg 106,940	ed			
4	4.	Res If the pr square amount	Coastal Storm Flowage storation/Enhancement roject is for the purpose of r footage that has been ente t here.	1. square feet restoring or enhar red in Section B.2	icing a wetland r 2.b or B.3.h abov	esource area in addition to the e, please enter the additional		
		a. square	e feet of BVW		b. square feet of Sa	alt Marsh		
	5.	Pro	ject Involves Stream Cross	sings				
		a. numbe	er of new stream crossings		b. number of replac	cement stream crossings		



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP:

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements

This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section C and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklists – Required Actions (310 CMR 10.11).

Streamlined Massachusetts Endangered Species Act/Wetlands Protection Act Review

 Is any portion of the proposed project located in Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)? To view habitat maps, see the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas or go to http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/PRI_EST_HAB/viewer.htm.

a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No	If yes, include proof of mailing or hand delivery of NOI to:
	Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program Division of Fisheries and Wildlife
2017 Mass GIS	1 Rabbit Hill Road Westborough MA 01581
b. Date of map	westbolough, WA 01501

If yes, the project is also subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18). To qualify for a streamlined, 30-day, MESA/Wetlands Protection Act review, please complete Section C.1.c, and include requested materials with this Notice of Intent (NOI); *OR* complete Section C.2.f, if applicable. *If MESA supplemental information is not included with the NOI, by completing Section 1 of this form, the NHESP will require a separate MESA filing which may take up to 90 days to review (unless noted exceptions in Section 2 apply, see below).*

c. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review*

1. Dercentage/acreage of property to be altered:

(a) within wetland Resource Area

percentage/acreage

(b) outside Resource Area

percentage/acreage

- 2. Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site
- 2. Project plans for entire project site, including wetland resource areas and areas outside of wetlands jurisdiction, showing existing and proposed conditions, existing and proposed tree/vegetation clearing line, and clearly demarcated limits of work **
 - (a) Project description (including description of impacts outside of wetland resource area & buffer zone)
 - (b) D Photographs representative of the site

^{*} Some projects **not** in Estimated Habitat may be located in Priority Habitat, and require NHESP review (see https://www.mass.gov/ma-endangered-species-act-mesa-regulatory-review).

Priority Habitat includes habitat for state-listed plants and strictly upland species not protected by the Wetlands Protection Act.

^{**} MESA projects may not be segmented (321 CMR 10.16). The applicant must disclose full development plans even if such plans are not required as part of the Notice of Intent process.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP:

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

MassDEP File Number

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)

(c) MESA filing fee (fee information available at <u>https://www.mass.gov/how-to/how-to-file-for-a-mesa-project-review</u>).

Make check payable to "Commonwealth of Massachusetts - NHESP" and *mail to NHESP* at above address

Projects altering 10 or more acres of land, also submit:

- (d) Vegetation cover type map of site
- (e) Project plans showing Priority & Estimated Habitat boundaries
- (f) OR Check One of the Following
- 1. Project is exempt from MESA review. Attach applicant letter indicating which MESA exemption applies. (See 321 CMR 10.14, <u>https://www.mass.gov/service-details/exemptions-from-review-for-projectsactivities-in-</u> <u>priority-habitat</u>; the NOI must still be sent to NHESP if the project is within estimated habitat pursuant to 310 CMR 10.37 and 10.59.)

2	Soparato MESA roviow opgoing		
Z	Separate MESA review origoing.	a. NHESP Tracking #	b. Date submitted to NHESP

- 3. Separate MESA review completed. Include copy of NHESP "no Take" determination or valid Conservation & Management Permit with approved plan.
- 3. For coastal projects only, is any portion of the proposed project located below the mean high water line or in a fish run?

a. Not applicable – project is in inland resource area only	b. 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
---	----------	------

If yes, include proof of mailing, hand delivery, or electronic delivery of NOI to either:

South Shore - Cohasset to Rhode Island border, and North Shore - Hull to New Hampshire border: the Cape & Islands:

Division of Marine Fisheries -Southeast Marine Fisheries Station Attn: Environmental Reviewer 836 South Rodney French Blvd. New Bedford, MA 02744 Email: <u>dmf.envreview-south@mass.gov</u> Division of Marine Fisheries -North Shore Office Attn: Environmental Reviewer 30 Emerson Avenue Gloucester, MA 01930 Email: dmf.envreview-north@mass.gov

Also if yes, the project may require a Chapter 91 license. For coastal towns in the Northeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Boston Office. For coastal towns in the Southeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Southeast Regional Office.

с.	Is this an	aquaculture	project
С.	is this an	aquaculture	project

чΙ	Yes	\square	No
u. լ	163		110

If yes, include a copy of the Division of Marine Fisheries Certification Letter (M.G.L. c. 130, § 57).

	Ма Ви М а	Assachusetts Department of Environmental Protection areau of Resource Protection - Wetlands /PA Form 3 – Notice of Intent assachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40	Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File Number Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town
	C.	Other Applicable Standards and Requirements	(cont'd)
	4.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Enviror	nmental Concern (ACEC)?
Online Users: Include your document		a. Yes No If yes, provide name of ACEC (see instruction Website for ACEC locations). Note: electronic	s to WPA Form 3 or MassDEP filers click on Website.
transaction number		b. ACEC	
(provided on your receipt page)	5.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an area designated as an (ORW) as designated in the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Sta	Outstanding Resource Water andards, 314 CMR 4.00?
supplementary		a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
submit to the Department.	6.	Is any portion of the site subject to a Wetlands Restriction Order under Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 131, § 40A) or the Coastal Wetlands Restric	r the Inland Wetlands tion Act (M.G.L. c. 130, § 105)?
		a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
	7.	Is this project subject to provisions of the MassDEP Stormwater Mana	gement Standards?
		 a. Xes. Attach a copy of the Stormwater Report as required by the Standards per 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)-(q) and check if: 1. Applying for Low Impact Development (LID) site design or Stormwater Management Handbook Vol. 2, Chapter 3) 2. A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment 3. Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Manage b. No. Check why the project is exempt: 1. Single-family house 2. Emergency road repair 3. Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 sing or equal to 4 units in multi-family housing project) with not 	ne Stormwater Management redits (as described in ment System. gle-family houses or less than discharge to Critical Areas.
	D.	Additional Information	
		This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip S Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Notice of Intent – Minimum Requir 10.12).	Section D and complete red Documents (310 CMR
		Applicants must include the following with this Notice of Intent (NOI).	See instructions for details.

Online Users: Attach the document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) for any of the following information you submit to the Department.

- 1. USGS or other map of the area (along with a narrative description, if necessary) containing sufficient information for the Conservation Commission and the Department to locate the site. (Electronic filers may omit this item.)
- 2. Plans identifying the location of proposed activities (including activities proposed to serve as a Bordering Vegetated Wetland [BVW] replication area or other mitigating measure) relative to the boundaries of each affected resource area.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

D. Additional Information (cont'd)

- 3. Identify the method for BVW and other resource area boundary delineations (MassDEP BVW Field Data Form(s), Determination of Applicability, Order of Resource Area Delineation, etc.), and attach documentation of the methodology.
- 4. \square List the titles and dates for all plans and other materials submitted with this NOI.

Site Development Plans for Herb Charr	bers Honda of Boston		
a. Plan Title			
CHA Consulting, Inc.	Kelly Killeen		
b. Prepared By c. Signed and Stamped by			
06/09/2021	1" = 20'		
d. Final Revision Date	e. Scale		
		01/31/2020	
f. Additional Plan or Document Title		g. Date	

- 5. If there is more than one property owner, please attach a list of these property owners not listed on this form.
- 6. Attach proof of mailing for Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, if needed.
- 7. Attach proof of mailing for Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, if needed.
- 8. Attach NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
- 9. \square Attach Stormwater Report, if needed.

E. Fees

1. Fee Exempt: No filing fee shall be assessed for projects of any city, town, county, or district of the Commonwealth, federally recognized Indian tribe housing authority, municipal housing authority, or the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority.

Applicants must submit the following information (in addition to pages 1 and 2 of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form) to confirm fee payment:

1246	9/17/21
2. Municipal Check Number	3. Check date
1244	9/17/21
4. State Check Number	5. Check date
Herb Chambers of Woburn, LLC	
6. Payor name on check: First Name	7. Payor name on check: Last Name



Provided by MassDEP Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands MassDEP File Number WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40 Boston

Document Transaction Number City/Town

F. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the wetlands regulations, 310 CMR 10.05(5)(a).

I further certify under penalties of perjury that all abutters were notified of this application, pursuant to the requirements of M.G.L. c. 131, § 40. Notice must be made by Certificate of Mailing or in writing by hand delivery or certified mail (return receipt requested) to all abutters within 100 feet of the property line of the project location.

2. Date 4. Date 9:21:21 ature of Appl (if different) natu e o roi (If any)

For Conservation Commission:

Two copies of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, two copies of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and the city/town fee payment, to the Conservation Commission by certified mail or hand delivery.

For MassDEP:

One copy of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, one copy of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and a copy of the state fee payment to the MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions) by certified mail or hand delivery.

Other:

If the applicant has checked the "yes" box in any part of Section C, Item 3, above, refer to that section and the Instructions for additional submittal requirements.

The original and copies must be sent simultaneously. Failure by the applicant to send copies in a timely manner may result in dismissal of the Notice of Intent.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands **NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form**

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.

1.

2.



A. Applicant Information

		_	
710-720 Morrissey	Blvd	Boston	
a. Street Address		b. City/Town	
c. Check number		d. Fee amount	
Applicant Mailing Ac	ddress:		
James		Xaros	
a. First Name b. Last Name			
The Herb Chambers	s Companies		
c. Organization			
259 McGrath Highw	vay		
d. Mailing Address			
Somerville		MA	02145
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
617-666-8333	617-666-8448	jxaros@herbchambers.co	m
h. Phone Number	i, Fax Number	i. Email Address	

Property Owner (if different):

a. First Name		b. Last Name	
Herb Chambers of V	Voburn LLC		
c. Organization			
720 Morrissey Blvd			
d. Mailing Address			
Boston		MA	02122
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
617-666-8333			
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	

To calculate filing fees, refer to the category fee list and examples in the instructions for filling out WPA Form 3 (Notice of Intent).

B. Fees

Fee should be calculated using the following process & worksheet. Please see Instructions before filling out worksheet.

Step 1/Type of Activity: Describe each type of activity that will occur in wetland resource area and buffer zone.

Step 2/Number of Activities: Identify the number of each type of activity.

Step 3/Individual Activity Fee: Identify each activity fee from the six project categories listed in the instructions.

Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee: Multiply the number of activities (identified in Step 2) times the fee per category (identified in Step 3) to reach a subtotal fee amount. Note: If any of these activities are in a Riverfront Area in addition to another Resource Area or the Buffer Zone, the fee per activity should be multiplied by 1.5 and then added to the subtotal amount.

Step 5/Total Project Fee: Determine the total project fee by adding the subtotal amounts from Step 4.

Step 6/Fee Payments: To calculate the state share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and subtract \$12.50. To calculate the city/town share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and add \$12.50.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

B. Fees (continued)

Step 1/Type of Activity	Step 2/Number of Activities	Step 3/Individual Activity Fee	Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee
Category 3 b. Each building (for development) including site.	<u>1</u>	\$1,050	\$1,050
	 	otal Project Fee:	
	Step 6/I	Fee Payments:	
	Total	Project Fee:	\$1,050 a. Total Fee from Step 5
	State share	of filing Fee:	\$512.50 b. 1/2 Total Fee less \$ 12.50
	City/Town share	of filling Fee:	\$537.50 c. 1/2 Total Fee plus \$12.50

C. Submittal Requirements

a.) Complete pages 1 and 2 and send with a check or money order for the state share of the fee, payable to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Department of Environmental Protection Box 4062 Boston, MA 02211

b.) To the Conservation Commission: Send the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a copy of this form; and the city/town fee payment.

To MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions): Send a copy of the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and a **copy** of the state fee payment. (E-filers of Notices of Intent may submit these electronically.)

Checklist for Filing a Notice of Intent with Boston Conservation Commission

In order for the Boston Conservation Commission to effectively process your Notice of Intent, BCC requests that you complete the checklist below and include it with your submission. If you should need assistance please contact Commission Staff: 617-635-3850 (cc@boston.gov).

Please Submit the Following to the Conservation Commission:

- Two copies (a signed original and 1 copy) of a completed Notice of Intent (WPA Form 3)
- Two copies (a signed original and 1 copy) of a completed Boston Notice of Intent (Local Form)

Two copies of plans (reduced to 11" X 17") in their final form with engineer's stamp affixed supporting calculations and other documentation necessary to completely describe the proposed work and mitigating measures. Plans must include existing conditions, the proposed project, erosion controls and mitigation measures, grading and spot elevations and all wetland resource areas and associated buffer zones. Some projects may require both an aerial view of the plans along with a profile view of plans depending on the scope of work.

- Two copies of an 8 ½" x 11" section of the <u>USGS quadrangle map</u> of the area, containing sufficient information for the Conservation Commission and the Department to locate the site of the work.
- (If applicable) Two copies the Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map for the project site. FEMA Flood Maps: <u>https://msc.fema.gov/portal</u>.
- □ Two copies of the determination regarding the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program: Review Section C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements of the Notice of Intent, page 4 of 8, pertaining to wildlife habitat. The Conservation Commission and the <u>Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program</u> have the maps necessary to make this determination.
- ☑ (If applicable) Two hard copies of a Stormwater Report to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards per 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)-(q), including associated drainage calculations for rooftops, parking lots, driveways, etc., for the required design storm events.
- (If applicable) A narrative detailing best management practices for stormwater management as set forth in the Stormwater Management Standards of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection and any separate standards and guidelines prepared by the City and the Boston Water and Sewer Commission.
- $\mathbf{\Sigma}'$ (If applicable) Two hard copies of the Checklist for Stormwater Report
- Details of the stormwater management system, including: catch basins, oil separating tanks, detention basins, outfalls, sewer connections, etc.
- □ Any photographs related to the project representing the wetland resource areas.
- Two copies of a detailed project narrative describing the following: an overview of the entire project, the work proposed within wetland resource areas and/or buffer zones; how the performance standards specific to the wetland resource areas will be met (listing out each performance standard); a consideration of the effect that projected sea level rise, changes in storm intensity and frequency, and other consequences of climate change may have on the resource areas and proposed activities; construction equipment and material involved; and measures to protect wetland resource areas and mitigate impacts. The applicant shall also include narrative on how they plan to integrate climate change and adaptation planning considerations into their project to promote climate resilience to protect and promote Resource Area Values and functions into the future.
- Two copies of an Abutters List, Affidavit of Service and <u>Abutter Notification</u>, filed concurrently with the Notice of Intent. Abutter notices shall be sent in both English and the second most commonly spoken language(s) in the neighborhood(s) where the project is proposed. Notices shall also include Babel notice cards for additional translation and language access services. <u>All abutters within 300' of the project</u>

<u>Checklist for Filing a Notice of Intent with Boston Conservation Commission</u></u>

property line must be notified including those in a neighboring municipality. In such an instance, a copy of the filing must also be sent to the local Conservation Commission of the neighboring municipality. EXCEPTION: When work is in land under water bodies and waterways or on a tract of land greater than 50 acres, written notification must only be given to abutters within 300 feet of the "project site."

Two copies of the BPDA Climate Resiliency Checklist (for new buildings). This can be completed online at <u>http://www.bostonplans.org/planning/planning-initiatives/article-37-green-building-guidelines</u>. Please print the pdf that you will receive via email after completion and include it in your submission.

Electronic copies. Documents may be submitted via email, or via an email link to downloadable documents.



To minimize the use of non-recyclable materials **please do not include vinyl or plastic binders**, **bindings**, **folders or covers with the filing.** Staples and binder clips are good choices.



2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Applicant, The Herb Chambers Companies, CHA Consulting Inc. (CHA) is filing this Notice of Intent (NOI) for the proposed construction of Herb Chambers Honda of Boston located at 710-720 Morrissey Boulevard in the Dorchester Neighborhood of Boston. The project proposes the demolition of an existing car dealership building and redevelopment of the site into a new, state-of-the-art, Honda automotive dealership. The proposed building will be contemporary in style, featuring a streamlined design. It is presently expected to be four stories high, with a maximum height of approximately 65 feet. The proposed building footprint measures approximately 28,400 square feet with a total floor area of 112,600 square feet. The building will feature showrooms, offices, service bays, vehicle storage, and customer amenities. Approximately 215 automobiles are proposed to be located within the building (including inventory). Site improvements include grading, replacement of pavement and concrete surfaces, restriping of the parking lot, upgrades to the existing drainage and utility services, and lighting. 170 exterior parking spaces are proposed including perimeter landscaping features as well as planted islands within the parking lot to provide additional greenspace.

The proposed work will occur within the jurisdiction of the Wetland Protections Act (310 CMR 10.00) and the City of Boston Wetlands Ordinance (Chapter VII-I.IV). Proposed construction activities will occur within a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA), Zone AE, with an established based flood elevation of 10' (NAVD88 datum), which translates to elevation 16.5' Boston City Base (BCB). The Boston Planning and Development Agency (BPDA) has also mapped this area within their Sea Level Rise – Flood Hazard Area, based on projected sea level rise over the next 50 years. The Sea Level Rise - Base Flood Elevation (SLR-BFE) in this area has been established as 19.5' (BCB). The project site is not located within any wetland resource areas or buffer zones, any riverfront areas, Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC), nor a National Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP) Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife.



2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing parcel of land is approximately 2.1 acres in size and is located at the corner of Victory Road and Freeport Street. The site supports a one-story, 18,000 square foot building with ancillary parking, which has historically been used as a car dealership. The project site is bounded by Morrissey Boulevard to the West, Victory Road to the North, Freeport Street to the East, and an abutting parcel now or formerly owned by Seven-Eleven, Inc. to the South. Businesses within the vicinity of the site include a 7-Eleven convenience store adjacent to the South, Expressway Toyota to the North across Victory Road, and a proposed tile warehouse facility directly across Morrissey Boulevard to the west which is currently under construction. The surrounding area is generally commercial and retail buildings. The site is located west of Interstate 93 and the Neponset River, and features site entrances on the adjacent Morrissey Boulevard, Victory Road, and Freeport Street.

The existing site drainage infrastructure includes catch basins and a piped conveyance system that connects to the municipal drainage system located in Freeport Street. The existing drainage system on the site is outdated and does not provide opportunity for stormwater recharge.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE AREAS

The MassGIS mapping tool, OLIVER, and the Boston Planning and Development Agency (BPDA) Zoning Viewer was utilized to research protected resource areas under the jurisdiction of the Wetlands Protection Act (WPA) and the City of Boston Wetlands Ordinance (BWO). Each of the resource areas are described below, and various figures and plans are provided herein.

3.1 LAND SUBJECT TO COASTAL STORM FLOWAGE

The project site is located within Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA), Zone AE, as shown on the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Federal Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Panel 91, Map 25025C0091J, dated March 16, 2016 (see Figure 4). A SFHA is an area which is subject to inundation by the 1% annual chance flood (100-year storm). Since the source of flooding is the tidally influenced Dorchester Bay, the inundated area is also considered a Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF). The base flood elevation (BFE) published by FEMA is 10 feet (NAVD 88), which translates to 16.5 feet, Boston City Base (BCB). LSCSF's and SFHA's are jurisdictional under the WPA, the BWO, and Article 25 of the Boston Zoning Code. Refer to Section 8 of the



Executive Summary for more information regarding the relationship between the proposed project and the surrounding LSCSF.

3.2 WILDLIFE HABITAT

A review of the Massachusetts Natural Heritage data layers provided through MassGIS show no Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program (NHESP) Estimated Habitat and/or Priority Habitat of rare upland or wetland wildlife. Further, no Certified Vernal Pools or Potential Vernal Pools are located at or in the immediate vicinity of the project site (See Figure 3).

3.3 SPECIAL RESOURCES

The site is not located within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC), Outstanding Resource Water (ORW), Surface Water Protection Area (Zone A & B), or DEP Zone II/Interim Wellhead Protection Area.

4.0 PROPOSED PROJECT

The proposed project includes the demolition of the existing building and the redevelopment of the site to include a four-story state-of-the-art Honda automotive dealership with associated parking lot and landscape and utility improvements. The project proposes modifications to all site entrances, and the addition of green space and pedestrian amenities, which include two pocket parks with benches and plantings at the northeast and northwest corners of the site. The addition of landscaped areas along the perimeter of the site and within the parking lot results is an overall decrease in impervious area by approximately 10,000 square feet. In accordance with the Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC) regulations, stormwater is proposed to be captured and routed to subsurface infiltration chambers which are sized to hold 1.25 inches of stormwater over the impervious areas of the site. The stormwater system is designed with an overflow pipe to connect to the municipal drainage system within the Freeport Street Right-of-Way. The proposed building's electric, gas, and water services will be connected to the respective mains within Freeport Street, and the building's sewer will be connected of the City's sewer main within Victory Road. The project construction will be broken up into three phases in order to keep the current Honda business operational. The construction sequence in Section 6.0 describes the proposed breakdown of construction activities and associated work areas for each of the three phases. The proposed improvements and associated phasing are further illustrated in the attached plan set (See Appendix C).



5.0 ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS

An alternatives analysis has been performed to demonstrate that there are no practicable and substantially equivalent alternatives to the proposed design. Review and consideration of practicable alternatives include impacts to environmental interests, proposed property use, project purpose, cost, existing technology, and logistics.

5.1 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE

One alternative is the "No Action" option. The site currently contains a single story, outdated building & infrastructure surrounded by a paved parking area. The property appears somewhat rundown, commensurate with the age of the facility, and is not necessarily an asset to the community. Nearby properties of similar description have been recently improved or are slated to begin construction.

Under this "No Action" alternative, the site will remain 100% impervious. The existing stormwater management systems provides no infiltration or recharge capabilities, and as such, provides limited stormwater runoff attenuation, treatment, or recharge. Additionally, the building's finish floor elevation is currently half a foot below the FEMA flood elevation, and about 5-feet lower than the Boston Planning and Development Agency (BPDA) Sea Level Rise – Design Flood Elevation. With the "No-Action" alternative, the property will continue to be dated, underutilized and a missed opportunity to provide the potential community benefits that a new state-of-the-art development could offer.

5.2 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE (PROPOSED)

The preferred alternative offers environmental and economic benefits, and aligns with the City's Climate Resiliency goals to the maximum extent practicable given the challenges of the site and its surroundings. The preferred project proposes to demolish the existing building and construct a new, contemporary style, 4-story building, containing a total of about 112,600 square feet and will include showrooms, offices, service bays, vehicle storage, and customer and employee amenities. It is anticipated that this new facility design will enhance the customer and employee experience, providing a sustainable and pleasing asset to the community.



The project proposes to raise the finish floor of the proposed building 2-feet higher than the existing building to mitigate potential flood damage caused by storms and sea level rise. The site will also be raised accordingly, allowing the installation of stormwater infrastructure that will provide stormwater runoff attenuation, treatment, and infiltration while maintaining a reasonable relationship to abutting streets and properties. Underground stormwater chamber systems will provide 10,600 cubic feet of rainfall storage beneath the parking lot. Green space is provided along the entire perimeter of the parking area and interior landscape islands are also proposed. The overall quantity of impervious surface within the project area will be reduced by approximately 10,000 square feet under this "Preferred" alternative. This reduction in impervious area reduces pollutants, stormwater runoff rates and volumes, and heat island effects generated at the site.

Logistically, the multi-story footprint of the proposed building provides the needed area for the proposed use. The addition of interior vehicular storage reduces the exterior inventory and allows for improved vehicular circulation within the site as well as added greenspace that is absent in the current condition. The additional landscape area provides for a more pleasing visual of the property, adds to the pedestrian experience, and offers the added benefit of snow storage.

Economically, the property will no longer be underutilized and undervalued. The proposed use will provide jobs and will increase annual revenue to the City, including an increase in property tax revenue.

Based on the above, this "Preferred" alternative provides many benefits and is considered an ideal development proposal for this property.

5.3 CLIMATE RESILIENCY COMPLIANT ALTERNATIVE

Along with the "Preferred" alternative design, a "Climate Resiliency Compliant" (CRC) alternative was considered. The BPDA has mapped the project site within their Sea Level Rise - Flood Hazard Area, which has a recommended finish floor elevation (FFE) of 20.5' (Boston City Base elevation datum). This recommended FFE, also known as the Sea Level Rise – Design Flood Elevation (SLR-DFE), is 5-feet higher than the existing FFE and 3-feet higher than the proposed FFE in the "Preferred" alternative. In order for the building elevation to be raised, the surrounding site would need to be raised accordingly.



This alternative would provide additional protection from flooding caused by severe storms and sea level rise, however, the SLR-DFE would have negative logistical impacts on the site. Unlike other developments, the proposed dealership requires vehicular access into the building for servicing of cars and access to the higher floors for inventory storage. In other words, it would be impractical to put the building on stilts as is common with residential homes near the coast. Further, due to the size of the site and its proximity to the surrounding roads and properties, 5-feet is an extreme change in grade over the minimal distance which exists between the building and its surroundings. In the CRC alternative there would be a 6.5' grade change from Morrissey Boulevard to the building, and a 4.5' grade change from Freeport Street. The site entrances on Freeport Street and Morrissey Boulevard would need to be closed, restricting access to the site, and replaced with retaining walls to account for these grade differences. Useable space for parking and landscaping would be reduced due to the need for additional sloping areas and the retaining walls, and site circulation would be negatively impacted. Further, the height of the proposed building would likely need to be increased to accommodate additional floors to compensate for the useable area lost.

In addition to the logistical drawbacks listed above, the CRC alternative would also have a considerable negative impact on the economic feasibility of the project. The additional cost associated with raising the site and building would likely be prohibitive.

5.4 CONCLUSION

The preferred design alternative is the most practical option for improving an underdeveloped and underutilized parcel within the City, as well as addressing the City's goals in planning for a sustainable future. The proposed development greatly improves an outdated drainage system and raises the site as much as practicably possible. All things considered, the preferred design alternative will have significant positive environmental, community, and economic impacts to the Dorchester neighborhood of the City.

6.0 GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

The following section provides construction details and highlights the general construction sequence associated with the site redevelopment. The project is proposed to take place during Spring/Summer 2022. The existing dealership is proposed to remain in operation during construction and will therefore be accomplished in three phases. Refer to Appendix C for the Project Plans.


6.1 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL/DEWATERING

Siltsocks for erosion and sediment control (ESC) will be installed at the limits of the work area prior to the commencement of construction activities for each phase as shown on the project plan set (See Appendix C). Silt sacks will also be installed in catch basins to prevent sediment from entering the existing storm drainage system.

6.2 INSTALLATION OF CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES

Stabilized construction entrances will be installed in the proposed phased locations shown on the Demolition and Phasing Plans in accordance with the construction detail provided in the plan set. Existing pavement will be removed within the limits of the proposed construction entrance to accommodate the crushed stone entrance.

6.3 **DEMOLITION**

The existing building, utilities and paved surfaces will be demolished in phases and removed from the project site. Phase 1 demolition will occur north of the existing building and will generally consist of asphalt removal. Phase 2 demolition includes razing the southerly portion of the existing building, asphalt removal, and utility disconnections in the southern portion of the site. Phase 3 demolition will remove the remaining portion of the existing building and asphalt surfaces in the northerly portion of the site. All demolition materials will be discarded in an environmentally appropriate location as designated by the Local and State regulatory agencies.

6.4 INSTALLATION OF UTILITIES & SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE

The proposed storm drainage system and utilities will be installed in phases in accordance with the project plans. The proposed storm drainage system incorporates Best Management Practices (BMPs) as described in the Massachusetts DEP Stormwater Management Standards and the Boston Water and Sewer Commission guidelines. Compliance with these standards is further explained in Section 7 of this document. The bulk of the proposed subsurface storm drainage system will be installed in Phase 1, including three (3) underground stormwater infiltration systems and connection to the existing City infrastructure in Freeport Street. Catch basins, drain manholes, and piping will be installed in all construction phases to serve the portions of the site as they are constructed.



6.5 FOUNDATION/BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

Detailed site subsurface investigations have been conducted at the site and geotechnical analyses performed. Based on the data collected, it is anticipated that the proposed building will be supported by pressure injected footings (PIFs), with a ground floor consisting of a reinforced concrete structural slab. Foundation construction for this option will require limited excavations, typically not exceeding about 5 ft in depth, including installation of footings, pile caps, slabs, and other conventional building structural elements.

6.6 FINE GRADING, PAVING, ETC.

Fine grading and paving will also be phased as indicated on the project plans. Phase 1 fine grading and paving will occur north of the building. Paving in this phase will be limited to binder course only with final top course to be applied in Phase 3. Phase 2 will see grading/excavation associated with the proposed building foundation and remaining southern portion of the parking lot. Finish grading for the southern portion of the project site will be completed and asphalt pavement installed. The pavement installation will include final preparation of the sub-foundation and various base layers. Typically, the base course of pavement will be applied as early as possible with the finish paving course being installed near completion. Curbing, cement concrete sidewalks, and other access ways around the proposed building will be installed per plan during this stage of construction. Pavement markings, lighting, signage, and other related amenities will also be installed at this time. Final application of the top course of pavement in the northerly portion of the parking lot will occur in Phase 3 along with pavement markings, lighting, signage, and other related amenities in this area.

6.7 SITE STABILIZATION

The final stage of the project is landscaping, and restoration and stabilization of all exposed surfaces. Disturbed areas will be landscaped, mulched, or seeded in accordance with the landscape requirements. Permanent restoration and revegetation measures serve to control erosion and sedimentation by establishing a vegetative cover. In the event that weather conditions prevent final restoration, temporary erosion and sedimentation measures will be employed until the weather is suitable for final cleanup. A final inspection will ensure that the project site is cleared of all project debris and that erosion and sedimentation controls are functioning properly. Once the site has been stabilized, newly installed catch basins and the subsurface drainage system will be inspected for sediment deposits and cleaned if necessary.



7.0 STORMWATER STANDARDS

The following section describes how the proposed project addresses and complies with the requirements of the 2008 MassDEP Stormwater Management Standards. The project qualifies as a redevelopment project, however, the stormwater management system has been designed to fully comply with the Standards as a new development project.

<u>Standard 1- No New Untreated Discharges</u> - No new stormwater system conveyances will discharge untreated runoff or cause erosion in wetlands or waters of the Commonwealth.

All new stormwater system conveyances are treated prior to discharge and result in no erosion occurring on site. The drainage system has been designed to direct stormwater runoff into underground infiltration systems equipped with geofabric lined isolator chambers prior to discharge to the existing city system.

<u>Standard 2- Peak Rate Attenuation</u> - Stormwater management systems shall be designed so that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development peak discharge rates.

The overall impervious area is being decreased through the addition of landscape islands throughout the site in the post-developed condition. In addition, subsurface infiltration systems are proposed which did not exist in the pre-developed condition. This combination will allow stormwater to infiltrate into the ground and result in a decrease in peak discharge rates and volumes to the existing City system. Refer to the Stormwater Report that accompanies this Notice of Intent for additional details.



<u>Standard 3- Recharge</u> - Loss of annual recharge to groundwater shall be eliminated or minimized through the use of infiltration measures including environmentally sensitive site design, low impact development techniques, stormwater best management practices, and good operation and maintenance. At a minimum, the annual recharge from the post-development site shall approximate the annual recharge from pre-development conditions based on soil type. This standard is met when the stormwater management system is designed to infiltrate the required recharge volume as determined in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

There is a net decrease in impervious area on the site, therefore recharge is not required. However, recharge will be provided through the use of subsurface infiltration chambers to meet the Boston Water and Sewer Commission's infiltration/water quality volume requirement of 1.25-inch over all impervious area. The subsurface infiltration systems consist of Retain-It ® chambers set on a stone bed. The recharge volume is stored and infiltrated below the outlet control structure's weir elevation. Refer to the Required Recharge Volume calculations provided in the Stormwater Report that accompanies this Notice of Intent.

<u>Standard 4-Water Quality</u>- Stormwater management systems shall be designed to remove 80% of the average annual post-construction load of Total Suspended Solids (TSS). This Standard is met when:

a. Suitable practices for source control and pollution prevention are identified in a long-term pollution prevention plan, and thereafter are implemented and maintained.

b. Structural stormwater best management practices are sized to capture the required water quality volume determined in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook; and
c. Pretreatment is provided in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

The project proposes to use deep sump catch basins with hooded outlets to provide pretreatment, removing 25% TSS per the MassDEP Stormwater Handbook. The stormwater then flows to one of the subsurface infiltration systems to provide an additional 80% TSS removal for a total of 85% TSS removal for the treatment train. A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is included in conjunction with the Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9 that addresses source control and pollution prevention.



<u>Standard 5-Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads</u>- For land uses with higher potential pollutant loads, source control and pollution prevention shall be implemented in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook to eliminate or reduce the discharge of stormwater runoff from such land uses to the maximum extent practicable. If through source control and/or pollution prevention all land uses with higher potential pollutant loads cannot be completely protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt, and stormwater runoff, the proponent shall use the specific structural stormwater BMPs determined by the Department to be suitable for such uses as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. Stormwater discharges from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads shall also comply with the requirements of the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, M.G.L. c. 21, §§ 26-53 and the regulations promulgated there under at 314 CMR 3.00, 314 CMR 4.00 and 314 CMR 5.00.

This Standard is not applicable to the site. The project's intended use is not considered a Land Use with Higher Potential Pollutant Load (LUHPPL).

<u>Standard 6- Critical Areas</u>- Stormwater discharges within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area of a public water supply, and stormwater discharges near or to any other critical area, require the use of the specific source control and pollution prevention measures and the specific structural stormwater best management practices determined by the Department to be suitable for managing discharges to such areas, as provided in the Stormwater Handbook. A discharge is near a critical area if there is a strong likelihood of a significant impact occurring to said area, taking into account site-specific factors. Stormwater discharges to Outstanding Resource Waters and Special Resource Waters shall be removed and set back from the receiving water or wetland and receive the highest and best practical method of treatment. A "storm water discharge" as defined in 314 CMR 3.04(2)(a)1 or (b) to an Outstanding Resource Water or Special Resource Water shall comply with 314 CMR 3.00 and 314 CMR 4.00. Stormwater discharges to a Zone I or Zone A are prohibited unless essential to the operation of a public water supply.

This Standard is not applicable to the site. The project is not located within a critical area.



<u>Standard 7- Redevelopment and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent</u>
 <u>practicable</u> - A redevelopment project is required to meet the following Stormwater
 Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable: Standard 2, Standard 3,
 and the pretreatment and structural best management practice requirements of Standards 4,
 5, and 6. Existing stormwater discharges shall comply with Standard 1 only to the maximum
 extent practicable. A redevelopment project shall also comply with all other requirements of
 the Stormwater Management Standards and improve existing conditions.

This project is considered a redevelopment under the Stormwater Management Standards. However, it has been designed to fully comply with the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards.

<u>Standard 8- Construction Period Pollution Prevention Plan and Erosion and Sedimentation Control</u> A plan to control construction-related impacts including erosion, sedimentation and other pollutant sources during construction and land disturbance activities (construction period erosion, sedimentation, and pollution prevention plan) shall be developed and implemented. The project's limit of work is greater than 1 acre and construction of the project will require coverage under the EPA NPDES Construction General Permit. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be submitted prior to construction.

The project will require coverage under the EPA NPDES Construction General Permit and a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be submitted prior to construction.

<u>Standard 9- Operation and Maintenance Plan</u>- A long-term operation and maintenance plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that stormwater management systems function as designed.

An Operation and Maintenance Plan has been customized to fit the design of the proposed development (See the attached Stormwater Report). Provisions to maintain runoff control devices have been assured through non-structural, structural, and construction management approaches.



<u>Standard 10- Prohibition of Illicit Discharges</u>- All illicit discharges to the stormwater management system are prohibited.

The Operation and Maintenance plan required by Standard 9 includes measures to prevent illicit discharges. An illicit discharge statement is provided in the Stormwater Report located in Appendix D.

8.0 CLIMATE RESILIENCY

Climate change and climate resiliency have been a focal point for the City of Boston in recent years. As such, the City teamed up with multiple participants to prepare the Climate Ready Boston Report in December of 2019, and a Coastal Resilience Solutions for Dorchester in October of 2020. These reports outline the potential impacts of climate change within the City of Boston, and potential solutions to combat these impacts within specific neighborhoods of the City. A Climate Resiliency Checklist is included in Appendix A of this report which outlines how the proposed project will address the projected impacts and conform with the potential solutions. A detailed description of this information is also summarized below.

As described in the Climate Ready Boston Report, projected greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions will potentially lead to impacts such as extreme temperature, sea level rise, extreme precipitation, and storms. The proposed building will be equipped with high efficiency equipment applications with environmentally approved refrigerants that account for GHC reduction applications with the deployment of new sustainability and adaptation strategies as they become feasible at the Project site. The building will also be LEED certifiable, and the Proponent will continue to evaluate energy conservation strategies during the design phase of the project.

Climate Ready Boston anticipates future storm events to become more frequent and intense, which could exacerbate sea level rise. Currently, the 10-year, 24-hour design storm is approximately 5.25" which is expected to increase to 6" by the end of the century. The proposed project is conservatively designed to comply with the 6" requirement, and proposes to mitigate the 100-year, 24-hour design storm of 8.83" as specified by the NOAA Atlas-14 point precipitation frequency estimates. This is accomplished through the reduction of impervious area on site in conjunction with the installation of underground drainage chambers which are sized to infiltrate/recharge up to 1.25" of stormwater over the project site.



The project site is mapped within the BPDA Sea Level Rise – Flood Hazard Area, which has a Sea Level Rise – Base Flood Elevation (SLR-BFE) of 19.5' and a Sea Level Rise – Design Flood Elevation (SLR-DFE) of 20.5' (Boston City Base vertical datum). The proposed project meets these requirements to the greatest extent practicable, and has raised the finish floor elevation of the proposed building to elevation 17.5', which is 1-foot higher than the FEMA base flood elevation, and 2-feet higher than the existing building's finish floor elevation. Due to buildings function as a car dealership and service center, the first floor of the building is required to match the grades of the surrounding drive lanes. The restricting factor with raising the finish floor higher than proposed is the surrounding elevation of the adjacent access roads. At the site entrance on Morrissey Boulevard the existing elevation. With the size and shape of the site, achieving a finish floor elevation of 20.5' would only be achievable through retaining walls along the site's boundary, and even then, an entrance from either Morrissey Boulevard or Freeport Street would be impractical.

In summary, the proposed project is designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to improve the site's drainage system to handle future, more intense, storm events. Although challenging, the site will also be raised to the maximum extent practicable to minimize the future impacts of sea level rise.

9.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

9.1 COMPLIANCE WITH THE WETLANDS PROTECTION ACT AND THE CITY OF BOSTON WETLANDS ORDINANCE

The Wetlands Protection Act (WPA) and Regulations (310 CMR 10.00) and the City of Boston Wetlands Ordinance (BWO) presume that Areas Subject to Protection, i.e. wetlands, water resources, flood prone areas, waterbodies, and their associated 100-foot buffer zones, serve in the capacity of the protection of private or public water supply and quality; protection of public or private groundwater supply and quality; short term and long term flood control; erosion and sedimentation control; storm damage prevention including coastal storm flowage; protection of surface water supply and quality, including water pollution control; flood conveyance and storage; protection of fisheries, land containing shellfish, wildlife habitat, rare and endangered plant and animal species and habitat, wetland plant habitat, and recreation; and to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public, and to mitigate the impacts from climate change.



The following discussion identifies the various values and interests of the WPA and BWO and the means in which the proposed project will avoid, reduce and/or mitigate for change/loss of the current roles of the various resource areas. The proposed project has been designed to comply with both the WPA Regulations including the Stormwater Management Standards, as well as the BWO.

9.1.1 Protection of Private or Public Water Supplies

Water supply is defined under the WPA as any source or volume of surface or ground water demonstrated to be in public or private use or approved for public/private water supply by M.G.L. c. 111 § 160 under the Department of Environmental Protection.

Installation of Best Management Practice (BMPs) erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures at project limits of work prior to ground disturbance will protect surface water resources during construction activities associated with site development. Installation of new Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs) that include deep sump-hooded catch basins, and subsurface stormwater treatment and recharge systems will provide additional protection. Source control will be provided with a reduction in impervious area on the site post construction. Maintenance of ESC measures until all bare areas are fully stabilized and/or revegetated, long-term operation and maintenance of stormwater management features, and source control will ensure long-term protection to surface and groundwater resources within the project area.

9.1.2 Groundwater Supply

Groundwater supply is defined under the WPA as the water below the earth's surface in the zone of saturation. No MassDEP Zone II, Zone I or Interim Wellhead Protection Areas occur on the property. The proposed project is expected to improve protection of groundwater supply with the installation of the Stormwater BMPs and source controls noted above. Adverse impact to existing groundwater within the general project area is not anticipated.



9.1.3 Provision of Flood Control and Storm Damage Prevention

Storm Damage Prevention is defined as the prevention of damage caused by water from storms, including, but not limited to, erosion and sedimentation, damage to vegetation, property or buildings or damage caused by flooding, water-borne debris or water-borne ice. According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Federal Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Panel 91, Map 25025C0091J for Suffolk County, dated March 16, 2016, the project site is located within a SFHA, Zone AE (See Figure 4). The addition of stormwater BMPs will improve flood control and mitigate storm damage prevention at the site. Additionally, the elevation of the building's first floor and overall site will be raised above the 100-YR flood elevation to reduce potential damage from flooding.

9.1.4 Prevention of Pollution

Prevention of pollution is defined as the prevention or reduction of contamination of surface or groundwater. Timing and installation of Erosion and Sedimentation Control BMPs prior to construction as well as maintenance of these measures following construction until all bare areas are stabilized and/or fully revegetated will limit sedimentation/pollution during the various phases of construction. Incorporation of stormwater BMPs will improve stormwater quality by removal of suspended solids and other pollutants prior to discharge to the City of Boston's drainage system. Source control will also be implemented at the site to limit potential pollution transmission.

9.1.5 Protection of Wildlife Habitat/Fisheries

No National Heritage Endangered Species Program (NHESP) estimated or Priority Habitat of rarelisted species; NHESP Bio Map Core Habitat; Certified Vernal Pools or Potential Vernal Pools are located within the subject property.



9.1.6 Mitigation of Impacts from Climate Change

Impacts from climate change include extreme heat; increased frequency, intensity, and amounts of precipitation, storm surges, rising water levels, and droughts. The project proposes to mitigate these impacts by incorporating high efficiency building systems to reduce energy consumption. The project also proposed the reduction of impervious surface area on the site, and incorporates shade trees, shrubs, and reflective roofing and glazing materials to reduce the heat-island effect. On-site stormwater retention/infiltration facilities will be installed that will attenuate stormwater runoff rates and volumes leaving the site, so reducing flooding impacts. Additionally, the proposed building finish floor elevation and adjacent site grades will be raised above the FEMA flood elevation to minimize storm damage.

9.1.7 Summary

In summary, the proposed project is designed to protect the interests identified in the WPA and BWO, and fully complies with the WPA, BWO, and the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards. Erosion and sediment controls (ESC) are proposed to prevent the disturbance of the surrounding resource areas during and after construction. The proposed development improves water quality through source control and utilization of Best Management Practices (BMPs), including Stormwater Best Management Practices (SBMPs). Stormwater runoff rates and volumes leaving the site will be reduced with the installation of proposed subsurface stormwater chamber systems and the overall reduction in impervious area on the site. The elevation of the proposed building and the surrounding site grades will be raised to the maximum extent practicable to provide protect against flood damage, and the building and site design incorporate features that will mitigate impacts from climate change.



3.0 FIGURES







AND STREET AND STREET ASHLAND STREET ASHLAND STREET ASHLAND STREET ASHLAND STREET	NEONSET RIVER RECORDED A DO LOGICATION A DO LOGICATION	IL 93 TENEAN STREET TENEAN STREET
STURTEVANT/STREET	MANLEY L STREET	S & FI
SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD ARE PROJECT LOCATION FEMA ZONE VE = COASTAL FLOOD ZONE WITH VEL FEMA ZONE AE = BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS DETER	AS SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD OCITY HAZARD (WAVE ACTION); BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS DETERMINED Scale	500 1,000
Drawing Copyright © 2021		PROJECT NO.
	FEMA FLAN UFPD CHANDEDS HONDA	059051
	710–720 MORRISSEY BOULEVARD	DATE: 08/02/2021
1 Faneuil Hall Marketplace, South Market Building Boston, MA 02109-6117 617.451.2717 . www.chacompanies.com	FIGURE-4	





4.0 ABUTTER INFORMATION AND NOTIFICATION





Certification of Translation Accuracy

Translation of Abutter Notification Covid-19 Form_Fillable from English to Creole

As an authorized representative of RushTranslate, a professional translation services agency, I hereby certify that the above-mentioned document has been translated by an experienced, qualified and competent professional translator, fluent in the above-mentioned language pair and that, in my best judgment, the translated text truly reflects the content, meaning, and style of the original text and constitutes in every respect a complete and accurate translation of the original document. This document has not been translated for a family member, friend, or business associate.

This is to certify the correctness of the translation only. I do not make any claims or guarantees about the authenticity or content of the original document. Further, RushTranslate assumes no liability for the way in which the translation is used by the customer or any third party, including end-users of the translation.

A copy of the translation is attached to this certification.

Mike Bortscheller Authorized Representative Order Date: September 8, 2021

RushTranslate 640 South Fourth St Suite 300 Louisville, KY 40202 United States









City of Boston Environment



City of Boston Mayor Kim Janey

AVI POU PWOPRIYETÈ YO KOMISYON KONSÈVASYON nan BOSTON

An akò avèk Lwa Pwoteksyon zòn imid Massachusetts yo, Chapit 131, Seksyon 40, Lwa Jeneral Massachusetts, ak òdonans zòn imid Boston yo, yo avize w kòm yon opozan nan yon pwojè ki depoze nan Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston.

A. **Konpayi Herb Chambers** yo te depoze yon avi sou entansyon nan Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston pou mande pèmisyon pou chanje yon zòn ki sijè pou pwoteksyon anba Lwa Pwoteksyon zòn imid yo (Chapit 131, seksyon 40, Lwa Jeneral) ak òdonans sou zòn imid Boston yo.

B. Adrès lo kote yo pwopoze aktivite a se 710-720 Morrissey Boulevard.

C. Pwojè a prevwa yon reyamenajman teren konsesyonè machin ki deja egziste, ki gen ladan demolisyon yon batiman ki deja egziste ak konstriksyon yon batiman k'ap gen kat etaj ak yon sipèfisi sou sòl la apeprè 28,600 pi2.

D. Ou ka jwenn kopi Avi sou entansyon an lè w kontakte Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston nan <u>CC@boston.gov</u>.

E. Ou ka jwenn kopi Avi sou entansyon an nan men **Reprezantan Moun ki fè Demand Ian** lè ou kontakte yo nan (781) 982-5400 ant 9è di maten ak 4è nan laprè midi, Lendi jiska Vandredi.

F. An akò avèk Dekrè Commonwealth Massachusetts la ki Sispann Sèten Dispozisyon Lwa sou Reyinyon Piblik, odyans piblik la ap fèt **vityèlman** nan adrès sa <u>https://zoom.us/j/6864582044</u>. Si ou pa kapab jwenn aksè ak entènèt la, ou ka rele nan 1-929-205-6099, antre ID Reyinyon ki se 686 458 2044 # epi sèvi ak # kòm ID patisipan ou.

G. Ou ka jwenn enfòmasyon konsènan dat ak lè odyans piblik la nan men **Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston** pa imèl sou <u>CC@boston.gov</u> oswa rele (617) 635-3850 ant nan lè **9è nan maten rive 5è na laprè midi, Lendi jiska Vandredi.**

REMAK: Avi sou odyans piblik la, ki gen ladan dat li, lè li, ak kote, yo pral pibliye omwen senk (5) jou davans nan **Boston Herald la**.

REMAK: Avi sou odyans piblik la, ki gen ladan dat li, lè li, ak kote, yo pral pibliye sou <u>www.boston.gov/public-notices</u> epi 8è davans nan Boston City Hall lan. Si ou ta renmen fè kòmantè, ou ka ale nan odyans piblik la oswa voye kòmantè alekri bay <u>CC@boston.gov</u> oswa Boston City Hall, Environment Department, Room 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201





REMAK: Si ou ta renmen fè kòmantè, ou ka ale nan odyans piblik la oswa voye kòmantè alekri bay <u>CC@boston.gov</u> oswa Boston City Hall, Environment Department, Room 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

REMAK: Ou ka kontakte Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston oswa Depatman Pwoteksyon Anviwonman Biwo Rejyonal Nòdès la pou plis enfòmasyon sou aplikasyon sa a oswa Lwa Pwoteksyon zòn imid yo. Pou kontakte DEP, rele: Rejyon Nòdès la (978) 694-3200.

REMAK: Si w gen plan pou patisipe nan odyans piblik la epi ou bezwen entèpretasyon, tanpri avize anplwaye yo nan <u>CC@boston.gov</u> avan midi lavèl avan odyans lan.

CITY of **BOSTON**

1 CITY HALL SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02201-2021 | ROOM 709 | 617-635-3850 | ENVIRONMENT@BOSTON.GOV





Certification of Translation Accuracy

Translation of Notification from English to Vietnamese

As an authorized representative of RushTranslate, a professional translation services agency, I hereby certify that the above-mentioned document has been translated by an experienced, qualified and competent professional translator, fluent in the above-mentioned language pair and that, in my best judgment, the translated text truly reflects the content, meaning, and style of the original text and constitutes in every respect a complete and accurate translation of the original document. This document has not been translated for a family member, friend, or business associate.

This is to certify the correctness of the translation only. I do not make any claims or guarantees about the authenticity or content of the original document. Further, RushTranslate assumes no liability for the way in which the translation is used by the customer or any third party, including end-users of the translation.

A copy of the translation is attached to this certification.

Mike Bortscheller Authorized Representative Order Date: September 8, 2021

RushTranslate 640 South Fourth St Suite 300 Louisville, KY 40202 United States









City of Boston Environment



City of Boston Mayor Kim Janey

THÔNG BÁO CHO CHỦ NHÀ ĐẤT LÁNG GIỀNG ỦY BAN BẢO TỒN BOSTON

Theo Đạo luật Bảo vệ Đất đầm lầy Massachusetts, Luật Chung của Massachusetts, Chương 131, Khoản 40, và Sắc lệnh Đất đầm lầy Boston, theo đây, quý vị được thông báo với tư cách là chủ nhà đất láng giềng với một dự án được đệ trình lên Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston.

A. Các công ty Herb Chambers đã đệ trình Thông báo Ý định đến Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston để xin phép thay đổi một Khu vực cần được Bảo vệ theo Đạo luật Bảo vệ Đất đầm lầy (Luật Chung, Chương 131, Khoản 40) và Sắc lệnh Đất đầm lầy Boston.

B. Địa chỉ của lô đất nơi hoạt động được đề xuất là 710-720 Morrissey Boulevard.

C. Dự án liên quan đến việc **tái phát triển một lô đất đại lý ô tô hiện có, bao gồm việc phá bỏ một tòa nhà** hiện có và xây dựng một tòa nhà 4 tầng với diện tích khoảng 28.600 dấu chân vuông.

D. Quý vị có thể nhận được các bản sao của Thông báo Ý định bằng cách liên hệ với Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston theo địa chỉ CC@boston.gov.

E. Quý vị có thể nhận được bản sao của Thông báo Ý định từ **Đại diện của Người nộp đơn** bằng cách liên hệ với họ theo số (**781) 982-5400** trong khoảng thời gian từ **9 giờ sáng đến 4 giờ chiều, từ thứ 2 đến thứ 6.**

F. Theo Lệnh Hành pháp của Khối thịnh vượng chung Massachusetts về Đình chỉ Một số Điều khoản của Luật họp công khai, phiên điều trần công khai sẽ diễn ra **trực tuyến** tại <u>https://zoom.us/j/6864582044.</u> Nếu quý vị không thể truy cập internet, quý vị có thể gọi 1-929-205-6099, nhập ID cuộc họp là 686 458 2044 # và sử dụng # làm ID người tham gia của quý vị.

G. Thông tin liên quan đến ngày và giờ của buổi điều trần công khai có thể nhận được từ Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston bằng cách gửi email đến <u>CC@boston.gov</u> hoặc gọi (617) 635-3850 trong khoảng thời gian từ 9 giờ sáng đến 5 giờ chiều, từ thứ 2 đến thứ 6.

LƯU Ý: Thông báo về phiên điều trần công khai, bao gồm ngày, giờ và địa điểm, sẽ được đăng trước ít nhất năm (5) ngày trên tờ **Boston Herald.**

LƯU Ý: Thông báo về buổi điều trần công khai, bao gồm ngày, giờ và địa điểm, sẽ được đăng trên www.boston.gov/public-notices.và tại Tòa thị chính Boston trước ít nhất bốn mươi tám (48) giờ. Nếu quý vị muốn đóng góp ý kiến, quý vị có thể tham dự buổi điều trần công khai hoặc gửi ý kiến bằng văn bản đến <u>CC@boston.gov</u>hoặc Tòa thị chính Boston, Sở Môi trường, Phòng 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

LƯU Ý: Nếu quý vị muốn đóng góp ý kiến, quý vị có thể tham dự buổi điều trần công khai hoặc gửi ý kiến bằng văn bản đến <u>CC@boston.gov</u>hoặc Tòa thị chính Boston, Sở Môi trường, Phòng 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

LƯU Ý: Quý vị cũng có thể liên hệ với Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston hoặc Văn phòng Khu vực Đông Bắc của Sở Bảo vệ Môi trường (DEP) để biết thêm thông tin về đơn đăng ký này hoặc Đạo luật Bảo vệ Đất đầm lầy. Để liên hệ với DEP, hãy gọi: Khu vực Đông Bắc: (978) 694-3200.





LƯU Ý: Nếu quý vị dự định tham dự buổi điều trần công khai và cần thông dịch, vui lòng thông báo cho nhân viên tại <u>CC@boston.gov</u> trước 12 giờ trưa trước ngày diễn ra buổi điều trần.

CITY of **BOSTON**

1 CITY HALL SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02201-2021 | ROOM 709 | 617-635-3850 | ENVIRONMENT@BOSTON.GOV





City of Boston Mayor Kim Janey

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE FOR ABUTTER NOTIFICATION

Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and Boston Wetlands Ordinance

I, Tyler King, CHA Consulting, Inc., hereby certify under pains and penalties of perjury that that at least one week prior to the public hearing, I gave notice to abutters in compliance with the second paragraph of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 131, section 40, and the DEP Guide to Abutter Notification dated April 8, 1994, in connection with the following matter:

A Notice of Intent was filed under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and/or the Boston Wetlands Ordinance by the Herb Chambers Companies for the redevelopment of an existing car dealership lot, including the demolition of an existing building and the construction of a 4-story building with an approximately 28,600 sf footprint located at 710-720 Morrissey Boulevard.

The Abutter Notification Form, the list of abutters to whom it was given, and their addresses are attached to this affidavit of Service.

<u>7.24.21</u> Date





NOTIFICATION TO ABUTTERS BOSTON CONSERVATION COMMISSION

In accordance with the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act, Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 131, Section 40, and the Boston Wetlands Ordinance, you are hereby notified as an abutter to a project filed with the Boston Conservation Commission.

A. **The Herb Chambers Companies** has filed a Notice of Intent with the Boston Conservation Commission seeking permission to alter an Area Subject to Protection under the Wetlands Protection Act (General Laws Chapter 131, section 40) and Boston Wetlands Ordinance.

B. The address of the lot where the activity is proposed is 710-720 Morrissey Boulevard.

C. The project involves the redevelopment of an existing car dealership lot, including the demolition of an existing building and the construction of a 4-story building with an approximately 28,600 sf footprint.

D. Copies of the Notice of Intent may be obtained by contacting the Boston Conservation Commission at <u>CC@boston.gov</u>.

E. Copies of the Notice of Intent may be obtained from the Applicant's Representative by contacting them at (781) 982-5400 between the hours of 9AM and 4PM, Monday through Friday.

F. In accordance with the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Order Suspending Certain Provisions of the Open Meeting Law, the public hearing will take place **virtually** at https://zoom.us/j/6864582044. If you are unable to access the internet, you can call 1-929-205-6099, enter Meeting ID 686 458 2044 # and use # as your participant ID.

G. Information regarding the date and time of the public hearing may be obtained from the **Boston Conservation Commission** by emailing <u>CC@boston.gov</u> or calling (617) 635-3850 between the hours of 9 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Friday.

NOTE: Notice of the public hearing, including its date, time, and place, will be published at least five (5) days in advance in the **Boston Herald.**

NOTE: Notice of the public hearing, including its date, time, and place, will be posted on <u>www.boston.gov/public-notices</u> and in Boston City Hall not less than forty-eight (48) hours in advance. If you would like to provide comments, you may attend the public hearing or send written comments to <u>CC@boston.gov</u> or Boston City Hall, Environment Department, Room 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

NOTE: If you would like to provide comments, you may attend the public hearing or send written comments to <u>CC@boston.gov</u> or Boston City Hall, Environment Department, Room 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

NOTE: You also may contact the Boston Conservation Commission or the Department of Environmental Protection Northeast Regional Office for more information about this application or the Wetlands Protection Act. To contact DEP, call: the Northeast Region: (978) 694-3200.

NOTE: If you plan to attend the public hearing and need interpretation, please notify staff at <u>CC@boston.gov</u> by 12 PM the day before the hearing.

CITY of BOSTON

1 CITY HALL SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02201-2021 | ROOM 709 | 617-635-3850 | ENVIRONMENT@BOSTON.GOV





THÔNG BÁO CHO CHỦ NHÀ ĐẤT LÁNG GIỀNG ỦY BAN BẢO TỒN BOSTON

Theo Đạo luật Bảo vệ Đất đầm lầy Massachusetts, Luật Chung của Massachusetts, Chương 131, Khoản 40, và Sắc lệnh Đất đầm lầy Boston, theo đây, quý vị được thông báo với tư cách là chủ nhà đất láng giềng với một dự án được đệ trình lên Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston.

A. **Các công ty Herb Chambers** đã đệ trình Thông báo Ý định đến Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston để xin phép thay đổi một Khu vực cần được Bảo vệ theo Đạo luật Bảo vệ Đất đầm lầy (Luật Chung, Chương 131, Khoản 40) và Sắc lệnh Đất đầm lầy Boston.

B. Địa chỉ của lô đất nơi hoạt động được đề xuất là 710-720 Morrissey Boulevard.

C. Dự án liên quan đến việc **tái phát triển một lô đất đại lý ô tô hiện có, bao gồm việc phá bỏ một tòa nhà hiện có và xây dựng một tòa nhà 4 tầng với diện tích khoảng 28.600 dấu chân vuông**.

D. Quý vị có thể nhận được các bản sao của Thông báo Ý định bằng cách liên hệ với Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston theo địa chỉ CC@boston.gov.

E. Quý vị có thể nhận được bản sao của Thông báo Ý định từ **Đại diện của Người nộp đơn** bằng cách liên hệ với họ theo số (**781) 982-5400** trong khoảng thời gian từ **9 giờ sáng đến 4 giờ chiều, từ thứ 2 đến thứ 6.**

F. Theo Lệnh Hành pháp của Khối thịnh vượng chung Massachusetts về Đình chỉ Một số Điều khoản của Luật họp công khai, phiên điều trần công khai sẽ diễn ra **trực tuyến** tại <u>https://zoom.us/i/6864582044.</u> Nếu quý vị không thể truy cập internet, quý vị có thể gọi 1-929-205-6099, nhập ID cuộc họp là 686 458 2044 # và sử dụng # làm ID người tham gia của quý vị.

G. Thông tin liên quan đến ngày và giờ của buổi điều trần công khai có thể nhận được từ **Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston** bằng cách gửi email đến <u>CC@boston.gov</u> hoặc gọi (617) 635-3850 trong khoảng thời gian từ 9 giờ sáng đến 5 giờ chiều, từ thứ 2 đến thứ 6.

LƯU Ý: Thông báo về phiên điều trần công khai, bao gồm ngày, giờ và địa điểm, sẽ được đăng trước ít nhất năm (5) ngày trên tờ **Boston Herald.**

LƯU Ý: Thông báo về buổi điều trần công khai, bao gồm ngày, giờ và địa điểm, sẽ được đăng trên www.boston.gov/public-notices và tại Tòa thị chính Boston trước ít nhất bốn mươi tám (48) giờ. Nếu quý vị muốn đóng góp ý kiến, quý vị có thể tham dự buổi điều trần công khai hoặc gửi ý kiến bằng văn bản đến <u>CC@boston.gov</u>hoặc Tòa thị chính Boston, Sở Môi trường, Phòng 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

LƯU Ý: Nếu quý vị muốn đóng góp ý kiến, quý vị có thể tham dự buổi điều trần công khai hoặc gửi ý kiến bằng văn bản đến <u>CC@boston.gov</u> hoặc Tòa thị chính Boston, Sở Môi trường, Phòng 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

LƯU Ý: Quý vị cũng có thể liên hệ với Ủy ban Bảo tồn Boston hoặc Văn phòng Khu vực Đông Bắc của Sở Bảo vệ Môi trường (DEP) để biết thêm thông tin về đơn đăng ký này hoặc Đạo luật Bảo vệ Đất đầm lầy. Để liên hệ với DEP, hãy gọi: Khu vực Đông Bắc: (978) 694-3200.

LƯU Ý: Nếu quý vị dự định tham dự buổi điều trần công khai và cần thông dịch, vui lòng thông báo cho nhân viên tại <u>CC@boston.gov</u>trước 12 giờ trưa trước ngày diễn ra buổi điều trần.

CITY of BOSTON

1 CITY HALL SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02201-2021 | ROOM 709 | 617-635-3850 | ENVIRONMENT@BOSTON.GOV




AVI POU PWOPRIYETĖ YO KOMISYON KONSÈVASYON nan BOSTON

An akò avèk Lwa Pwoteksyon zòn imid Massachusetts yo, Chapit 131, Seksyon 40, Lwa Jeneral Massachusetts, ak òdonans zòn imid Boston yo, yo avize w kòm yon opozan nan yon pwojè ki depoze nan Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston.

A. **Konpayi Herb Chambers** yo te depoze yon avi sou entansyon nan Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston pou mande pèmisyon pou chanje yon zòn ki sijè pou pwoteksyon anba Lwa Pwoteksyon zòn imid yo (Chapit 131, seksyon 40, Lwa Jeneral) ak òdonans sou zòn imid Boston yo.

B. Adrès lo kote yo pwopoze aktivite a se **710-720 Morrissey Boulevard**.

C. Pwojè a prevwa yon reyamenajman teren konsesyonè machin ki deja egziste, ki gen ladan demolisyon yon batiman ki deja egziste ak konstriksyon yon batiman k'ap gen kat etaj ak yon sipèfisi sou sòl la apeprè 28,600 pi2.

D. Ou ka jwenn kopi Avi sou entansyon an lè w kontakte Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston nan CC@boston.gov.

E. Ou ka jwenn kopi Avi sou entansyon an nan men **Reprezantan Moun ki fè Demand lan** lè ou kontakte yo nan **(781) 982-5400** ant **9è di maten ak 4è nan laprè midi, Lendi jiska Vandredi.**

F. An akò avèk Dekrè Commonwealth Massachusetts la ki Sispann Sèten Dispozisyon Lwa sou Reyinyon Piblik, odyans piblik la ap fèt **vityèlman** nan adrès sa <u>https://zoom.us/j/6864582044</u>. Si ou pa kapab jwenn aksè ak entènèt la, ou ka rele nan 1-929-205-6099, antre ID Reyinyon ki se 686 458 2044 # epi sèvi ak # kòm ID patisipan ou.

G. Ou ka jwenn enfòmasyon konsènan dat ak lè odyans piblik la nan men Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston pa imèl sou <u>CC@boston.gov</u> oswa rele (617) 635-3850 ant nan lè 9è nan maten rive 5è na laprè midi, Lendi jiska Vandredi.

REMAK: Avi sou odyans piblik la, ki gen ladan dat li, lè li, ak kote, yo pral pibliye omwen senk (5) jou davans nan **Boston Herald la**.

REMAK: Avi sou odyans piblik la, ki gen ladan dat li, lè li, ak kote, yo pral pibliye sou <u>www.boston.gov/public-notices</u> epi 8è davans nan Boston City Hall lan. Si ou ta renmen fè kòmantè, ou ka ale nan odyans piblik la oswa voye kòmantè alekri bay <u>CC@boston.gov</u> oswa Boston City Hall, Environment Department, Room 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

REMAK: Si ou ta renmen fè kòmantè, ou ka ale nan odyans piblik la oswa voye kòmantè alekri bay <u>CC@boston.gov</u> oswa Boston City Hall, Environment Department, Room 709, 1 City Hall Square, Boston, MA 02201

REMAK: Ou ka kontakte Komisyon Konsèvasyon nan Boston oswa Depatman Pwoteksyon Anviwonman Biwo Rejyonal Nòdès la pou plis enfòmasyon sou aplikasyon sa a oswa Lwa Pwoteksyon zòn imid yo. Pou kontakte DEP, rele: Rejyon Nòdès la (978) 694-3200.

REMAK: Si w gen plan pou patisipe nan odyans piblik la epi ou bezwen entèpretasyon, tanpri avize anplwaye yo nan <u>CC@boston.gov</u> avan midi lavèl avan odyans lan.

CITY of BOSTON

1 CITY HALL SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02201-2021 | ROOM 709 | 617-635-3850 | ENVIRONMENT@BOSTON.GOV



BABEL NOTICE

English:

IMPORTANT! This document or application contains <u>important information</u> about your rights, responsibilities and/or benefits. It is crucial that you understand the information in this document and/or application, and we will provide the information in your preferred language at no cost to you. If you need them, please contact us at <u>cc@boston.gov</u> or 617-635-3850. Spanish:

¡IMPORTANTE! Este documento o solicitud contiene <u>información importante</u> sobre sus derechos, responsabilidades y/o beneficios. Es fundamental que usted entienda la información contenida en este documento y/o solicitud, y le proporcionaremos la información en su idioma preferido sin costo alguno para usted. Si los necesita, póngase en contacto con nosotros en el correo electrónico <u>cc@boston.gov</u> o llamando al 617-635-3850.

Haitian Creole:

AVI ENPÒTAN! Dokiman oubyen aplikasyon sa genyen <u>enfòmasyon ki enpòtan</u> konsènan dwa, responsablite, ak/oswa benefis ou yo. Li enpòtan ke ou konprann enfòmasyon ki nan dokiman ak/oubyen aplikasyon sa, e n ap bay enfòmasyon an nan lang ou prefere a, san ou pa peye anyen. Si w bezwen yo, tanpri kontakte nou nan <u>cc@boston.gov</u> oswa 617-635-3850.

Traditional Chinese:

非常重要!這份文件或是申請表格包含關於您的權利,責任,和/或福利的重要信息。請您務必完全理解 這份文件或申請表格的全部信息,這對我們來說十分重要。我們會免費給您提供翻譯服務。如果您有需要 請聯糸我們的郵箱 <u>cc@boston.gov</u> 電話# 617-635-3850..

Vietnamese:

QUAN TRỌNG! Tài liệu hoặc đơn yêu cầu này chứa **thông tin quan trọng** về các quyền, trách nhiệm và/hoặc lợi ích của bạn. Việc bạn hiểu rõ thông tin trong tài liệu và/hoặc đơn yêu cầu này rất quan trọng, và chúng tôi sẽ cung cấp thông tin bằng ngôn ngữ bạn muốn mà không tính phí. Nếu quý vị cần những dịch vụ này, vui lòng liên lạc với chúng tôi theo địa chỉ <u>cc@boston.gov</u> hoặc số điện thoại 617-635-3850.

Simplified Chinese:

非常重要!这份文件或是申请表格包含关于您的权利,责任,和/或福利的重要信息。请您务必完全理解 这份文件或申请表格的全部信息,这对我们来说十分重要。我们会免费给您提供翻译服务。如果您有需要 请联糸我们的邮箱 <u>cc@boston.gov</u> 电话# 617-635-3850.

CITY of **BOSTON**

Cape Verdean Creole:

INPURTANTI! Es dukumentu ó aplikason ten <u>informason inpurtanti</u> sobri bu direitus, rasponsabilidadis i/ó benefísius. Ê krusial ki bu intendi informason na es dukumentu i/ó aplikason ó nu ta da informason na língua di bu preferênsia sen ninhun kustu pa bó. Si bu prisiza del, kontata-nu na <u>cc@boston.gov</u> ó 617-635-3850.

Arabic:

مهم! يحتوي هذا المستند أو التطبيق على معلومات مهمة حول حقوقك ومسؤولياتك أو فوائدك. من الأهمية أن تفهم المعلومات الواردة في هذا المستند أو التطبيق. سوف نقدم المعلومات بلغتك المفضلة دون أي تكلفة عليك. إذا كنت في حاجة إليها، يرجى الاتصال بنا على <u>cc@boston.gov</u> أو .<u>cc@boston.gov</u>

Russian:

ВАЖНО! В этом документе или заявлении содержится **важная информация** о ваших правах, обязанностях и/или льготах. Для нас очень важно, чтобы вы понимали приведенную в этом документе и/или заявлении информацию, и мы готовы бесплатно предоставить вам информацию на предпочитаемом вами языке. Если Вам они нужны, просьба связаться с нами по адресу электронной почты <u>cc@boston.gov</u>, либо по телефону 617-635-3850. Portuguese:

IMPORTANTE! Este documento ou aplicativo contém <u>Informações importantes</u> sobre os seus direitos, responsabilidades e/ou benefícios. É importante que você compreenda as informações contidas neste documento e/ou aplicativo, e nós iremos fornecer as informações em seu idioma de preferência sem nenhum custo para você. Se precisar deles, fale conosco: <u>cc@boston.gov</u> ou 617-635-3850.

French:

IMPORTANT ! Ce document ou cette demande contient des <u>informations importantes</u> concernant vos droits, responsabilités et/ou avantages. Il est essentiel que vous compreniez les informations contenues dans ce document et/ou cette demande, que nous pouvons vous communiquer gratuitement dans la langue de votre choix. Si vous en avez besoin, veuillez nous contacter à <u>cc@boston.gov</u> ou au 617-635-3850.



CITY of **BOSTON**





List of Abutters Herb Chambers - Honda of Boston 710-720 Morrissey Boulevard, Dorchester, MA

PARCEL ID	PARCEL ADDRESS	OWNER	ADDRESSEE	MAILING ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIPCODE
1600255000	741 779 WM T MORRISSEY BL	LAMBERT FERDINAND G TRSTS	C/O WIFRED LAMBERT	735 MORRISSEY BLVD	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1602569000	TENEAN ST	COMMONWEALTH OF MA		TENEAN ST	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600162000	34 BLANCHE ST	ZHAO REVOCABLE TRUST	C/O JENNY LO ZHAO	34 BLANCHE ST	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600251002	730 WM T MORRISSEY BL	SEVEN-ELEVEN INC		PO BOX 711	DALLAS	TX	75221
1600155000	WM T MORRISSEY BL	BROOKS RAYMOND ETAL		26 BLANCHE	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600251000	WM T MORRISSEY BL	COMMWLTH OF MASS		WM T MORRISSEY BLVD	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1602590010	FREEPORT ST	STRAZZULA PHILLIP A JR TS		780 MORRISSEY BLVD	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1602592000	FREEPORT ST	STRAZZULA MATTHEW J		780 WM T MORRISSEY BLVD	DORCHESTER	MA	02125
1600251001	710 720 WM T MORRISSEY BL	HERB CHAMBERS OF WOBURN LLC	HC OF WOBURN LLC	47 EASTERN BLVD	GLASTONBURY	CT	06033
1600231000	VICTORY RD	COMM OF MASS DPW		VICTORY RD	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600222000	650 700 WM T MORRISSEY BL	EXPRESSWAY MOTORS LLC MASS LLC	R C BOCH C/O EXPRESSWAY MTRS	700 MORRISSEY BLVD	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600247000	729 WM T MORRISSEY BL	NEW CREEK II LLC	KIMCO RLTY CORP ATT: PROP TAX	3333 NEW HYDE PK RD #100	NEW HYDE PARK	NY	11042
1600250000	711 WM T MORRISSEY BL	MADISON PARTNERS LLC MASS LLC	C/O MARGARET A SHEEHAN	57 DEDHAM AVE	NEEDHAM HEIGHTS	MA	02122
1600159010	VICTORY RD	CHAU CHOW REAL ESTATE LLC MASS LLC		699 WM T MORRISSEY BL	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600156000	WM T MORRISSEY BL	HOBBS SARAH THERESA		30 BLANCHE ST	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600252001	FREEPORT ST	COMMWLTH OF MASS		FREEPORT	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600225000	FREEPORT ST	COMMONWLTH OF MASS		FREEPORT	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600220000	FREEPORT ST	COMMONWLTH OF MASS		FREEPORT ST	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600159000	699 WM T MORRISSEY BL	CHAU CHOW REAL ESTATE LLC MASS LLC	C/O CHAU CHOW REAL EST LLC	669 WM T MORRISSEY BL	DORCHESTER	MA	02122
1600252000	FREEPORT ST	CITY OF BOSTON		FREEPORT	DORCHESTER	MA	02122



5.0 FILING FEE INFORMATION

Under the MA Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) Wetlands Protection Act (WPA), the fee for proposed activities associated with this Notice of Intent filing are included within Category 3.

Category 3(b): Construction of each building (for development) including site. The fee for Category 3(b) is \$1,050.00

(1) Building Including Site X \$1,050.00 = \$1,050.00

WPA Filing Fee:

Total WPA Required Fees = \$1,050.00 State Share of WPA Filing Fee: (\$ 1,050/2) - \$12.50 = \$ 512.50 Town Share of WPA Filing Fee: (\$ 1,050/2) + \$12.50 = \$ 537.50

Note: The City of Boston does not accept the municipal portion of the state fee.

City of Boston Filing Fees:

The City of Boston requires filing fees in addition to the filing fees under the WPA.

Pursuant to the City of Boston Title 14 Section 450 requires the following fees payable to the City of Boston for Notice of Intent processing:

Projects with fair cost greater than \$100,000, the fee shall be .075% of the fair cost provided, however the fee shall be no more than \$1,500.00.

Proposed Automobile Dealership = (1) Project X \$1,500.00 = \$1,500.00

The Boston Conservation Commission has adopted additional fees under the Boston Wetlands Ordinance. Notice of Intent, Category 3 = \$550.00

Category 3(b): Construction of each building (for development) including site.

(1) Building Including Site X \$ 550.00 = \$ 550.00

Total City of Boston Filling Fee = \$1,500.00 + \$550.00 = \$2,050.00

Total Project Filing Fees:

Total State Filing Fee = Total City Filing Fee = \$512.50 \$2,050.00

<u>Note</u>: Public notification in a local newspaper is required for public hearings under the WPA and Boston Wetland Ordinance. The Boston Conservation Commission uses the Boston Herald for notification purposes and the Boston Herald bills the applicant for the public legal notice.



6.0 APPENDICIES



APPENDIX A

Climate Resiliency Checklist



Submitted: 09/24/2021 10:51:32

A.1 - Project Information

Project Name:	Herb Chambers Honda of Boston				
Project Address:	710-720 Morrissey Boulevard, Boston, MA 02125				
Filing Type:	Initial (PNF, EPNF, NPC or other substantial filing)				
Filing Contact:	Tyler King	CHA Consulting, Inc.	tking@chacompanies.co m	781-792-2277	
Is MEPA approval required?	No	MEPA date:			

A.2 - Project Team

Owner / Developer:	Herb Chambers of Woburn, LLC c/o The Herb Chambers Companies
Architect:	The Curtis Architectural Group
Engineer:	CHA Consulting, Inc.
Sustainability / LEED:	Commercial Construction Consulting, Inc.
Permitting:	
Construction Management:	TBD

A.3 - Project Description and Design Conditions

List the principal Building Uses:	Auto Sales and Service
List the First Floor Uses:	Auto Sales and Service
List any Critical Site Infrastructure and or Building Uses:	None

Site and Building:

Site Area (SF):	92139	Building Area (SF):	28600
Building Height (Ft):	65	Building Height (Stories):	4
Existing Site Elevation – Low (Ft BCB):	14.0	Existing Site Elevation – High (Ft BCB):	18.2
Proposed Site Elevation – Low (Ft BCB):	13.8	Proposed Site Elevation – High (Ft BCB):	18.2
Proposed First Floor Elevation (Ft BCB):	17.5	Below grade spaces/levels (#):	0
Article 37 Green Building:			
LEED Version - Rating System:	v4 New Construction	LEED Certification:	No



Proposed LEED rating:

Certified

Proposed LEED point score (Pts.):

44

Building Envelope:

When reporting R values, differentiate between R discontinuous and R continuous. For example, use "R13" to show R13 discontinuous and use R10c.i. to show R10 continuous. When reporting U value, report total assembly U value including supports and structural elements.

Roof:	35	Exposed Floor :	N/A
Foundation Wall:	N/A	Slab Edge (at or below grade):	19
Vertical Above-grade Assemblies (%	's are of total vertical	area and together should total 100%):	
Area of Opaque Curtain Wall & Spandrel Assembly:	1.51	Wall & Spandrel Assembly Value:	N/A
Area of Framed & Insulated / Standard Wall:	72.97	Wall Value:	18.6
Area of Vision Window:	22.79	Window Glazing Assembly Value:	0.38
		Window Glazing SHGC:	0.38
Area of Doors:	2.73	Door Assembly Value :	0.37

Energy Loads and Performance

For this filing – describe how energy loads & performance were determined	Loads and energy use will be determined based on the various areas and use types. Calculations will utilize annual average usage and weather data				
Annual Electric (kWh):	520000	Peak Electric (kW):	160		
Annual Heating (MMbtu/hr):	2300	Peak Heating (MMbtu):	2.5		
Annual Cooling (Tons/hr):	27000	Peak Cooling (Tons):	370		
Energy Use - Below ASHRAE 90.1 - 2013 (%):	10	Have the local utilities reviewed the building energy performance?:	No		
Energy Use - Below Mass. Code (%):	10	Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF):	36.2		
Back-up / Emergency Power System	em				
Electrical Generation Output (kW):	0	Number of Power Units:	0		
System Type (kW):	0	Fuel Source:	0		
Emergency and Critical System Loads (in the event of a service interruption)					
Electric (kW):	0	Heating (MMbtu/hr):	0		
		Cooling (Tons/hr):	0		

B - Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Net Zero / Net Positive Carbon Building Performance



Reducing greenhouse gas emissions is critical to avoiding more extreme climate change conditions. To achieve the City's goal of carbon-neutrality by 2050 the performance of new buildings will need to progressively improve to carbon net zero and net positive.

B.1 – GHG Emissions - Design Conditions

For this filing - Annual Building GHG Emissions (Tons)	490	
FOI UNIS HUNG - ANNUAL DURUNG ONG LINISSIONS (TONS).	490	

For this filing - describe how building energy performance has been integrated into project planning, design, and engineering and any supporting analysis or modeling:

Energy efficiency will be a major consideration of the design team. High efficiency HVAC systems and lighting will be specified. New controls will be installed for all systems. Programmable thermostats will reduce energy usage during off hours. Energy conservation consideration will continue as the project progresses

Describe building specific passive energy efficiency measures including orientation, massing, building envelop, and systems:

High Efficiency Glass and shading co-efficiency

Describe building specific active energy efficiency measures including high performance equipment, controls, fixtures, and systems:

All new systems will meet or exceed the requirements of International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) and the International Mechanical Code (IMC)

Describe building specific load reduction strategies including on-site renewable energy, clean energy, and storage systems:

The installation of a photovoltaic system is being investigated

Describe any area or district scale emission reduction strategies including renewable energy, central energy plants, distributed energy systems, and smart grid infrastructure:

Not anticipated

Describe any energy efficiency assistance or support provided or to be provided to the project:

Not anticipated

B.2 - GHG Reduction - Adaptation Strategies



Describe how the building and its systems will evolve to further reduce GHG emissions and achieve annual carbon net zero and net positive performance (e.g. added efficiency measures, renewable energy, energy storage, etc.) and the timeline for meeting that goal (by 2050):

Additional strategies to reduce GHG shall include high efficiency equipment applications with environmentally approved refrigerants that account for GHC reduction applications with the deployment of new sustainability and adaptation strategies as they become feasible at the Project site. The Proponent will continue to evaluate energy conservation strategies during the design phase of the project

C - Extreme Heat Events

Annual average temperature in Boston increased by about 2°F in the past hundred years and will continue to rise due to climate change. By the end of the century, the average annual temperature could be 56° (compared to 46° now) and the number of days above 90° (currently about 10 a year) could rise to 90.

C.1 - Extreme Heat - Design Conditions

Temperature Range - Low (Deg.):	0	0 Temperature Range - High (Deg.):				
Annual Heating Degree Days:	5498	Annual Cooling Degree Days	805			
What Extreme Heat Event characteristics will be / have been used for project planning						
Days - Above 90° (#):	25	Days - Above 100° (#):	5			
Number of Heatwaves / Year (#):	2	Average Duration of Heatwave (Days):	3			
Describe all building and site measures to reduce heat-island effect at the site and in the surrounding area:						
To reduce heat-island effect, reduction of impervious surface, additional shade						

trees and shrubs, high-reflective roofing materials and glazing applications

C.2 - Extreme Heat - Adaptation Strategies

Describe how the building and its systems will be adapted to efficiently manage future higher average temperatures, higher extreme temperatures, additional annual heatwaves, and longer heatwaves:

Feasibility of the latest building materials to be incorporated in, walls, structures, and additional landscape elements shall be evaluated. Use of high-efficiency HVAC systems that shall have capacity to accommodate future temperature set point requirements for cooling load during design life of system

Describe all mechanical and non-mechanical strategies that will support building functionality and use during extended interruptions of utility services and infrastructure including proposed and future adaptations:

Natural ventilation, external shading devices, incorporation of energy efficient insulation types in accordance with the IECC

D - Extreme Precipitation Events



From 1958 to 2010, there was a 70 percent increase in the amount of precipitation that fell on the days with the heaviest precipitation. Currently, the 10-Year, 24-Hour Design Storm precipitation level is 5.25". There is a significant probability that this will increase to at least 6" by the end of the century. Additionally, fewer, larger storms are likely to be accompanied by more frequent droughts.

D.1 – Extreme Precipitation - Design Conditions

What is the project design8.83precipitation level? (In. / 24 Hours)8.83

Describe all building and site measures for reducing storm water run-off:

Reduction of impervious surfaces & on-site drainage infrastructure improvements

D.2 - Extreme Precipitation - Adaptation Strategies

Describe how site and building systems will be adapted to efficiently accommodate future more significant rain events (e.g. rainwater harvesting, on-site storm water retention, bio swales, green roofs):

On-site stormwater retention/infiltration via underground drainage chambers and outlet control structures, and the reduction of impervious surfaces with the installation of landscaped islands within the site

E – Sea Level Rise and Storms

Under any plausible greenhouse gas emissions scenario, the sea level in Boston will continue to rise throughout the century. This will increase the number of buildings in Boston susceptible to coastal flooding and the likely frequency of flooding for those already in the floodplain.

Is any portion of the site in a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area?	Yes	What Zone:	AE
What is the current FEMA SFHA Zone Base Flood Elevation for the site (Ft BCB)?			16.5
Is any portion of the site in the BPDA Sea Level Rise Flood Hazard Area (see SLR-FHA online map)?	Yes		

If you answered YES to either of the above questions, please complete the following questions. Otherwise you have completed the questionnaire; thank you!

E.1 - Sea Level Rise and Storms - Design Conditions



Proposed projects should identify immediate and future adaptation strategies for managing the flooding scenario represented by the Sea Level Rise Flood Hazard Area (SLR-FHA), which includes 3.2' of sea level rise above 2013 tide levels, an additional 2.5" to account for subsidence, and the 1% Annual Chance Flood. After using the SLR-FHA to identify a project's Sea Level Rise Base Flood Elevation, proponents should calculate the Sea Level Rise Design Flood Elevation by adding 12" of freeboard for buildings, and 24" of freeboard for critical facilities and infrastructure and any ground floor residential units.

What is the Sea Level Rise - Base Flood Elevation for the site (Ft BCB)?	19.5		
What is the Sea Level Rise - Design Flood Elevation for the site (Ft BCB)?	20.5	First Floor Elevation (Ft BCB):	17.5
What are the Site Elevations at Building (Ft BCB)?	16.8-17.5	What is the Accessible Route Elevation (Ft BCB)?	16.5-17.5

Describe site design strategies for adapting to sea level rise including building access during flood events, elevated site areas, hard and soft barriers, wave / velocity breaks, storm water systems, utility services, etc.:

Backflow prevention in both stormwater and wastewater outlet pipes prior to exiting the site

Describe how the proposed Building Design Flood Elevation will be achieved including dry / wet flood proofing, critical systems protection, utility service protection, temporary flood barriers, waste and drain water back flow prevention, etc.:

Based on the existing elevation of the site and the elevation of its surrounding access roads, the existing grades will need to be closely matched. The site will be raised out of the FEMA flood plain and backflow prevention devices will be installed on all exiting storm and wastewater outlets. Where practicable, mechanical & other utility equipment will be raised above finish floor and if possible, out of the SLR-BFE

Describe how occupants might shelter in place during a flooding event including any emergency power, water, and waste water provisions and the expected availability of any such measures:

Emergency power will be provided throughout the building. Bathrooms will be located on the second floor for use in the case of a flood emergency

Describe any strategies that would support rapid recovery after a weather event:

Inventory, display and service automobiles will be moved offsite to other Chambers inland and/or upland sites in the Greater Boston area and moved back after the weather event. All moveable furniture, fixtures, tools and business machines will be moved up ramps and/or elevators to the 2nd, 3rd and 4th floors and returned after the weather event

E.2 - Sea Level Rise and Storms - Adaptation Strategies

Describe future site design and or infrastructure adaptation strategies for responding to sea level rise including future elevating of site areas and access routes, barriers, wave / velocity breaks, storm water systems, utility services, etc.:

Underground drainage systems will be installed beneath the parking areas to provide stormwater storage which does not currently exist on the site



Describe future building adaptation strategies for raising the Sea Level Rise Design Flood Elevation and further protecting critical systems, including permanent and temporary measures:

Consideration of the installation of temporary flood gates at the vehicular entrances. Pending the final location of proposed mechanical/electrical systems, provisions for relocation to upper floors as sea level rise continues in future decades will also be considered

Thank you for completing the Boston Climate Change Checklist!

For questions or comments about this checklist or Climate Change best practices, please contact: <u>John.Dalzell@boston.gov</u>



APPENDIX B

Exhibits



1. THE EXISTING CONDITIONS INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON IS THE RESULT OF AN ON-THE-GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY CHA CONSULTING, INC.

4. TOPOGRAPHY, CONTOURS AND BENCHMARKS ARE BASED ON AN THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD88). TEMPORARY

5. THE PROJECT AREA IS PARTIALLY LOCATED IN FLOOD ZONE "AE" (EL. 10'), BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS DETERMINED, AND PARTIALLY LOCATED IN

- 5. COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT COMMISSION "EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY PLAN" PREPARED BY VANASSE HANGEN



RIM:17.8 NO PIPES VISIBLE SUMP: -1.1 TEMPORARY BENCHMARK #3 X-CUT SET IN HYDRANT BONNET BOLT NEXT TO "T" IN "ALBERTVILLE" ELEV. = 20.38' (BCB)**W**₽/T 53_1X RIM: 17.5 N/F SEVEN-ELEVEN INC. - -15 ____ BK 25602 PG¦49 PARCEL ID 1600251002 -I-BEAM POST | | | | | | | EXISTING BUILDING 730 MORRISSEY BLVD rbcap+ HELD) ر هي 8" MHE-RIM: <u>1</u>5.9 -/-' RIM: 15.0

-MHSA (9) RIM: 15.3 Ee F MHSA— "B5" RIM: 15.7) D RIM: 15.7

SCALE: 1'' = 30'



HONDA HERB CHAMBERS HONDA OF BOSTON 710-720 MORRISSEY BLVD. ORCHESTER MA 02122 IS A VIOLATION OF LAW FOR ANY PERSON, UNLESS THEY AR IT IS A VIOLATION OF LAW FOR ANY PERSON, UNLESS THEY ARE ACTING UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER, ARCHITECT, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT OR LAND SURVEYOR TO ALITER AN ITEM IN ANY WAY. IF AN ITEM BEARING THE STAMP OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL IS ALITERED, THE ALITERING ENGINEER, ARCHITECT, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT OR LAND SURVEYOR SHALL STAMP THE DOCUMENT AND INCLUDE THE NOTATION "ALITERED BY" FOLLOWED BY THEIR SIGNATURE, THE DATE OF SUCH ALITERATION, AND A SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF THE ALITERATION. PROPOSED SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR HERB CHAMBERS HONDA OF BOSTON 710-720 MORRISSEY BLVD. DORCHESTER, MA Submittal / Revision App'd. By Date EXISTING CONDITIONS Designed By: Drawn By: Checked By Issue Date: Project No: Scale 9/6/2019 31554-14000 Drawing No.: EC-00

IROD-

Drawing Copyright © 2019

Norwell, MA 0206

781.982.5400 • www.chacompanies.com



PROPOSED DISTURBANCE						
	AREA DESCRIPTION	DISTURBANCE AREA (S.F.)	PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA (S.F.)	PROPOSED PERVIOUS AREA (S.F.)		
RESOURCE AREA	FEMA FLOOD PLAIN	106,940±	92,360±	14,580±		







APPENDIX C

Project Plans (separate cover)



APPENDIX D

Stormwater Report (separate cover)


Stormwater Report Herb Chambers Honda of Boston 710-720 Morrissey Blvd, Boston, MA

CHA Project Number: 059051

Prepared for: The Herb Chambers Companies 259 McGrath Highway Somerville, MA 02143

Prepared by:



141 Longwater Drive, Suite 104 Norwell, Massachusetts 02061 Phone: (781) 982-5400 Fax: (781) 982-5490

January 2020 Revised June 2020



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	NARR	ATIVE
	1.1	Executive Summary
	1.2	Objective of Calculations
	1.3	Methodology
	1.4	Site Hydrology
	1.5	Stormwater Management
	1.6	Best Management Practices
	1.7	Hydraulics and Pipe Sizing
	1.8	Summary of Hydrology & Stormwater Calculations
	1.9	Conclusion
	1.10	References
	1.11	General Construction Sequencing
	1.12	Figures
		1.12.1 LOCUS Map
		1.12.2 USGS Map
		1.12.3 FEMA Map
2.0	Long-	TERM POLLUTION PREVENTION AND OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PLAN
	21	Operation & Maintenance Narrative
	2.1 2.2	Stormwater Operating and Maintenance Plan (C-O&M)
	2.2	
3.0	Hydro	DLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODELING
	3.1	HydroCAD Site Hydrology Calculations
	5.1	3.1.1 Pre-Developed Stormwater Report Calculations
		3.1.2 Post-Developed Stormwater Report Calculations
	3.2	Proposed Pipe Hydraulic Calculations
	-	3.2.1 Pipe Network Capacity Calculations
	3.3	Drainage Area Plans
		3.3.1 Pre-Development Drainage Subcatchment Plan (DR-EC)
		3.3.2 Post-Development Drainage Subcatchment Plan (DR-PC)
4.0	STORM	MWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS
	4.1	Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Removal Calculations
	4.2	Required Recharge Volume
	4.3	Water Quality Calculations
	4.4	Drawdown Time Calculations
	4.5	Illicit Discharge Statement

5.0 STORMWATER CHECKLIST

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts, prepared by, USDA and NRCS

Geotechnical Design Investigation and Recommendations Report prepared by Hailey and Aldrich, Inc. and dated January 29, 2020

LIST OF ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS

BFE	Base Flood Elevation
BMP	Best Management Practice
BVW	Bordering Vegetated Wetland
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Map
HSG	Hydrologic Soil Group
IWPA	Interim Wellhead Protection Area
MAHW	Mean Annual High-Water
MassDEP	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
NAVD	North American Vertical Datum
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
SHGW	Seasonal High Groundwater
SWMSH	Stormwater Management Standards Handbook
Тс	Time of Concentration
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
USGS	United States Geological Survey

Section 1.0

Narrative

1.0 NARRATIVE

1.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The project site is located at 710-720 Morrissey Blvd in the Dorchester Neighborhood of the City of Boston. The proposed redevelopment project intends to demolish the existing car dealership building and a renovate the site into a new, state-of-the-art, Honda auto dealership. The proposed building will be contemporary in style, featuring a streamlined design. It is presently expected to be four (4) stories high, with a maximum height of approximately 65 feet. The proposed building footprint measures approximately 28,400 square feet with a total floor area of 112,600 square feet. The building will feature showrooms, offices, service bays, vehicle storage, and customer amenities. Approximately 200 automobiles are proposed to be located within the building (including inventory). Externally, approximately 170 parking spaces are proposed throughout the site with landscaped areas around them to provide additional greenspace. Additional site improvements include; grading, restriping of the parking lot, replacement of pavement and concrete surfaces, and upgrades to the existing drainage and utility services.

The existing site includes a catch basins inlet and piped drainage system that connects to the municipal drainage system located in Freeport Street. The municipal system ultimately discharges to an existing 12-foot x 12-foot culvert and reaches the Neponset River. The existing on-site drainage system provides a hydrodynamic separator structure but no detention or infiltration for stormwater runoff.

The existing parking lot is relatively level, and the elevation varies between elevation 18' and elevation 15' based on Boston City Base vertical datum (refer to the attached site plans for additional information). The site is located within a special flood hazard area (SFHA), FEMA Zone AE as shown on the FIRM Map Number 25025C0091J dated March 16, 2016 (see Figure 3). A SFHA is an area which is subject to inundation by the 1% annual chance flood. This particular Zone AE has a base flood elevation of 10 feet (NAVD 88) which translates to 16.5' in Boston City Base vertical datum. Additional information about the proposed building's finish floor elevations and floodplain volumes are included on the project's site plan set. The site is not located within any surface water protection areas, wellhead protection areas, or within a habitat area designated by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP).

The project includes a new building with a footprint of approximately 28,400 square feet, and associated parking lot and landscaping improvements. The addition of landscaped areas along the perimeter of the site and within the parking lot results is an overall decrease in impervious area. The proposed project will decrease total impervious area from 2.57 acres to 2.32 acres (0.25 acre or $10,670\pm$ sq.ft. reduction). Stormwater runoff from the paved and sidewalk areas is proposed to be collected by a series of deep sump catch basins and piped to new Retain-It @ subsurface infiltration chambers. These subsurface drainage systems will consist of a stone bed with chambers and isolator chambers, for enhanced water quality, beneath the parking area (see Section 1.6 for more details on the isolator chambers). These systems have been sized to infiltrate a minimum of 1.25 inches of stormwater runoff as required by the Boston Water and Sewer Commission. The storm bedding below and around the chambers are assumed to have a 30% void ratio. The stormwater system proposes an overflow pipe to connect to the municipal drainage system within the Freeport Street Right-of-Way. The required TSS removal is achieved through the use of deep sump hooded catch basins, and the aforementioned subsurface infiltration chambers.

The project includes improvements to the stormwater management system that have been designed to comply with the requirements of the MassDEP Stormwater Standards (2008) and Boston Water and Sewer Commission Regulations as a redevelopment project. The proposed improvements are shown on the attached site development plans prepared by CHA, 141 Longwater Park Drive, Norwell, Massachusetts.

1.2 OBJECTIVE OF CALCULATIONS

The purpose of this stormwater analysis is to examine the stormwater runoff from the proposed improvements based upon the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) Stormwater Management Standards. The goals of the stormwater management system design for this project are to provide improved water quality, stormwater recharge to the maximum extent practical, and to protect the surrounding area from any potential flooding and/or environmental impacts. The following analysis includes stormwater routing calculations performed using the 2-year, 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year frequency, Type III, 24-hour SCS design storms.

1.3 METHODOLOGY

The HydroCAD Stormwater Modeling System computer program, version 10.00, by HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC is used to develop stormwater runoff rates and volumes for the existing and proposed conditions at the project site. The HydroCAD software is a hydrograph generation and routing program similar to TR-20. Additional information about how HydroCAD performs calculations is available upon request.

Design points were chosen at down gradient points in the drainage areas to compare development conditions for each of the following SCS Type III 24-hour design storm events. The design storm frequencies and corresponding rainfall depths were compiled from NOAA Atlas-14 "Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the United States" and have been estimated as follows for Boston:

Storm Frequency (Years)	Rainfall Depth (Inches)
2	3.26
10	4.90
25	6.19
100	8.83

The curve number or CN is a land sensitive coefficient that dictates the relationship between total rainfall depth and direct stormwater runoff. Based upon the cover in each sub-watershed a weighted average CN value was determined. The area, CN values, and time of concentration were entered into HydroCAD to develop hydrographs for the pre and post-developed conditions.

Drainage area maps for both pre- and post-development conditions have been included in this submission in Section 3.3.

1.4 SITE HYDROLOGY

Hydrologic soil groups (HSG) are used primarily to estimate runoff from precipitation in engineering calculations. HSG designations vary from "A" to "D" with "A" having the highest infiltration rate and "D" the slowest. The delineated soil boundaries from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey show that the site consists of mainly of Urban Land which has no HSG designation. Based on preliminary boring data, the in-situ soils were found to be sand to sandy loam likely to promote infiltration and an HSG designation of B was utilized. A conservative infiltration rate of 1.02 in/hr corresponds to sandy loam on the Rawls' Rates table. Groundwater levels were observed at elevation 8' Boston City Base Vertical Datum (BCB). Additional soil information can be found in Appendix A and includes "Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts" by the NRCS, and the "Geotechnical Design Investigation and Recommendations" report prepared by Hailey and Aldrich, Inc. and dated January 29, 2020

1.4.1 PRE-DEVELOPED HYDROLOGY

The existing condition hydrology consists of Design Point (DP-1) in the Freeport Street Right-of-Way, which is the municipal drainage system; and DP-2 which is assumed as the adjacent roadways.

Below is a description of all the subcatchment area that were broken out for the existing site. Drainage area plans for both pre- and post-development conditions have been included in this report in Section 3.3

Existing Conditions Subcatchment Area 1S

This subcatchment contains the existing building roof and parking area of the existing dealership. Stormwater runoff is collected via roof drains, downspouts, and catch basins and piped to the existing water quality structure. The water quality structure then discharges to Freeport St municipal drainage structure designated DP-1.

Existing Conditions Subcatchment Area 2S

This subcatchment is summarized as the area surrounding the parking lot where stormwater runoff flows overland and out to one of the surrounding streets. The surrounding streets are considered DP-2.

1.4.2 POST DEVELOPED HYDROLOGY

The proposed site improvement project includes the removal and replacement of an existing car dealership with an updated building and utility services. The project also includes associated site improvements including re-grading the site, modification of landscaping, restriping of the parking lot, installation of pavement and concrete surfaces, and upgrades to drainage system.

The proposed hydrology consists of Design Points (DP-1) in the Freeport Street Right-of-Way, which is the municipal drainage system; and DP-2 which is assumed as the adjacent roadways.

Below is a description of all the subcatchment areas that were broken out for the postdeveloped site. Drainage area plans for both pre- and post-development conditions have been included in this submission in Section 3.3.

Proposed Conditions Subcatchment Area 1S

This subcatchment contains the eastern half of the proposed roof area and the eastern portion of the parking lot. Stormwater runoff from this subcatchment is collected through catch basins and a piped system and conveyed to the underground Retain-It ® infiltration system (UG-1) that allows for infiltration and groundwater recharge. UG-1 is equipped with an overflow pipe, proposed to connect to the municipal system within Freeport Street (DP-1). The predominant ground cover type is impervious pavement and roof.

Proposed Conditions Subcatchment Area 2S

This subcatchment contains the portion of the parking lot directly north of the proposed building. Stormwater runoff from this subcatchment is collected through catch basins and a piped system and conveyed to the underground Retain-It ® infiltration system (UG-2) that allows for infiltration and groundwater recharge. UG-2 is equipped with an overflow pipe, proposed to connect to the municipal system within Freeport Street (DP-1). The predominant ground cover type is impervious pavement.

Proposed Conditions Subcatchment Area 3S

This subcatchment contains a portion of the parking lot that is west and north of the proposed building and western half of the proposed roof area. Stormwater runoff from this subcatchment is collected through catch basins and a piped system and conveyed to the underground Retain-It ® infiltration system (UG-3). UG-3 allows for infiltration and groundwater recharge. UG-3 is equipped with an overflow pipe, proposed to connect to the municipal system within Freeport Street (DP-1). The predominant ground cover type is impervious pavement and roof.

Proposed Conditions Subcatchment Area 4S

This subcatchment is summarized as the area surrounding the parking lot/drive aisles where stormwater runoff flows overland and out to one of the surrounding streets (DP-2).

1.5 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The project includes a Stormwater Management System that has been designed to satisfy and comply with the requirements of the MassDEP Stormwater Standards (2008) to the <u>"maximum</u> <u>extent practicable for redevelopments</u>" as outlined in the Applicability section of Chapter 1 of the Stormwater Management Standards.

The following is an explanation on how the proposed project will address the 2008 MassDEP Stormwater Management Policy.

<u>Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges</u> – No new stormwater system conveyances will discharge untreated runoff or cause erosion in wetlands or waters of the Commonwealth.

All new stormwater system conveyances are treated prior to discharge and result in no erosion occurring on site. The drainage system has been designed to direct stormwater runoff into underground infiltration systems equipped with geofabric lined isolator chambers.

<u>Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation</u> – Stormwater management systems shall be designed so that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development peak discharge rates.

The overall impervious area is being decreased through the addition of landscape islands throughout the site in the post-developed condition. In addition, subsurface infiltration systems are proposed which did not exist in the pre-developed condition. This combination will allow stormwater to infiltrate into the ground and result in a decrease in peak discharge rates and volumes to the municipal system.

<u>Standard 3: Recharge</u> – Loss of annual recharge to groundwater shall be eliminated or minimized through the use of infiltration measures including environmentally sensitive site design, low impact development techniques, stormwater best management practices, and good operation and maintenance. At a minimum, the annual recharge from the post-development site shall approximate the annual recharge from pre-development conditions based on soil type. This standard is met when the stormwater management system is designed to infiltrate the required recharge volume as determined in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

There is a net decrease in impervious area on the site, therefore recharge is not required. However, recharge will be provided through the use of subsurface infiltration chambers to meet the Boston Water and Sewer Commission's infiltration/ water quality volume requirement of 1.25-inch times all impervious area. The subsurface infiltration systems consist of manufactured chambers set on a stone bed. The required recharge volume is stored and infiltrated below the outlet control structure's weir elevation. Refer to the Required Recharge Volume calculations provided in this report in Section 4.2. <u>Standard 4: Water Quality</u> – Stormwater management systems shall be designed to remove 80% of the average annual post-construction load of Total Suspended Solids (TSS). This Standard is met when:

- a. Suitable practices for source control and pollution prevention are identified in a long-term pollution prevention plan, and thereafter are implemented and maintained;
- b. Structural stormwater best management practices are sized to capture the required water quality volume determined in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook; and
- c. Pretreatment is provided in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

The project employs deep sump hooded catch basins (25% TSS removal), and Retain-It ® systems equipped with isolator chambers to achieve removal of at least 80% TSS for the conveyance system per the Massachusetts DEP Stormwater Management Standards. The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is included in conjunction with the Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9 (see Section 2 of this report).

Standard 5: Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads – For land uses with higher potential pollutant loads, source control and pollution prevention shall be implemented in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook to eliminate or reduce the discharge of stormwater runoff from such land uses to the maximum extent practicable. If through source control and/or pollution prevention all land uses with higher potential pollutant loads cannot be completely protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt, and stormwater runoff, the proponent shall use the specific structural stormwater BMPs determined by the Department to be suitable for such uses as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. Stormwater discharges from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads shall also comply with the requirements of the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, M.G.L. c. 21, §§ 26-53 and the regulations promulgated there under at 314 CMR 3.00, 314 CMR 4.00 and 314 CMR 5.00.

This Standard is not applicable to the site. The project is not considered a LUHPPL (Land Use with Higher Potential Pollutant Load).

<u>Standard 6: Critical Areas</u> – Critical areas are Outstanding Resource Waters as designated in 314 CMR 4.00, Special Resource Waters as designated in 314 CMR 4.00, recharge areas for public water supplies as defined in 310 CMR 22.02 (Zone Is, Zone IIs, and Interim Wellhead Protection Areas for groundwater sources and Zone (A)s for surface water sources.)

This Standard is not applicable to the site. The project is not located within any critical areas.

<u>Standard 7: Redevelopment and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum</u> <u>extent practicable</u> – A redevelopment project is required to meet the following Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable: Standard 2, Standard 3, and the pretreatment and structural best management practice requirements of Standards 4, 5, and 6. Existing stormwater discharges shall comply with Standard 1 only to the maximum extent practicable. A redevelopment project shall also comply with all other requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards and improve existing conditions.

This project is considered a redevelopment under the Stormwater Management Standards. However, it has been designed to fully comply with the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards.

<u>Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention Plan and Erosion and Sedimentation Control</u> – A plan to control construction-related impacts including erosion, sedimentation and other pollutant sources during construction and land disturbance activities (construction period erosion, sedimentation, and pollution prevention plan) shall be developed and implemented.

The project will require coverage under the EPA NPDES Construction General Permit and the SWPPP will be submitted prior to construction.

<u>Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan</u> – A long-term operation and maintenance plan shall be developed and implemented to ensure that stormwater management systems function as designed.

An Operation and Maintenance Plan has been customized to fit the design of the proposed development (see Section 2). Provisions to maintain runoff control devices have been assured through non-structural, structural, and construction management approaches.

<u>Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges</u> – All illicit discharges to the stormwater management system are prohibited.

The Operation and Maintenance plan required by Standard 9 includes measures to prevent illicit discharges.

1.6 BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs)

A treatment train of deep sump catch basins, Retain-It ® Isolator Chambers, and subsurface infiltration chamber systems are proposed to treat stormwater runoff on the site. See Section 4.1 for the Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Calculations. A description of the devices incorporated is indicated below.

1.6.1 PROPOSED STRUCTURAL AND TREATMENT BMPs

1. DEEP SUMP HOODED CATCH BASINS

Deep sump hooded catch basins are modified versions of inlet structures installed to collect and convey stormwater on the site. The deep sumps, typically a 4-ft dimension below the outlet pipe invert, are most effective if placed "off-line"; that is they do not have inlet pipes. The catch basins contain traps or hoods on the outlet pipes and serve as pretreatment for other downstream BMPs. Deep sump hooded catch basins will be installed throughout the site to promote gravitational settlement of sediment and to remove trash, debris, sediment and a limited amount of oil and grease from stormwater runoff. To ensure maximum capacity and efficiency, the sumps will be inspected four times a year and cleaned when half of the available capacity of the deep sump has been used or at a minimum of quarterly and at the end of construction.

2. ISOLATOR CHAMBERS

The Isolator Chambers is a series of Retain-It [®] chambers surrounded with filtration fabric and equipped with one or more manholes for access. The chambers are wrapped in fabric and provide settling and filtration. Stormwater runoff is first directed to the Isolator Chambers where they capture sediment, thereby protecting the rest of the underground system consisting of standard chambers on a stone bed. Isolator Chambers will be inspected routinely and cleaned in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations.

3. SUBSURFACE INFILTRATION/RECHARGE SYSTEMS

Subsurface infiltration systems consisting of precast concrete chambers (Retain-It ®) set on a stone bed are proposed to infiltrate and recharge storm runoff. The chamber systems aim to provide peak flow reduction, stormwater runoff volume reduction, and TSS removal for various storm events. The proposed system drains down completely between storm events due to the large footprint of the stone bed. Manhole risers and manufacturer recommended inspection ports are proposed at the ground surface to allow inspection and maintenance access.

1.7 HYDRAULICS AND PIPE SIZING

The closed-conveyance storm drain collection system was analyzed using the Rational Method.

 $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{CiA}$, for estimating runoff where "C" is a coefficient dependent on land cover, "i" is storm intensity in in/hr based upon published I-D-F curves, and "A" is area in acres. "Q" or flow is calculated in cubic feet per second.

The project site and access road were subdivided by catch basin or inlets based upon drainage areas tributary to each. A "C" value for each area was assigned based upon overall character of land. "C" values typically range from 0.9 in paved/impervious conditions to 0.3 for grass and landscaped areas. To be conservative, runoff calculations for this Project were assumed to be completely impervious. Point Precipitation Frequency Estimates from NOAA Atlas 14 data at the Boston, Massachusetts location were used to establish the rainfall rate for the 25-year event.

Pipe hydraulic design was completed using Manning's full flow capacity equation for circular pipe with an n-value of 0.013 for PVC.

Q = 1.49/n AR2/3 S1/2, where, n is coefficient depending on channel roughness, A is area of flow, R is the hydraulic radius, and S is the channel slope.

1.8 SUMMARY OF HYDROLOGY & STORMWATER CALCULATIONS

The results of the pre and post-development hydrology calculations provided in Section 3 are summarized in the following tables. The table corresponds to the design points as indicated on the drainage area maps and hydrograph routing calculations.

STORM SCS 24-HR	EXISTING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE
2-YEAR	7.35	1.69	-5.66
10-YEAR	11.13	8.80	-2.33
25-YEAR	14.09	12.46	-1.63
100-YEAR	20.14	18.70	-1.44

TOTAL RUNOFF PEAK FLOW RATE (CFS) DESIGN POINT 1 (DP-1)

TOTAL VOLUME (AF) DESIGN POINT 1 (DP-1)

STORM SCS 24-HR	EXISTING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE
2-YEAR	0.586	0.110	-0.476
10-YEAR	0.902	0.370	-0.532
25-YEAR	1.152	0.589	-0.563
100-YEAR	1.662	1.064	-0.598

TOTAL RUNOFF PEAK FLOW RATE (CFS) DESIGN POINT 2 (DP-2)

STORM SCS 24-HR	EXISTING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE
2-YEAR	0.79	0.33	-0.46
10-YEAR	1.51	0.95	-0.56
25-YEAR	2.11	1.52	-0.59
100-YEAR	3.33	2.80	-0.53

TOTAL VOLUME (AF) DESIGN POINT 2 (DP-2)

STORM SCS 24-HR	EXISTING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE
2-YEAR	0.056	0.029	-0.027
10-YEAR	0.108	0.070	-0.038
25-YEAR	0.151	0.109	-0.042
100-YEAR	0.243	0.199	-0.044

The proposed project design reduces the peak flow rates generated in the post development conditions at Design Points DP-1 and DP-2 for the listed design storm events. The design also reduces volume at DP-1 and DP-2 for the listed storm events.

1.9 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the project provides a reduction in post-developed rates and volumes of runoff through structural approaches and design of stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs). The design provides TSS removal, water quality treatment, and recharge exceeding the existing conditions.

It is our professional opinion that the proposed development project will not adversely affect the surrounding drainage patterns. The following routing calculations, Best Management Practice design, and associated documentation within this report have been prepared to illustrate that runoff from the project has been mitigated.

1.10 REFERENCES

- Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Protection, <u>Stormwater</u> <u>Management Standards Handbook</u>. Volumes 1-3 February 2008 (DEP Stormwater Management Policy 2008).
- 2. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Protection. <u>310 CMR</u> <u>10.00: Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations</u>. 2008.
- 3. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Protection. <u>314 CMR</u> <u>4.00: Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards</u>. 2007.
- 4. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Protection. <u>314 CMR</u> <u>9.00: Massachusetts Water Quality Regulations.</u> 2008.
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services <u>Urban</u> <u>Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release 55 (TR-55).</u> June 1986.
- 6. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services <u>Project</u> <u>Formulation Hydrology Program System, Technical Release 20 (TR-20).</u> Oct. 2004.

1.11 GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING

The following section provides construction details and highlights the general construction sequence and timing of earthmoving activities. The project will be phased in order to maintain operation of the existing building during construction. The overall project will be generally broken down into the following phases (refer to the Project Plans for more details):

- Establish Erosion and Sediment Controls around the work area
- Demolition (ex. building, structures, driveways)
- Site clearing and grading, drainage, utility, and roadway installation
- Building construction
- Final utility connections, and permanent stabilization

A. Pre-construction Meeting

An on-site meeting will be conducted by the Owner's Representative prior to the start of construction activity. A copy of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and NPDES Construction General Permit (CGP) will be provided to applicable parties, Authorities, and City Departments.

B. Installation of Erosion Controls

Erosion and sedimentation controls (i.e. silt sock and inlet protection) will be installed at the limits of work and within the existing catch basins, as applicable. Tree protection will be installed around trees specified to remain within the limit of work. Structures to remain shall also be visibly flagged/protected.

C. Installation of Construction Entrance

Construction entrances will be installed in the locations as shown on the demolition plans in accordance with the construction detail provided in the plan set. Existing pavement will be removed within the limits of the proposed construction entrances to accommodate the crushed stone entrance.

D. Demolition

Demolition activities will be phased throughout the duration of construction. Generally, demolition activities will include the removal of any existing building, utilities services, and pavement within the specific work area in accordance with the Construction Plans. Other miscellaneous construction debris will be removed from the property and transported to an appropriate destination for disposal according to Federal, State and Local guidelines; this includes but is not limited to existing drainage pipes.

E. Excavation and Rough Grading

The specific work areas will be rough graded in accordance with the proposed grading as shown on the plans. If suitable topsoil is found, it will be removed and stockpiled within the project limits.

E. Installation of Underground Drainage Chambers

This phase of construction will involve the installation of the underground drainage chambers and nearby drainage structures. This work will be performed in the northern parking area before any existing building demolition occurs.

F. Building Construction

This phase of construction will involve the installation of the building including the proposed foundation and vertical construction of the building. All building waste is to be properly disposed of in dumpsters. While this phase commences, other site construction activities will be taking place.

G. Installation of Drainage and Utilities

Utility relocations and modifications, including water, gas, and electric, are anticipated to occur in conjunction with the drainage work. Temporary sediment basins will be constructed at this time on an as-needed basis to collect stormwater runoff during construction. Stockpiles will be established in designated areas as shown on project plans. All temporary/inactive stockpile areas will be encompassed by straw bales or other approved erosion control devices to control sediment laden runoff as necessary and will be temporarily seeded, mulched or covered with plastic, as necessary. Material stabilization will be in accordance with the SWPPP.

H. Fine Grading, Paving, Etc.

The fine grading and shaping will commence along with the installation of curbing to prepare for paving operations. Areas outside of the parking lot will be shaped and prepped for loam, seed, or other treatments. Paving operations will begin with the installation of both binder and finish course layers.

I. Permanent / Final Site Stabilization

The final phase of the project involves final parking lot paving, landscaping, and restoration and stabilization of all exposed surfaces. Curb installation, final parking lot paving, and final landscaping will be performed upon completion construction.

Disturbed areas will be landscaped, mulched or seeded in accordance with the landscape requirements. Permanent restoration and revegetation measures serve to control erosion and sedimentation by establishing a vegetative cover. In the event that weather conditions prevent final restoration, temporary erosion and sedimentation measures will be employed until the weather is suitable for final cleanup. A final inspection will ensure that the project site is cleared of all project debris and that erosion and sedimentation controls are functioning properly. Once the site has been stabilized, newly installed catch basins and the subsurface recharge/infiltration system will be inspected for sediment deposits and cleaned if necessary.

Section 1.12

Figures





Contraction of the second seco	NEPONSET RIVER	NORFOLK COUNTY SUFFOLK COUNTY
AND STREET STREET	REEPORT ST BLANCHE BLANCHE STREET UNUR UNUR UNUR UNUR UNUR UNUR UNUR UNU	NIERSTATE 93
STURTEVANT STREET	EAS SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD LOCITY HAZARD (WAVE ACTION); BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS DETERMINED	500 1,000 Scale in feet PROJECT NO. 059051 DATE: 12/19/19 FIGURE-3

Section 2.0

Long-Term Pollution Prevention and Operation and Maintenance Plan
LONG-TERM STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION AND OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PLAN TO COMPLY WITH STORMWATER STANDARDS 4, 6, & 9

APPLICABILITY

This document identifies constituents of concern that have the potential to contaminate stormwater from the proposed automobile dealership at the parcel located at 710-720 Morrissey Blvd, Boston, MA and provides a framework of Best Management Practices (BMPs) for handling stormwater runoff. It also outlines an inspection and maintenance program to ensure continued effectiveness of the proposed stormwater management system. The proposed BMP's are shown on the plans prepared by CHA, 141 Longwater Drive, Suite 104, Norwell, Massachusetts.

PROJECT OVERVIEW:

The proposed Herb Chambers Honda of Boston project, an automobile dealership project, is located at 710-720 Morrissey Blvd, Boston, MA. The project includes the demolition of an existing building and parking lot, construction of a new Honda dealership building, and associated surface and utility improvements to support the project.

Appended to this document is a sample maintenance form and a chart describing the anticipated frequency of tasks.

OWNER AND RESPONSIBLE PARTY:

Owner and Responsible Party:

The Herb Chambers Companies 259 McGrath Highway, 2nd Floor Somerville, MA 02143

Day-to-day Operation and Maintenance:

Maintenance Company hired by Owner.

CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT:

A construction manager with adequate knowledge and experience on projects of similar size and scope shall be employed to oversee all site work related to construction. The contractor shall incorporate the appropriate techniques to control sediment and erosion pollution during construction in accordance with the Massachusetts Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban and Suburban Areas and any conditions of approval from the local Approval Authority.

The design incorporates measures to control construction-related impacts including erosion, sedimentation and other pollutant sources during construction and land disturbance activities. The information contained herein and within the permit drawings identifies construction period pollution prevention measures, responsible parties, erosion control measures, BMPs for collecting and treating runoff and groundwater during construction¹, site stabilization measures (i.e. gravel, seed, pavement, etc.), operations and maintenance plan & long-term pollution prevention plan.

Care should be taken when constructing stormwater control structures. Light earth-moving equipment shall be used to excavate in the vicinity of the recharge area. Use of heavy-equipment causes excessive compaction of the soils beneath the underground recharge system resulting in reduced infiltration capacity. At no time shall temporary infiltration areas or settling basins be constructed in the vicinity of the proposed underground recharge system in order to prevent the soils from becoming clogged with sediment.

ON-GOING MAINTENANCE CONTRACT

The non-structural and structural approaches recommended below, as well as the required BMP maintenance, will be completed by an appropriate contractor. Adequate personnel with appropriate training and access to proper equipment will be available to complete the tasks. Future responsible parties must be notified of their responsibility to operate and maintain the system in perpetuity.

LIVING DOCUMENT PROVISIONS

Due to the difficulty of identifying all sources of potential stormwater contamination and maintenance activities, this document should be updated as necessary to reflect new procedures, technologies or requirements.

¹ Should the need for de-watering arise during construction at the site, groundwater will be pumped directly from the work area into geotextile filter bags, temporary settling basins, or portable fractionation tanks (depending on the nature and volume of water encountered) which will act as sediment traps during construction. Groundwater discharge points will be setback from the edge of the resource areas and monitored by qualified personnel (wetland scientist, licensed site professional, civil engineer, etc.) to ensure no impacts to resource areas and compliance with applicable Federal and state regulations. All discharges will be free from visible floating, suspended, and settleable solids that would impair the functions of the nearby drainage systems, wetlands, or downstream rivers. Refer to the details provided on the drawing set for additional information.

MAINTENANCE LOG

The Responsible Party shall develop and maintain a log of inspections, maintenance, repairs, and disposal (including location of disposal) during the life of the project. Records will be maintained for at least 3 years and be made available to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection or the City of Boston in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

MINIMIZING EXPOSURE

The Responsible Party will minimize exposure of potential pollutant sources, including debris, from coming into contact with precipitation and being picked up by stormwater and carried into drains and surface waters using the following steps:

- Storing all containerized materials in a protected, secure location away from drains and plainly labeled.
- Containing all activities that can generate sources of contaminants from reaching the receiving water or the stormwater management system.
- Securing any equipment or supplies so that they are not transported during storm events into receiving waters or stormwater management system.

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP) MAINTENANCE

The proposed stormwater management system has been designed with appropriate BMPs aimed at reducing the pollutants discharge based upon the development of the Site. All BMPs require regular maintenance to function as intended. Some management measures have simple maintenance requirements; others are more involved. The Responsible Party must have all BMPs regularly inspected to ensure they are operating properly on an as needed basis, including during runoff events exceeding 0.5 inches of rainfall.

A description of the non-structural and structural approaches to be incorporated is indicated below. The following best management practices are proposed to be incorporated into the stormwater management design to reduce source runoff and improve stormwater runoff discharge quality. The Responsible Party will regularly inspect all BMPs to ensure they are operating properly. If any deficiencies are identified during these inspections, action to resolve it will be initiated and documented on the maintenance log.

NON-STRUCTURAL BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs)

PUBLIC AWARENESS

Periodically, the property management shall issue a reminder to its employees to prevent dumping or releasing pollutants to the storm drains, the ground, the wetlands, and abutting streets.

PARKING LOT

As street sweeping is a BMP under DEP guidelines, this non-structural BMP is an effective removal of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) in a comprehensive stormwater management program. At the property owner's discretion, a maintenance program of parking lot sweeping with a High Efficiency Vacuum Sweeper or a Regenerative Air Sweeper to reduce sediment accumulation in the deep sump catch basins and subsurface systems. Sweeping should be conducted four times annually (April 1, June 1, September 1, and November1) of each year.

GRADING

The impervious areas of the site shall be graded as gently as possible, generally not more than 8% slopes to reduce runoff velocities. Steep slopes will be permanently vegetated to dissipate energy and reduce potential erosion. No constructed vegetated slopes should exceed 3H:1V without providing additional reinforcement. Steep slopes may require soil reinforcement and additional vegetation.

FLOW OVER VEGETATED AREAS

Wherever possible, runoff from paved areas and snowmelt shall be directed over vegetated areas to promote settlement of suspended solids before entering a wetland or resource area.

SNOW STORAGE AND DEICING

Designated snow storage areas will be provided around the parking areas and other pervious locations which will drain towards the formal drainage system. The snow storage areas are proposed away from pedestrian and vehicular access areas that would impinge upon safe circulation.

In the interest of reducing the volume of dissolved salt that enters the watershed, the operator of the development will rely on sand alone where traction on snowy surfaces is the primary objective. However, parking areas, driveways, and sidewalks which require deicing for safety during winter months will typically be treated with a mixture of 90% sand and 10% road salt (NaCl). The applicant is open to suggestions for alternative to the use of road salt, although a cursory review of the literature indicates that many of the more familiar alternative (CMA, KA) have their own potential environmental drawbacks.

FERTILIZER:

Slow release organic fertilizers will be used in landscape areas to limit nutrient transport to groundwater and the wetland area. Application will be limited to 3 lbs. per 1000 square feet of lawn area.

WASTE MANAGEMENT:

Solid waste will be contained within dumpsters in the loading area. Waste deposition in these receptacles will be consistent with state and local permits. The covers and doors of the dumpsters will be kept closed to limit rainwater/wildlife intrusion.

STRUCTURAL BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES:

Prior to final completion and full occupancy of the development, it is recommended that a representative of the Contractor, Manufacturer, and/or Engineer either designing or building the facility for the owner properly instruct the Responsible Party as to the maintenance practices required to responsibly maintain the effectiveness of the drainage system. These frequencies and requirements are recommendations to maintain minimum effectiveness in most typical environments. Ultimately, the Responsible Party will implement the procedures and frequencies as they see fit under their current plan and inspect the systems as needed to maintain minimum effectiveness as recommended by the manufacturer. The following maintenance of structural BMPs will be implemented:

DEEP SUMP CATCH BASINS AND MANHOLE STRUCTURES

Catch basins shall be cleaned, in dry weather, when half of the sump capacity is filled or at a minimum quarterly or as required through periodic inspection. Cleaning will take place at the completion of construction and in early spring after sanding of roadways has ceased, or as needed depending on the frequency of major storm events (greater than 1-inch of rainfall). All manholes shall be inspected at least once annually or as dictated by the Responsible Party. Any obstructions, sediment, and debris that could potentially cause clogs shall be removed within the conveyance system as necessary. Inverts, grates, and hoods shall be checked and replaced as necessary to maintain hydraulic effectiveness.

SUBSURFACE INFILTRATION SYSTEM

The subsurface system has been designed with riser structures at grade to aid the removal of sediment and debris accumulating in the structure. The subsurface drainage systems provide the required recharge volume. Once the system goes online, inspections should occur after each storm event for the first few months to ensure proper stabilization, function, and to ensure that the outlets remain free of obstructions. Preventative maintenance shall be performed at least twice per year and after every major storm event (> 1.5" of rainfall) and shall include removal of accumulated sediment, inspection of the infiltration structure, and monitoring of groundwater to ensure proper operation of the system. Important items to check for include differential settlement, cracking, breakout, clogging of outlets and vents, and root infestation. Water levels should be checked and recorded against rainfall amounts to verify that the drainage system is working properly and draining within 72 hours. If they do not drain within 72 hours, corrective action should be taken.

ISOLATOR CHAMBERS

The Isolator Chambers in all the underground infiltration systems shall be inspected twice per year and cleaned at least once per year and in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Periodic inspections performed by the responsible party may dictate cleaning on a more frequent basis depending on the suspended solids loading. During construction accumulated sediment may need to be removed more frequently. Conduct jetting and vactoring annually or when inspection shows that maintenance is necessary. See attached maintenance documentation from the manufacturer.

OUTLET CONTROL STRUCTURES

The outlet control structures (OCS) detain the water utilizing weirs to control the outlet flow and are below grade with access via covers to grade. Although the outlet control structures should not have much debris, they should be inspected to make sure there are not concrete issues or residual debris. Sand accumulation within the OCS is a sign there is an issue with the upstream stormwater treatment device. The OCS shall be inspected once per year. It may be necessary to clean the structure and the use of a vacuum truck may be necessary.

SPILL CONTROL:

Since the site is a car dealership, it is likely the most frequent spills with be those of petroleum products. Thus, it is recommended that a contingency plan to address the spillage/release of petroleum products and any hazardous material be implemented for the facility. The recommendation includes that the property manager have all DEP emergency spill response information posted on-site at all times. It is also recommended an emergency spill response kit including absorbent pillows be stored on-site along with instructions for the kit, a copy of applicable regulations regarding spills, and a list of individuals to contact (local and state officials) in the event of a spill.

Spills or leaks will be treated properly according to material type, volume of spillage and location of spill. Mitigation will include preventing further spillage, containing the spilled material in the smallest practical area, removing spilled material in a safe and environmentally friendly manner, and remediating any damage to the environment.

LONG-TERM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET:

Consistent with Standard 9 of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Stormwater Handbook (February 2008) the approximate cost of inspections and maintenance based on the abovementioned post-construction activities and frequencies is as follows:

- Pavement Sweeping \$3,000 per year based on annual sweepings.
- Deep Sump Catch Basins inspection/cleaning \$250 per year/per catch basin based on quarterly inspections and sediment removal of both single and double grate deep sump catch basins.
- Underground Infiltration/Detention Systems inspection \$1,000 per year based on semiannual inspections. Cleaning/debris removal - \$1,000 per year for accumulated sediment and trash removal.

Additional costs may be incurred if it is determined during routine inspections of the BMP's that further corrective actions are necessary.

LONG TERM STRUCTURAL BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE MATRIX AFTER CONSTRUCTION

Note: BMP's shall be visually inspected and repaired by a qualified party in accordance with the following chart. Note these are minimum inspection criteria/frequencies and should be adjusted throughout the project lifespan as required to maintain effectiveness. Refer to maintenance standards for drainage facilities and structural best management practices in the "Recommended Long-Term Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan."

Conventional & LID Best Management Practices	Recommended Minimum Inspection & Maintenance Frequency	ErosionScouring	Tree Growth Hazards	Settlemential Settlement/Sec.	Damage/Obstra	Trash & Debris	Accumulated Sea.	Slope Integrity	"Mow Vegetation/Poor Vegetation Court	Fabric & Stone A.	Remove & Replace	Vac Truck Sediment & Contaminant. &	RemoverReset Riprap as Required	/
Catch Basin	Annually		\leq	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark					Z		
Outlet Structure	Semi-Annual	\checkmark		\checkmark	\triangleleft	\checkmark	Z					K		
Isolation Row	Semi-Annual / Per Manufacturer			K	\bigtriangledown	V	Ŋ					\checkmark		
Detention/Infiltration	Semi-Annual			Z	\triangleleft	\mathbf{V}	Ŋ					\checkmark		

Stormwater BMP Inspection and Maintenance Log

Facility Name	
Address	
Begin Date	End Date

Date	BMP ID#	BMP Description	Inspected by:	Cause for Inspection	Exceptions Noted	Comments and Actions Taken

Instructions: Record all inspections and maintenance for all treatment BMPs on this form. Use additional log sheets and/or attach extended comments or documentation as necessary.

- BMP ID# Always use ID# from the Operation and Maintenance Manual or Approved Plans.
- Inspected by Note all inspections and maintenance on this form, including the required independent annual inspection.
- Cause for inspection Note if the inspection is routine, pre-rainy-season, post-storm, annual, or in response to a noted problem or complaint.
- Exceptions noted Note any condition that requires correction or indicates a need for maintenance.
- Comments and actions taken Describe any maintenance done and need for follow-up.





OWNERS MAINTENANCE MANUAL

retain-it, LLC 560 Salmon Brook Street Granby, CT 06035 (860) 413-3050

retain-it ®

Owners Maintenance Manual

Table of Contents

Description

Engineering Design Specifications

Daily Operation and Long Term Maintenance System Operation Periodic Inspection Visual Inspection Guide Internal Flow Evaluation Low, Medium and High Flow Pollution Storage Capacities Oil and Grease Sediments Trash and Debris Standard Maintenance Emergency Spill Conditions

Sample Maintenance Log

Description

retain-it [®] is a subsurface Storm Water Management system constructed of precast concrete structures. They are installed in a side by side configuration creating a continuous internal flow channel integrated throughout the system. Systems are constructed with designated inlet and outlet modules, some with multiple inlets and outlets depending on the site storm water system layout. Infiltration systems typically have an inlet and sidewalls/ base constructed on a stone infiltration blanket with geofabric installed at the native soil interface. Other systems incorporate outlet flow control devices. Detention systems are typically lined with a watertight membrane and have inlet and outlet control devices.

The retain-it \circledast system can consist of multiple varying layouts, with no two the same. Given this, it should be noted that the operation and maintenance requirements are very similar regardless of the intended layout. It is important that the end user know the specific elements of each system so as to understand how best to optimize it's operation.

Installation per Design: Operation is simple to follow where the installation was performed in accordance with the design specifications, drawings and calculations. Specifics shall be identified in the design drawings. As-built drawings will benefit the locating of specific design modules where the system has been buried below a parking lot area. Optional access manholes or removable grates may be installed above every inlet/outlet pipe and at critical design elements designated by the design.

Daily Operation and Long Term Maintenance: In general, daily usage of the system is self sufficient and will operate without requiring any outside assistance, except for periodic inspection to verify optimal performance and maintenance for removal of collected pollutants. A longer term maintenance program should incorporate a more thorough inspection of the all elements of the system to verify proper operating condition. This is more important with the infiltration type of systems where the soil infiltration surface may become restricted due to fine particle build up. Long term maintenance should include provisions for cleaning and removal of collected solids, oils and debris from the system.

System Operation: The system operational function is initiated according to rainfall runoff flows entering the structure. Internally, the runoff flows in a set pattern or sequence throughout the module layout in accordance with the hydraulic design conditions. The flows primarily operate on system head derived from the changes in

elevation from the internal water surface and the outlet invert elevation. Some designs incorporate internal flow controls to satisfy hydraulic conditions that enhance water quality treatment or other intended purposes. Modified systems may incorporate a pump, but in general there are no mechanical apparatus required.

End user operations primarily consist of inspection and maintenance of the system over time.

Periodic Inspection: Important note - All storm water management systems react differently depending on the conditions that are characteristic to the contributing water shed. Variables such as storm intensity, runoff flow rates, site geology, surface stabilization and pollution load will affect the system operation. As does the inspection and maintenance frequency to ensure optimum effectiveness.

Inspections should be done periodically, with a greater number scheduled during the system start up and less frequently as the operator becomes familiar with the system performance characteristics. It is recommended that the end user keep records of the performance using the inspection log record sheet found in the back of this manual. These records shall identify the cycle of maintenance "system calibration" required for the specific applications based on the contributing water shed variables operating under "normal" conditions.

Please note that immediate maintenance may be required during "non-normal" events such as during adverse weather conditions or emergency fuel spills. See information on emergency spills in this manual.

Visual inspection of all assessable components shall be performed throughout the lifetime of the system. Access has been supplied at critical points to monitor hydraulic performance and removed pollutants buildup.

Standard Maintenance:

After construction has been completed and all disturbed surfaces have been stabilized by means of vegetation, asphalt or concrete surfaces, and all drainage system components have been constructed and are free of construction debris and sediments; then the storm water management system can be considered in an operational status.

Periodic visual inspections will help to identify issues of concern. The usual indicators are signs of slow flows, backed up water, visible oil, trash and debris or an excessive amount of sediment in the storage area.

Normal operational flows can be observed to flow freely at the predicted design elevations, from the inlet to the outlet module, following a serpintine path thru the storage and attenuation modules. Note that some modules are designed to permanently

retain water where others may hold water and slowly release it over a typical 24 hour period. During a storm water event, the flows and water surface elevations will fluctuate from a low flow to a high flow/ storage status. The storage modules should fill during the event and drain down within a 24 hour period after the event has stopped. All pipes, orifices, weirs and standpipes should pass flows freely and at optimum capacity.

Standard maintenance is performed using a vacuum truck to suction the accumulated sediments, oils and greases and trash and debris from the system. Whereas an on-site maintenance staff can remove these items by hand, it is preferred that the vacuum truck be used as dictated by specific system conditions. When a specialized module designed to have a permanent water level is used, the vacuum truck should pump the liquid level down to inspect the below water elevation structures and sump storage areas.

Oils and greases can be handled by on-site staff by utilizing absorbent products that soak up the oils (and not) converting the oils from a liquid into a manageable solid form. These oil soaked absorbent materials should be disposed of in an approved manner.

Sediments, trash and debris shall be removed and disposed of in an approved manner.

Any indications of hazardous material, determined by visual inspection, testing, smell or abnormality, should be reported and handled per appropriate regulations.

Flow Conditions

System operators should familiarize themselves with proper hydraulic flow condition indicators, acceptable depths of sedimentation, debris and trash build up, and concentrations of oils and greases.

Hydraulic flow conditions are those that are established by the design as either a flow/storage or as a water quality treatment function. Both have performance characteristics that can be visually identified so as to determine the effective and efficient operation of the system.

The engineering design drawings should note the various expected water surface level elevations that are achieved during different design storms within the various modules. Since it is difficult for a visual inspection to coincide with the exact time given water elevations are predicted, the following guidelines are given for evaluation.

Visual Inspection Guide:

Internal Flow Evaluation

Low flow: water should flow freely from the inlet to the outlet, travelling the intended attenuation path thru the system with the water surface elevation below the structure

beam height (12" deep), the system should drain completely 24 hours after a storm event,

Medium flow: the system should hold and maintain a water level during the 24 hour storm event and yet continually fill as the storm increases or drain downward as the event recedes. Flow within the system should occur freely from inlet to outlet only being restricted when a flow control structure has been integrally designed in place. Flow control devices may result in a water level backing up either temporarily or permanently; noting devices such as water quality modules may require a permanent water level to operate properly (see water quality treatment). Other system applications should drain completely 24 hours after a storm event.

High flow: the system should fill to the maximum design storm water level elevation (hydraulic grade line) per design. In most cases, that is the highest storage elevation available in the system, at the underside of the module top slab, or the invert of the overflow pipe. As the storm event recedes, the water level should begin to drain down via flow thru the system and discharge. The system should drain completely within 24 hours after a storm event.

Pollutant Storage Capacities

Oil and Grease

Oil and Grease Collection (with optional Oil water separator module specified) - Oil and grease accumulation is generally a function related to vehicle parking lot and drive areas, oil generating land uses or emergency spill conditions. It is important to maintain the system from accumulating excessive volumes of oils in that they may wash over into other sections of the system potentially clogging and reducing the infiltration capacity, blocking control devices and contaminating the overall system. The following standards apply.

Oil should not accumulate more than a visible sheen on the water surface in the oil water separation module only. A sheen is described as a fine, thin oil layer on the water surface identified by the glossy rainbow colors. A dipstick (dry wooden stick) can be used as a probe to determine the thickness of oil on the surface.

Accumulated oils could be associated with insufficient maintenance or a potential large volume oil resource. Any accumulation of oil should be promptly maintained by an experienced waste handler. Emergency spills such as those generated by an accidental spill shall be contained and removed immediately before the next storm event. Spills shall be handled in accordance with local environmental regulations. See spill and accumulated oil maintenance procedures.

Sediments

Sediments (with optional primary grit module or sedimentation modules specified) -Sediments shall be periodically removed from the system as they accumulate within the designated storage modules. The inlet modules are generally equipped with a sediment storage sump located in the base of the inlet structure. Inspection should be performed after major storm events or a minimum of annually, unless a different inspection cycle has been determined to be sufficient. Inspection shall consist of using a probe to determine the presence of and depth of the accumulated solids. Access is via the 24" manhole.

Note that excessive volumes of sediments will reduce the performance and efficiency of the system. Regional accumulations of solids such as those associated with ice and snow, may result in large springtime volumes of sand and gravels used for traction and ice control.

Trash and Debris

Trash and Debris (with optional trash and debris module specified) - Trash and debris accumulates in the inlet module in three forms; floating debris, neutrally buoyant, and heavy material. The floating debris is visible from the access manhole floating on the water surface in the form of but not limited to wood, paper, plastic, foam, bottles and cans. The neutrally buoyant material resides below the surface and combines with the natural flow regime of the system. It is hard to detect and can only be recognized when at a high concentration appears as a thickening of the water viscosity. Heavier material will simply settle to the sump base and combine with the sediments.

Note that trash and debris typically cause the most problems when they become lodged in a flow control device such as an outlet elbow, riser pipe, and orifice or weir structure. This can be detected visibly when the system is pumped down during maintenance. It can also be evaluated as a condition when flow is impeded and the water level backs up higher than the design elevations.

Emergency Spill Conditions (with optional emergency spill control module specified):

Emergency spill conditions are defined as an excessive accumulation of hydrocarbons such as oil, gasoline, diesel fuel, transmission oil or antifreeze usually resulting from an accidental discharge. Excessive accumulation is described as any amount larger than a thin "sheen" visible on the water surface. Care should be given in handling these types of fluids. The incident should be reported to the appropriate authorities and should be mitigated by a hazardous waste consultant approved for such matters.

retain-it ®

Maintenance Log			
Storm Water Mana	agement System		
Location:		ID #:	
Date	Inspection Notes		Inspector

Note the following conditions:

Inlet Module

Outlet Module

Water Quality Module

Oil Elbow

Oil Accumulation

Sedimentation Accumulation

Trash and Debris Quantity

Flow Conditions

Flow Control Outlet Structure

Overflow Pipe

Section 3.0

Hydrology & Hydraulic Modeling



Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
 (acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.199	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (2S)
2.157	98	Paved parking, HSG B (1S, 2S)
0.412	98	Roofs, HSG B (1S)
2.768	95	TOTAL AREA

59051_Existing_01-2020		Type III 24-hr	2-Year Rainfall=3.26"
Prepared by CHA			Printed 1/24/2020
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 09222 © 2017 HydroCA	D Software Solutions LLC		Page 3
Time span=0.00-8 Runoff by SCS TR-2 Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trar	0.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 8 20 method, UH=SCS, W ns method - Pond rout	001 points /eighted-CN ing by Stor-Ind	method
Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to Ex. WQ	Runoff Area=101,160 sf Tc=6	100.00% Imper 6.0 min CN=98	vious Runoff Depth=3.03" Runoff=7.35 cfs 0.586 af
Subcatchment 2S: Perimeter of Project Site	Runoff Area=19,425 sf Tc=6	55.29% Imper 6.0 min CN=81	vious Runoff Depth=1.52" Runoff=0.79 cfs 0.056 af
Reach DP1: Ex. Connection to Freeport St			Inflow=7.35 cfs 0.586 af Outflow=7.35 cfs 0.586 af
Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways			Inflow=0.79 cfs 0.056 af Outflow=0.79 cfs 0.056 af
Total Runoff Area = 2.768 a	nc Runoff Volume = 0. 7.20% Pervious = 0.1	642 af Averag 99 ac 92.80%	ge Runoff Depth = 2.78" % Impervious = 2.569 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to Ex. WQ Structure

Runoff = 7.35 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.586 af, Depth= 3.03"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.26"

Area (sf)	CN	Description		
83,200	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
17,960	98	Roofs, HSC	βB	
101,160	98	Weighted A	verage	
101,160		100.00% Im	pervious A	Area
_			•	
Tc Lengt	h Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min) (fee	t) (ft/i	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Perimeter of Project Site

Runoff = 0.79 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.056 af, Depth= 1.52"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.26"

Are	ea (sf)	CN	Description		
1	0,740	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
	8,685	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
1	9,425	81	Weighted A	verage	
	8,685		44.71% Per	vious Area	1
1	0,740		55.29% lmp	pervious Are	rea
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Reach DP1: Ex. Connection to Freeport St

Inflow Area	a =	2.322 ac,10	0.00% Imperviou	us, Inflow Dept	th = 3.03	8" for 2-Y	'ear event
Inflow	=	7.35 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volu	me= 0.	.586 af		
Outflow	=	7.35 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volu	me= 0.	.586 af, A	tten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways

Inflow Area	a =	0.446 ac, 5	5.29% Imp	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	1.5	52" for 2-Υ	'ear event	
Inflow	=	0.79 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.056	af			
Outflow	=	0.79 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.056	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 mir	۱

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

59051_Existing_01-2020	Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by CHA	Printed 1/24/2020
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 09222 © 2017 HydroCA	D Software Solutions LLC Page 6
Time span=0.00-8 Runoff by SCS TR-2 Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trar	0.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 8001 points 20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN ns method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to Ex. WQ	Runoff Area=101,160 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.66" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=11.13 cfs 0.902 af
Subcatchment 2S: Perimeter of Project Site	Runoff Area=19,425 sf 55.29% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.90" Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=1.51 cfs 0.108 af
Reach DP1: Ex. Connection to Freeport St	Inflow=11.13 cfs 0.902 af Outflow=11.13 cfs 0.902 af
Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways	Inflow=1.51 cfs 0.108 af Outflow=1.51 cfs 0.108 af
Total Runoff Area = 2.768 a	c Runoff Volume = 1.010 af Average Runoff Depth = 4.38" 7.20% Pervious = 0.199 ac 92.80% Impervious = 2.569 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to Ex. WQ Structure

Runoff = 11.13 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.902 af, Depth= 4.66"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.90"

Area (sf)	CN	Description		
83,200	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
17,960	98	Roofs, HSC	βB	
101,160	98	Weighted A	verage	
101,160		100.00% Im	pervious A	Area
Tc Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min) (feet)	(ft/1	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Perimeter of Project Site

Runoff = 1.51 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.108 af, Depth= 2.90"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.90"

Area (st	f) CN	Description		
10,74	0 98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
8,68	5 61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
19,42	5 81	Weighted A	verage	
8,68	5	44.71% Per	vious Area	3
10,74	0	55.29% Imp	pervious Are	rea
Tc Leng	th Slo	pe Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min)</u> (fee	et) (fi	t/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Reach DP1: Ex. Connection to Freeport St

Inflow Area	a =	2.322 ac,10	0.00% Imp	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	4.6	66" for 10	-Year event
Inflow	=	11.13 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	=	0.902	af		
Outflow	=	11.13 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	=	0.902	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways

Inflow Area	a =	0.446 ac, 5	55.29% Impe	ervious,	Inflow D	epth =	2.90)" for 10-	Year event	
Inflow	=	1.51 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	=	0.108 a	f			
Outflow	=	1.51 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	=	0.108 a	f, A	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 m	in

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

59051_Existing_01-2020	Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19"
Prepared by CHA	Printed 1/24/2020
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 09222 © 2017 HydroCA	D Software Solutions LLC Page 9
Time span=0.00-8 Runoff by SCS TR-2 Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Tra	30.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 8001 points 20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN ns method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to Ex. WQ	Runoff Area=101,160 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.95" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=14.09 cfs 1.152 af
Subcatchment 2S: Perimeter of Project Site	Runoff Area=19,425 sf 55.29% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.06" Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=2.11 cfs 0.151 af
Reach DP1: Ex. Connection to Freeport St	Inflow=14.09 cfs 1.152 af
	Outflow=14.09 cfs 1.152 af
Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways	Inflow=2.11 cfs 0.151 af
	Outflow=2.11 cfs 0.151 af
Total Runoff Area = 2.768 a	ac Runoff Volume = 1.303 af Average Runoff Depth = 5.65" 7.20% Pervious = 0.199 ac 92.80% Impervious = 2.569 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to Ex. WQ Structure

Runoff = 14.09 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 1.152 af, Depth= 5.95"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19"

Area (sf)	CN	Description		
83,200	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
17,960	98	Roofs, HSC	βB	
101,160	98	Weighted A	verage	
101,160		100.00% Im	pervious A	Area
— 1 4	~		•	
Ic Length	Slop	be Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min) (feet)	(ft/1	ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Perimeter of Project Site

Runoff = 2.11 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.151 af, Depth= 4.06"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19"

Area (sf)) CN	Description		
10,740) 98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	В
8,685	5 61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
19,425	5 81	Weighted A	verage	
8,685	5	44.71% Per	vious Area	a
10,740)	55.29% lmp	pervious Are	rea
Tc Lengt	th Slo	pe Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min) (fee	t) (ft	/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Reach DP1: Ex. Connection to Freeport St

Inflow Area	a =	2.322 ac,10	0.00% Imp	ervious,	Inflow I	Depth =	5.9	5" for 25-	Year event
Inflow	=	14.09 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	=	1.152 a	af		
Outflow	=	14.09 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	=	1.152 a	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways

Inflow Area	a =	0.446 ac, 5	5.29% Imp	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	4.0)6" for 25	-Year event	
Inflow	=	2.11 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.151	af			
Outflow	=	2.11 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.151	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 m	nin

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs
59051_Existing_01-2020	Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.83"
Prepared by CHA	Printed 1/24/2020
HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 09222 © 2017 HydroCAI	D Software Solutions LLC Page 12
Time span=0.00-8 Runoff by SCS TR-2 Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trar	0.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 8001 points 0 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN ns method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to Ex. WQ	Runoff Area=101,160 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=8.59" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=20.14 cfs 1.662 af
Subcatchment 2S: Perimeter of Project Site	Runoff Area=19,425 sf 55.29% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.53" Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=3.33 cfs 0.243 af
Reach DP1: Ex. Connection to Freeport St	Inflow=20.14 cfs 1.662 af
	Outflow=20.14 cfs 1.662 af
Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways	Inflow=3.33 cfs 0.243 af
	Outflow=3.33 cfs 0.243 af
Total Runoff Area = 2.768 a	c Runoff Volume = 1.905 af Average Runoff Depth = 8.26" 7.20% Pervious = 0.199 ac 92.80% Impervious = 2.569 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to Ex. WQ Structure

Runoff = 20.14 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 1.662 af, Depth= 8.59"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.83"

Area (sf) CN	N D	escription		
83,2	00 98	8 P	aved parki	ing, HSG B	3
17,9	60 98	8 R	oofs, HSC	Β	
101,1	60 98	8 W	/eighted A	verage	
101,1	60	100.00% Impervious Are			Area
Tc Ler	ngth S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min) (f	eet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Perimeter of Project Site

Runoff = 3.33 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.243 af, Depth= 6.53"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.83"

Area	a (sf)	CN	Description		
10	,740	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
8	,685	61	>75% Ġras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
19	,425	81	Weighted A	verage	
8	,685		44.71% Pei	vious Area	3
10	,740	55.29% Impervious Area			
Tc Le	ength	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,
					-

Summary for Reach DP1: Ex. Connection to Freeport St

Inflow Area	a =	2.322 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	8.5	9" for 100)-Year event
Inflow	=	20.14 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	=	1.662 a	af		
Outflow	=	20.14 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	=	1.662 a	af, /	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways

Inflow Area	a =	0.446 ac, 5	5.29% Imp	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	6.5	53" for 10	0-Year event	
Inflow	=	3.33 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	=	0.243	af			
Outflow	=	3.33 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	=	0.243	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 mi	n

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.445	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (4S)
1.661	98	Paved parking, HSG B (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S)
0.662	98	Roofs, HSG B (1S, 3S)
2.768	92	TOTAL AREA

59051_Post_05-11-2020 Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solution	Type III 24-hr2-Year Rainfall=3.26"Printed 5/18/2020ons LLCPage 3
Time span=0.00-80.00 hrs, dt=0.01 h	rs, 8001 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SC	S, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond	routing by Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to UG-1 Runoff Area=36,425 s	f 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.03"
Tc	=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=2.65 cfs 0.211 af
Subcatchment 2S: Tributary to UG-2 Runoff Area=21,205 s	f 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.03"
Tc	=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.54 cfs 0.123 af
Subcatchment 3S: Tributary to UG-3 Runoff Area=40,820 s	f 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.03"
Tc	=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=2.97 cfs 0.236 af
Subcatchment 4S: Perimeter of Project SiteRunoff Area=22,140	sf 12.42% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.67"
Tc	=6.0 min CN=66 Runoff=0.33 cfs 0.029 af
Reach 1R: Pipe Avg. Flow Depth=0.29' 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.013 L=46.0' S=0.0100 '/' Category	Max Vel=3.63 fps Inflow=1.01 cfs 0.065 af apacity=22.62 cfs Outflow=1.01 cfs 0.065 af
Reach 2R: Pipe Avg. Flow Depth=0.37' 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.013 L=52.0' S=0.0100 '/' Category	Max Vel=4.23 fps Inflow=1.69 cfs 0.110 af apacity=22.62 cfs Outflow=1.69 cfs 0.110 af
Reach DP1: Connection to Freeport St	Inflow=1.69 cfs 0.110 af Outflow=1.69 cfs 0.110 af
Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways	Inflow=0.33 cfs 0.029 af Outflow=0.33 cfs 0.029 af
Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5') Peak Elev=11.78'	Storage=4,167 cf Inflow=2.65 cfs 0.211 af
Discarded=0.06 cfs 0.166 af Primary=0	0.71 cfs 0.045 af Outflow=0.77 cfs 0.211 af
Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H) Peak Elev=11.71'	Storage=2,482 cf Inflow=1.54 cfs 0.123 af
Discarded=0.04 cfs 0.101 af Primary=0	0.34 cfs 0.021 af Outflow=0.38 cfs 0.123 af
Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H) Peak Elev=11.18'	Storage=4,581 cf Inflow=2.97 cfs 0.236 af
Discarded=0.07 cfs 0.193 af Primary=0	0.67 cfs 0.044 af Outflow=0.75 cfs 0.236 af

Total Runoff Area = 2.768 acRunoff Volume = 0.599 afAverage Runoff Depth = 2.60"16.08% Pervious = 0.445 ac83.92% Impervious = 2.323 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to UG-1

Runoff = 2.65 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.211 af, Depth= 3.03"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.26"

Ar	ea (sf)	CN	Description		
	22,070	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
	14,355	98	Roofs, HSC	Β́Β	
	36,425	98	Weighted A	verage	
	36,425		100.00% Im	npervious A	Area
Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Tributary to UG-2

Runoff = 1.54 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.123 af, Depth= 3.03"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.26"

Area (sf)	CN	Description		
21,205	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
21,205	100.00% Impervious Area			
Tc Length (min) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: Tributary to UG-3

Runoff = 2.97 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.236 af, Depth= 3.03"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.26"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	26,320	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
	14,500	98	Roofs, HSC	Β́Β	
	40,820	98	Weighted A	verage	
	40,820		100.00% In	npervious A	Area
Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: Perimeter of Project Site

Runoff = 0.33 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.029 af, Depth= 0.67"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.26"

Ar	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	2,750	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	В
	19,390	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
	22,140	66	Weighted A	verage	
	19,390		87.58% Per	vious Area	а
	2,750		12.42% Imp	pervious Are	rea
_					
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,
					-

Summary for Reach 1R: Pipe

Inflow A	\rea =	1.424 ac,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.55	5" for 2-Year event
Inflow	=	1.01 cfs @ 12.45 hrs, Volume	e= 0.065 af	
Outflow		1.01 cfs @ 12.46 hrs, Volume	e= 0.065 af, A	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.4 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 3.63 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.2 min Avg. Velocity = 1.80 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.4 min

Peak Storage= 13 cf @ 12.46 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.29' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 22.62 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.013 Length= 46.0' Slope= 0.0100 '/' Inlet Invert= 9.12', Outlet Invert= 8.66'



Summary for Reach 2R: Pipe

Inflow Are	ea =	2.260 ac,10	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = ().59" for 2-Yea	ir event
Inflow	=	1.69 cfs @	12.45 hrs, Volume	e 0.110 a	f	
Outflow	=	1.69 cfs @	12.45 hrs, Volume	e= 0.110 a	f, Atten= 0%, La	ag= 0.4 min

59051_Post_05-11-2020 *Type* Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 4.23 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.2 min Avg. Velocity = 1.98 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.4 min

Peak Storage= 21 cf @ 12.45 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.37' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 22.62 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.013 Length= 52.0' Slope= 0.0100 '/' Inlet Invert= 8.66', Outlet Invert= 8.14'



Summary for Reach DP1: Connection to Freeport St

Inflow Area	a =	2.260 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow De	epth =	0.5	9" for 2-Y	ear event	
Inflow	=	1.69 cfs @	12.45 hrs,	Volume	=	0.110 a	af			
Outflow	=	1.69 cfs @	12.45 hrs,	Volume	=	0.110 a	af, A	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 m	nin

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways

Inflow A	Area =	0.508 ac,	12.42% Imperviou	s, Inflow Depth = ().67" for 2-Year event
Inflow	=	0.33 cfs @) 12.11 hrs, Volur	ne= 0.029 a	f
Outflow	v =	0.33 cfs @) 12.11 hrs, Volur	ne= 0.029 a	f, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5')

0.836 ac,100.00% Impervious, Inflow	v Depth = 3.03" for 2-Year event
2.65 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.211 af
0.77 cfs @_ 12.41 hrs, Volume=	0.211 af, Atten= 71%, Lag= 19.6 min
0.06 cfs @ 7.74 hrs, Volume=	0.166 af
0.71 cfs 🥘 12.41 hrs, Volume=	0.045 af
	0.836 ac,100.00% Impervious, Inflov 2.65 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.77 cfs @ 12.41 hrs, Volume= 0.06 cfs @ 7.74 hrs, Volume= 0.71 cfs @ 12.41 hrs, Volume=

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 11.78' @ 12.41 hrs Surf.Area= 2,340 sf Storage= 4,167 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 507.1 min calculated for 0.211 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 507.2 min (1,263.2 - 756.0)

59051_Post_05-11-2020 Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc.

Type III 24-hr 2-Year Rainfall=3.26" Printed 5/18/2020 LLC Page 7

Voids

HydroCAD®	010.00-25 s	/n 05747 © 2019	HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC
Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.26'	568 cf	90.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.67'H Field A
			8,580 cf Overall - 6,688 cf Embedded = 1,892 cf x 30.0%
#2A	9.76'	4,542 cf	retain it retain it 2.5' x 33 Inside #1

Inside= 84.0"W x 30.0"H => 17.56 sf x 8.00'L = 140.4 cf Outside= 96.0"W x 38.0"H => 25.33 sf x 8.00'L = 202.7 cf 11 Rows adjusted for 92.4 cf perimeter wall

5,110 cf Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	11.66'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#2	Discarded	9.26'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.06 cfs @ 7.74 hrs HW=9.27' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.06 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.71 cfs @ 12.41 hrs HW=11.78' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.71 cfs @ 1.15 fps)

Summary for Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H)

Inflow Area	ı =	0.487 ac,10	0.00% Imp	ervious, Inflo	w Depth =	3.03"	for 2-Ye	ar event	
Inflow	=	1.54 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	0.123	af			
Outflow	=	0.38 cfs @	12.46 hrs,	Volume=	0.123	af, Atte	en= 76%,	Lag= 22.5 r	min
Discarded	=	0.04 cfs @	8.12 hrs,	Volume=	0.101	af			
Primary	=	0.34 cfs @	12.46 hrs,	Volume=	0.021	af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 11.71' @ 12.46 hrs Surf.Area= 1,508 sf Storage= 2,482 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 498.5 min calculated for 0.123 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 498.6 min (1,254.6 - 756.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.33'	382 cf	58.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.67'H Field A
			5,529 cf Overall - 4,256 cf Embedded = 1,273 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.83'	2,883 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.5' x 21 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 30.0"H => 17.56 sf x 8.00'L = 140.4 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 38.0"H => 25.33 sf x 8.00'L = 202.7 cf
			7 Rows adjusted for 66.0 cf perimeter wall
		2 265 of	Total Available Storage

3,265 cf Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	11.63'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir	2 End Contraction(s)
#2	Discarded	9.33'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area	

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.04 cfs @ 8.12 hrs HW=9.34' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.04 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.34 cfs @ 12.46 hrs HW=11.71' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.34 cfs @ 0.90 fps)

Summary for Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H)

Inflow Area	a =	0.937 ac,10	0.00% Imp	ervious, Infl	ow Depth =	3.03"	for 2-Ye	ar event	
Inflow	=	2.97 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	0.236	af			
Outflow	=	0.75 cfs @	12.45 hrs,	Volume=	0.236	af, Atte	n= 75%,	Lag= 22.0 mi	n
Discarded	=	0.07 cfs @	8.31 hrs,	Volume=	0.193	af		-	
Primary	=	0.67 cfs @	12.45 hrs,	Volume=	0.044	af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 11.18' @ 12.45 hrs Surf.Area= 3,172 sf Storage= 4,581 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 419.1 min calculated for 0.236 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 419.1 min (1,175.2 - 756.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.06'	709 cf	122.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.17'H Field A
			10,045 cf Overall - 7,680 cf Embedded = 2,365 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.56'	4,895 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.0' x 45 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 24.0"H => 13.78 sf x 8.00'L = 110.3 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 32.0"H => 21.33 sf x 8.00'L = 170.7 cf
			15 Rows adjusted for 68.0 cf perimeter wall
		5,604 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	9.57'	18.0" Round Culvert
	-		L= 45.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 9.57' / 9.12' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.77 sf
#2	Device 1	11.06'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#3	Discarded	9.06'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.07 cfs @ 8.31 hrs HW=9.07' (Free Discharge) **3=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.07 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.67 cfs @ 12.45 hrs HW=11.18' (Free Discharge) 1=Culvert (Passes 0.67 cfs of 6.23 cfs potential flow) 2=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.67 cfs @ 1.13 fps)

59051_Post_05-11-2020 Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solution	Type III 24-hr 10-	Year Rainfall=4.90" Printed 5/18/2020 Page 9
Time span=0.00-80.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hr Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond	s, 8001 points 5, Weighted-CN routing by Stor-Ind m	ethod
Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to UG-1 Runoff Area=36,425 sf	100.00% Impervious	Runoff Depth=4.66"
Tc=	€.0 min CN=98 Rur	noff=4.01 cfs 0.325 af
Subcatchment 2S: Tributary to UG-2 Runoff Area=21,205 st	100.00% Impervious	Runoff Depth=4.66"
Tc=	€.0 min CN=98 Rur	noff=2.33 cfs 0.189 af
Subcatchment 3S: Tributary to UG-3 Runoff Area=40,820 st	100.00% Impervious	Runoff Depth=4.66"
Tc=	6.0 min CN=98 Rur	noff=4.49 cfs 0.364 af
Subcatchment 4S: Perimeter of Project SiteRunoff Area=22,140 s	af 12.42% Impervious	Runoff Depth=1.66"
Tc=	⊧6.0 min CN=66 Rur	noff=0.95 cfs 0.070 af
Reach 1R: Pipe Avg. Flow Depth=0.67' 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.013 L=46.0' S=0.0100 '/' Car	Max Vel=5.92 fps Inf bacity=22.62 cfs Outf	low=5.43 cfs 0.227 af low=5.42 cfs 0.227 af
Reach 2R: Pipe Avg. Flow Depth=0.87' 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.013 L=52.0' S=0.0100 '/' Car	Max Vel=6.75 fps Inf bacity=22.62 cfs Outf	low=8.82 cfs 0.370 af low=8.80 cfs 0.370 af
Reach DP1: Connection to Freeport St	Inf Outf	low=8.80 cfs 0.370 af low=8.80 cfs 0.370 af
Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways	Inf Outf	low=0.95 cfs 0.070 af low=0.95 cfs 0.070 af
Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5') Peak Elev=12.02'	Storage=4,605 cf Inf	low=4.01 cfs 0.325 af
Discarded=0.06 cfs 0.182 af Primary=3	43 cfs 0.143 af Outf	low=3.49 cfs 0.325 af
Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H) Peak Elev=11.88'	Storage=2,691 cf Inf	low=2.33 cfs 0.189 af
Discarded=0.04 cfs 0.112 af Primary=2	.02 cfs 0.077 af Outf	low=2.05 cfs 0.189 af
Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H) Peak Elev=11.42'	Storage=5,185 cf Inf	low=4.49 cfs 0.364 af
Discarded=0.07 cfs 0.214 af Primary=3	.45 cfs 0.150 af Outf	low=3.52 cfs 0.364 af

Total Runoff Area = 2.768 acRunoff Volume = 0.949 afAverage Runoff Depth = 4.11"16.08% Pervious = 0.445 ac83.92% Impervious = 2.323 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to UG-1

4.01 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= Runoff 0.325 af, Depth= 4.66" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.90"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	22,070	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
	14,355	98	Roofs, HSC	βB	
	36,425	98	Weighted A	verage	
	36,425		100.00% Im	npervious A	Area
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Tributary to UG-2

2.33 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.189 af, Depth= 4.66" Runoff =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.90"

Area (sf)	CN	Description		
21,205	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
21,205		100.00% Im	npervious A	Area
Tc Length (min) (feet)	Slop (ft/t	ve Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: Tributary to UG-3

Runoff 4.49 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.364 af, Depth= 4.66" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.90"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	26,320	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
	14,500	98	Roofs, HSC	Β́Β	
	40,820	98	Weighted A	verage	
	40,820		100.00% In	npervious A	Area
Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: Perimeter of Project Site

Runoff = 0.95 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.070 af, Depth= 1.66"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.90"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	2,750	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	В
	19,390	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
	22,140	66	Weighted A	verage	
	19,390		87.58% Per	vious Area	а
	2,750		12.42% Imp	pervious Are	rea
Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,
					-

Summary for Reach 1R: Pipe

Inflow Are	ea =	1.424 ac,10	0.00% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Der	pth = 1.9	91" for 10-	Year event
Inflow	=	5.43 cfs @	12.14 hrs,	Volume	= 7	0.227 af		
Outflow	=	5.42 cfs @	12.14 hrs,	Volume	=	0.227 af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.2 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 5.92 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.1 min Avg. Velocity = 2.04 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.4 min

Peak Storage= 42 cf @ 12.14 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.67' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 22.62 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.013 Length= 46.0' Slope= 0.0100 '/' Inlet Invert= 9.12', Outlet Invert= 8.66'



Summary for Reach 2R: Pipe

Inflow Are	ea =	2.260 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Dep	oth = 1.9	96" for 10-	Year event
Inflow	=	8.82 cfs @	12.14 hrs,	Volume	= Č).370 af		
Outflow	=	8.80 cfs @	12.14 hrs,	Volume	= ().370 af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.2 min

59051_Post_05-11-2020 *Type* Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 6.75 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.1 min Avg. Velocity = 2.17 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.4 min

Peak Storage= 68 cf @ 12.14 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.87' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 22.62 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.013 Length= 52.0' Slope= 0.0100 '/' Inlet Invert= 8.66', Outlet Invert= 8.14'



Summary for Reach DP1: Connection to Freeport St

Inflow Area	a =	2.260 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow De	epth =	1.96	5" for 10-	Year event
Inflow	=	8.80 cfs @	12.14 hrs,	Volume	=	0.370 a	af		
Outflow	=	8.80 cfs @	12.14 hrs,	Volume	=	0.370 a	af, /	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways

Inflow A	Area =	0.508 ac,	12.42% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	1.6	6" for 10-	Year event
Inflow	=	0.95 cfs @) 12.10 hrs,	Volume	= 0.070	af		
Outflow	/ =	0.95 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume	= 0.070	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5')

Inflow Area	a =	0.836 ac,10	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	4.66" fo	r 10-Year event
Inflow	=	4.01 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volume	= 0.325	af	
Outflow	=	3.49 cfs @	12.13 hrs, Volume	= 0.325	af, Atten=	13%, Lag= 2.7 min
Discarded	=	0.06 cfs @	6.07 hrs, Volume	= 0.182	af	•
Primary	=	3.43 cfs @	12.13 hrs, Volume	= 0.143	af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 12.02' @ 12.13 hrs Surf.Area= 2,340 sf Storage= 4,605 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 373.1 min calculated for 0.325 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 373.1 min (1,121.4 - 748.4)

59051 Post 05-11-2020 Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc.

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.90" Printed 5/18/2020 HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 13

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.26'	568 cf	90.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.67'H Field A
			8,580 cf Overall - 6,688 cf Embedded = 1,892 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.76'	4,542 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.5' x 33 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 30.0"H => 17.56 sf x 8.00'L = 140.4 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 38.0"H => 25.33 sf x 8.00'L = 202.7 cf
			11 Rows adjusted for 92.4 cf perimeter wall
		5,110 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	11.66'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir	2 End Contraction(s)
#2	Discarded	9.26'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area	

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.06 cfs @ 6.07 hrs HW=9.27' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.06 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=3.43 cfs @ 12.13 hrs HW=12.02' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 3.43 cfs @ 1.95 fps)

Summary for Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H)

Inflow Area	=	0.487 ac,10	0.00% Impervious	, Inflow Depth =	4.66" for	10-Year event
Inflow	=	2.33 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volum	e= 0.189	af	
Outflow	=	2.05 cfs @	12.13 hrs, Volum	e= 0.189	af, Atten=	12%, Lag= 2.6 min
Discarded	=	0.04 cfs @	6.39 hrs, Volum	e= 0.112	af	
Primary	=	2.02 cfs @	12.13 hrs, Volum	e= 0.077	af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 11.88' @ 12.13 hrs Surf.Area= 1,508 sf Storage= 2,691 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 369.1 min calculated for 0.189 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 369.2 min (1,117.5 - 748.4)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.33'	382 cf	58.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.67'H Field A
			5,529 cf Overall - 4,256 cf Embedded = 1,273 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.83'	2,883 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.5' x 21 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 30.0"H => 17.56 sf x 8.00'L = 140.4 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 38.0"H => 25.33 sf x 8.00'L = 202.7 cf
			7 Rows adjusted for 66.0 cf perimeter wall
		2 265 of	Total Available Storage

3,265 cf I otal Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	11.63'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)	
#2	Discarded	9.33'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area	

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.04 cfs @ 6.39 hrs HW=9.34' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.04 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=2.01 cfs @ 12.13 hrs HW=11.88' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 2.01 cfs @ 1.63 fps)

Summary for Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H)

Inflow Area	a =	0.937 ac,10	0.00% Impervious	s, Inflow Depth =	4.66" for	10-Year event
Inflow	=	4.49 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volun	ne= 0.364	af	
Outflow	=	3.52 cfs @	12.15 hrs, Volun	1e= 0.364	af, Atten=	22%, Lag= 3.7 min
Discarded	=	0.07 cfs @	6.65 hrs, Volun	ne= 0.214	af	·
Primary	=	3.45 cfs @	12.15 hrs, Volun	ne= 0.150	af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 11.42' @ 12.15 hrs Surf.Area= 3,172 sf Storage= 5,185 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 315.2 min calculated for 0.364 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 315.3 min (1,063.7 - 748.4)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.06'	709 cf	122.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.17'H Field A
			10,045 cf Overall - 7,680 cf Embedded = 2,365 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.56'	4,895 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.0' x 45 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 24.0"H => 13.78 sf x 8.00'L = 110.3 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 32.0"H => 21.33 sf x 8.00'L = 170.7 cf
			15 Rows adjusted for 68.0 cf perimeter wall
		5,604 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	9.57'	18.0" Round Culvert
			L= 45.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 9.57' / 9.12' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.77 sf
#2	Device 1	11.06'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#3	Discarded	9.06'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.07 cfs @ 6.65 hrs HW=9.07' (Free Discharge) **3=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.07 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=3.44 cfs @ 12.15 hrs HW=11.42' (Free Discharge) 1=Culvert (Passes 3.44 cfs of 7.04 cfs potential flow) 2=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 3.44 cfs @ 1.95 fps)

59051_Post_05-11-2020 Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 I	Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19" Printed 5/18/2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 15
Time span=(Runoff by SCS Reach routing by Stor-Inc	0.00-80.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 8001 points 5 TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN 1+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to UG-1	Runoff Area=36,425 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.95" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=5.07 cfs 0.415 af
Subcatchment 2S: Tributary to UG-2	Runoff Area=21,205 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.95" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=2.95 cfs 0.241 af
Subcatchment 3S: Tributary to UG-3	Runoff Area=40,820 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.95" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=5.69 cfs 0.465 af
Subcatchment 4S: Perimeter of Project	t Site Runoff Area=22,140 sf 12.42% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.58" Tc=6.0 min CN=66 Runoff=1.52 cfs 0.109 af
Reach 1R: Pipe 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.013	Avg. Flow Depth=0.81' Max Vel=6.54 fps Inflow=7.82 cfs 0.363 af L=46.0' S=0.0100 '/' Capacity=22.62 cfs Outflow=7.80 cfs 0.363 af
Reach 2R: Pipe 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.013	Avg. Flow Depth=1.06' Max Vel=7.38 fps Inflow=12.48 cfs 0.589 af L=52.0' S=0.0100 '/' Capacity=22.62 cfs Outflow=12.46 cfs 0.589 af
Reach DP1: Connection to Freeport St	Inflow=12.46 cfs 0.589 af Outflow=12.46 cfs 0.589 af
Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways	Inflow=1.52 cfs 0.109 af Outflow=1.52 cfs 0.109 af
Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5') Discarded=0.0	Peak Elev=12.10' Storage=4,762 cf Inflow=5.07 cfs 0.415 af 06 cfs 0.189 af Primary=4.69 cfs 0.226 af Outflow=4.74 cfs 0.415 af
Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H) Discarded=0.0	Peak Elev=11.94' Storage=2,764 cf Inflow=2.95 cfs 0.241 af 04 cfs 0.117 af Primary=2.79 cfs 0.124 af Outflow=2.83 cfs 0.241 af
Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H) Discarded=0.0	Peak Elev=11.52' Storage=5,451 cf Inflow=5.69 cfs 0.465 af 07 cfs 0.226 af Primary=5.05 cfs 0.238 af Outflow=5.12 cfs 0.465 af
	100 = 0 Denset 1000 = 0 Denset Dense

Total Runoff Area = 2.768 acRunoff Volume = 1.230 afAverage Runoff Depth = 5.33"16.08% Pervious = 0.445 ac83.92% Impervious = 2.323 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to UG-1

Runoff = 5.07 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.415 af, Depth= 5.95"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19"

Are	ea (sf)	CN	Description		
2	2,070	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
1	4,355	98	Roofs, HSC	βB	
3	6,425	98	Weighted A	verage	
3	6,425		100.00% Im	npervious A	Area
Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Tributary to UG-2

Runoff = 2.95 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.241 af, Depth= 5.95"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19"

Area (sf)	CN	Description		
21,205	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
21,205		100.00% In	npervious A	Area
Tc Length (min) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0				Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: Tributary to UG-3

Runoff = 5.69 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.465 af, Depth= 5.95"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description			
	26,320	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B		
	14,500	98	Roofs, HSC	βB		
	40,820	98	Weighted A	verage		
	40,820		100.00% Im	pervious A	rea	
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)		
6.0					Direct Entry,	

Runoff = 1.52 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.109 af, Depth= 2.58"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	2,750	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	В
	19,390	61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	lood, HSG B
	22,140	66	Weighted A	verage	
	19,390		87.58% Per	vious Area	а
	2,750		12.42% Imp	pervious Are	rea
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	i) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,
					-

Summary for Reach 1R: Pipe

Inflow A	rea =	1.424 ac,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 3.06"	for 25-Year event
Inflow	=	7.82 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume=	= 0.363 af	
Outflow	=	7.80 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume=	= 0.363 af, At	ten= 0%, Lag= 0.2 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 6.54 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.1 min Avg. Velocity = 2.03 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.4 min

Peak Storage= 55 cf @ 12.12 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.81' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 22.62 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.013 Length= 46.0' Slope= 0.0100 '/' Inlet Invert= 9.12', Outlet Invert= 8.66'



Summary for Reach 2R: Pipe

Inflow A	rea =	2.260 ac,10	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 3.	13" for 25-Year event
Inflow	=	12.48 cfs @	12.12 hrs, Volume	= 0.589 af	
Outflow	=	12.46 cfs @	12.12 hrs, Volume	= 0.589 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.2 min

59051_Post_05-11-2020 *Type* Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 7.38 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.1 min Avg. Velocity = 2.22 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.4 min

Peak Storage= 88 cf @ 12.12 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 1.06' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 22.62 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.013 Length= 52.0' Slope= 0.0100 '/' Inlet Invert= 8.66', Outlet Invert= 8.14'



Summary for Reach DP1: Connection to Freeport St

Inflow Area	a =	2.260 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Dep	oth = 3.	13" for 25	-Year event
Inflow	=	12.46 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume	= ().589 af		
Outflow	=	12.46 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume	= (0.589 af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways

Inflow A	rea =	0.508 ac, 12.42% Impervious, I	nflow Depth = 2.58" for 25-Year even	nt
Inflow	=	1.52 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume=	0.109 af	
Outflow	=	1.52 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume=	0.109 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0	min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5')

Inflow Area	=	0.836 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious, I	nflow Dep	oth =	5.95	" for 25-	Year ev	ent
Inflow	=	5.07 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	Ċ).415 a	af			
Outflow	=	4.74 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	: C).415 a	af, A	tten= 7%,	Lag= 1	.8 min
Discarded	=	0.06 cfs @	4.36 hrs,	Volume=	: C).189 a	af			
Primary	=	4.69 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	: C).226	af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 12.10' @ 12.11 hrs Surf.Area= 2,340 sf Storage= 4,762 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 309.9 min calculated for 0.415 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 310.0 min (1,054.7 - 744.7)

59051 Post 05-11-2020 Prenared by CHA Companies Inc.

Type III 24-hr 25-Year Rainfall=6.19" Printed 5/18/2020 Page 19

Trepared by OTA Companies, inc.	
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.26'	568 cf	90.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.67'H Field A
			8,580 cf Overall - 6,688 cf Embedded = 1,892 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.76'	4,542 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.5' x 33 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 30.0"H => 17.56 sf x 8.00'L = 140.4 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 38.0"H => 25.33 sf x 8.00'L = 202.7 cf
			11 Rows adjusted for 92.4 cf perimeter wall
		5 110 cf	Total Available Storage

5,110 cf I otal Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	11.66'	5.0' Iong Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#2	Discarded	9.26'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.06 cfs @ 4.36 hrs HW=9.27' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.06 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=4.68 cfs @ 12.11 hrs HW=12.10' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 4.68 cfs @ 2.17 fps)

Summary for Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H)

Inflow Area	a =	0.487 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious, Inflow	Depth = 5.9	5" for 25-`	Year event
Inflow	=	2.95 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	0.241 af		
Outflow	=	2.83 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	0.241 af,	Atten= 4%,	Lag= 1.4 min
Discarded	=	0.04 cfs @	4.89 hrs,	Volume=	0.117 af		
Primary	=	2.79 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	0.124 af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 11.94' @ 12.11 hrs Surf.Area= 1,508 sf Storage= 2,764 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 309.1 min calculated for 0.241 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 309.1 min (1,053.8 - 744.7)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.33'	382 cf	58.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.67'H Field A
			5,529 cf Overall - 4,256 cf Embedded = 1,273 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.83'	2,883 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.5' x 21 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 30.0"H => 17.56 sf x 8.00'L = 140.4 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 38.0"H => 25.33 sf x 8.00'L = 202.7 cf
			7 Rows adjusted for 66.0 cf perimeter wall
		2 265 of	Total Available Storage

3,265 cf I otal Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	11.63'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir	2 End Contraction(s)
#2	Discarded	9.33'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area	

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.04 cfs @ 4.89 hrs HW=9.34' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.04 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=2.79 cfs @ 12.11 hrs HW=11.94' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 2.79 cfs @ 1.82 fps)

Summary for Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H)

Inflow Area	a =	0.937 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious, Inflov	w Depth =	5.95" f	or 25-Y	'ear event
Inflow	=	5.69 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	0.465	af		
Outflow	=	5.12 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume=	0.465	af, Atten	= 10%,	Lag= 2.3 min
Discarded	=	0.07 cfs @	5.41 hrs,	Volume=	0.226	af		•
Primary	=	5.05 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume=	0.238	af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 11.52' @ 12.12 hrs Surf.Area= 3,172 sf Storage= 5,451 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 267.7 min calculated for 0.465 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 267.8 min (1,012.5 - 744.7)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.06'	709 cf	122.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.17'H Field A
			10,045 cf Overall - 7,680 cf Embedded = 2,365 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.56'	4,895 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.0' x 45 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 24.0"H => 13.78 sf x 8.00'L = 110.3 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 32.0"H => 21.33 sf x 8.00'L = 170.7 cf
			15 Rows adjusted for 68.0 cf perimeter wall
		5,604 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	9.57'	18.0" Round Culvert
	-		L= 45.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 9.57' / 9.12' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.77 sf
#2	Device 1	11.06'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#3	Discarded	9.06'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.07 cfs @ 5.41 hrs HW=9.07' (Free Discharge) **3=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.07 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=5.05 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=11.52' (Free Discharge) 1=Culvert (Passes 5.05 cfs of 7.37 cfs potential flow) 2=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 5.05 cfs @ 2.22 fps)

59051_Post_05-11-2020	Type III 24-h	r 100-Year Rainfall=8.83"
Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc.		Printed 5/18/2020
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019	HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 21
Time span= Runoff by SC Reach routing by Stor-Ir	0.00-80.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 8001 points S TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-0 nd+Trans method - Pond routing by Sto	s CN r-Ind method
Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to UG-1	Runoff Area=36,425 sf 100.00% Im Tc=6.0 min CN=	pervious Runoff Depth=8.59" 98 Runoff=7.25 cfs 0.599 af
Subcatchment 2S: Tributary to UG-2	Runoff Area=21,205 sf 100.00% Im Tc=6.0 min CN=	pervious Runoff Depth=8.59" 98 Runoff=4.22 cfs 0.348 af
Subcatchment 3S: Tributary to UG-3	Runoff Area=40,820 sf 100.00% Im Tc=6.0 min CN=	pervious Runoff Depth=8.59" 98 Runoff=8.13 cfs 0.671 af
Subcatchment 4S: Perimeter of Proje	ct Site Runoff Area=22,140 sf 12.42% Im Tc=6.0 min CN=	pervious Runoff Depth=4.70" 66 Runoff=2.80 cfs 0.199 af
Reach 1R: Pipe 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.013	Avg. Flow Depth=1.04' Max Vel=7.31 f L=46.0' S=0.0100 '/' Capacity=22.62 cfs	ps Inflow=12.02 cfs 0.660 af s Outflow=12.00 cfs 0.660 af
Reach 2R: Pipe 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.013	Avg. Flow Depth=1.39' Max Vel=8.05 f L=52.0' S=0.0100 '/' Capacity=22.62 cfs	ps Inflow=18.75 cfs 1.064 af Goutflow=18.70 cfs 1.064 af
Reach DP1: Connection to Freeport S	St	Inflow=18.70 cfs 1.064 af Outflow=18.70 cfs 1.064 af
Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways		Inflow=2.80 cfs 0.199 af Outflow=2.80 cfs 0.199 af
Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5') Discarded=0	Peak Elev=12.23' Storage=5,00 .06 cfs 0.195 af Primary=6.80 cfs 0.404	0 cf Inflow=7.25 cfs 0.599 af af Outflow=6.86 cfs 0.599 af
Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H) Discarded=0	Peak Elev=12.03' Storage=2,86 .04 cfs 0.122 af Primary=4.04 cfs 0.227	9 cf Inflow=4.22 cfs 0.348 af af Outflow=4.08 cfs 0.348 af
Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H) Discarded=0	Peak Elev=11.74' Storage=5,56. 07 cfs 0.238 af Primary=8.01 cfs 0.433.	2 cf Inflow=8.13 cfs 0.671 af af Outflow=8.09 cfs 0.670 af
Total Runoff Area = 2	.768 ac Runoff Volume = 1.817 af Av 16.08% Pervious = 0.445 ac 83.	erage Runoff Depth = 7.88" 92% Impervious = 2.323 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Tributary to UG-1

Runoff 7.25 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.599 af, Depth= 8.59" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.83"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	22,070	98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	3
	14,355	98	Roofs, HSC	βB	
	36,425	98	Weighted A	verage	
	36,425		100.00% Im	npervious A	Area
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Tributary to UG-2

4.22 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.348 af, Depth= 8.59" Runoff =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.83"

Area (sf)	CN	Description						
21,205	98	98 Paved parking, HSG B						
21,205	21,205 100.00% Impervious Ar		npervious A	Area				
Tc Length (min) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description				
6.0				Direct Entry,				

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: Tributary to UG-3

Runoff 8.13 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.671 af, Depth= 8.59" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.83"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
	26,320	98	Paved parking, HSG B					
	14,500	98	Roofs, HSC	βB				
	40,820	98	Weighted A	verage				
	40,820		100.00% Im	pervious A	rea			
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)				
6.0					Direct Entry,			

Summary for Subcatchment 4S: Perimeter of Project Site

Runoff = 2.80 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.199 af, Depth= 4.70"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.83"

Area (s	sf) CN	Description		
2,75	50 98	Paved park	ing, HSG B	В
19,39	90 61	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG B
22,14	40 66	Weighted A	verage	
19,39	90	87.58% Pei	vious Area	а
2,75	50	12.42% Imp	pervious Are	rea
Tc Len	gth Slo _l	be Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min) (fe	et) (ft/	ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0				Direct Entry,
				-

Summary for Reach 1R: Pipe

Inflow A	rea =	1.424 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	5.5	56" for 10	0-Year event
Inflow	=	12.02 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.660	af		
Outflow		12.00 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume=	= 0.660	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.1 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 7.31 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.1 min Avg. Velocity = 2.30 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.3 min

Peak Storage= 76 cf @ 12.10 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 1.04' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 22.62 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.013 Length= 46.0' Slope= 0.0100 '/' Inlet Invert= 9.12', Outlet Invert= 8.66'



Summary for Reach 2R: Pipe

Inflow A	vrea =	2.260 ac,10	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 5.	65" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	18.75 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume	= 1.064 af	
Outflow	=	18.70 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume	= 1.064 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.2 min

59051_Post_05-11-2020 *Type I* Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 8.05 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.1 min Avg. Velocity = 2.67 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.3 min

Peak Storage= 121 cf @ 12.10 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 1.39' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 22.62 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.013 Length= 52.0' Slope= 0.0100 '/' Inlet Invert= 8.66', Outlet Invert= 8.14'



Summary for Reach DP1: Connection to Freeport St

Inflow Area	a =	2.260 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow De	epth =	5.6	5" for 10	0-Year event	
Inflow	=	18.70 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume	=	1.064 a	af			
Outflow	=	18.70 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume	=	1.064 a	af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 mi	n

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Reach DP2: Tributary to Roadways

Inflow Ar	rea =	0.508 ac, 1	12.42% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	4.7	0" for	100-	-Year	event
Inflow	=	2.80 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.199	af				
Outflow	=	2.80 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume	=	0.199	af,	Atten= 0	%,	Lag= (0.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

Summary for Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5')

Inflow Area	=	0.836 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	8.59)" for '	100-Yea	r event
Inflow	=	7.25 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume	=	0.599	af			
Outflow	=	6.86 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume	=	0.599	af, A	Atten= 5°	%, Lag=	= 1.7 min
Discarded	=	0.06 cfs @	2.51 hrs,	Volume	=	0.195	af		-	
Primary	=	6.80 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume	=	0.404	af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 12.23' @ 12.11 hrs Surf.Area= 2,340 sf Storage= 5,000 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 231.1 min calculated for 0.598 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 231.2 min (971.2 - 740.0)

59051 Post 05-11-2020

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.83" Printed 5/18/2020 Page 25

Prepared by CHA Companies, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 05747 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.26'	568 cf	90.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.67'H Field A
			8,580 cf Overall - 6,688 cf Embedded = 1,892 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.76'	4,542 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.5' x 33 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 30.0"H => 17.56 sf x 8.00'L = 140.4 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 38.0"H => 25.33 sf x 8.00'L = 202.7 cf
			11 Rows adjusted for 92.4 cf perimeter wall
		5 110 cf	Total Available Storage

5,110 cf Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	11.66'	5.0' Iong Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#2	Discarded	9.26'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.06 cfs @ 2.51 hrs HW=9.27' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.06 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=6.80 cfs @ 12.11 hrs HW=12.23' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 6.80 cfs @ 2.46 fps)

Summary for Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H)

Inflow Area	a =	0.487 ac,10	0.00% Imp	ervious, I	nflow Depth	= 8.5	59" for 1	00-Year event	
Inflow	=	4.22 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	0.3	48 af			
Outflow	=	4.08 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume=	0.34	48 af,	Atten= 3%	, Lag= 1.3 mir	n
Discarded	=	0.04 cfs @	2.82 hrs,	Volume=	0.12	22 af			
Primary	=	4.04 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume=	0.22	27 af			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 12.03' @ 12.10 hrs Surf.Area= 1,508 sf Storage= 2,869 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 231.9 min calculated for 0.348 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 231.9 min (972.0 - 740.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.33'	382 cf	58.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.67'H Field A
			5,529 cf Overall - 4,256 cf Embedded = 1,273 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.83'	2,883 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.5' x 21 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 30.0"H => 17.56 sf x 8.00'L = 140.4 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 38.0"H => 25.33 sf x 8.00'L = 202.7 cf
			7 Rows adjusted for 66.0 cf perimeter wall
		2 265 of	Total Available Storage

3,265 cf I otal Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	11.63'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir	2 End Contraction(s)
#2	Discarded	9.33'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area	

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.04 cfs @ 2.82 hrs HW=9.34' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.04 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=4.03 cfs @ 12.10 hrs HW=12.03' (Free Discharge) 1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 4.03 cfs @ 2.06 fps)

Summary for Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H)

Inflow Area	a =	0.937 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious, Inflow	/ Depth = 8.5	9" for 100	-Year event
Inflow	=	8.13 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	0.671 af		
Outflow	=	8.09 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	0.670 af, <i>1</i>	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.4 min
Discarded	=	0.07 cfs @	3.13 hrs,	Volume=	0.238 af		-
Primary	=	8.01 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	0.433 af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-80.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 11.74' @ 12.09 hrs Surf.Area= 3,172 sf Storage= 5,562 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 205.4 min calculated for 0.670 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 205.0 min (945.0 - 740.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	9.06'	709 cf	122.00'W x 26.00'L x 3.17'H Field A
			10,045 cf Overall - 7,680 cf Embedded = 2,365 cf x 30.0% Voids
#2A	9.56'	4,895 cf	retain_it retain_it 2.0' x 45 Inside #1
			Inside= 84.0"W x 24.0"H => 13.78 sf x 8.00'L = 110.3 cf
			Outside= 96.0"W x 32.0"H => 21.33 sf x 8.00'L = 170.7 cf
			15 Rows adjusted for 68.0 cf perimeter wall
		5,604 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	9.57'	18.0" Round Culvert
	-		L= 45.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 9.57' / 9.12' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.013, Flow Area= 1.77 sf
#2	Device 1	11.06'	5.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#3	Discarded	9.06'	1.020 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.07 cfs @ 3.13 hrs HW=9.07' (Free Discharge) **3=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.07 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=8.01 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=11.74' (Free Discharge)

-1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 8.01 cfs @ 4.53 fps)

2=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Passes 8.01 cfs of 8.97 cfs potential flow)

				HERB C	HAME	ERS HOND C	OF BOSTON -	STORM S	SEWER I	DESIGN									
						D	esian Assumptions	1		1									
Project No.	59051				25	Year Storm				-					SHEET		1	OF	1
Project	Herb Chambers Honda of Boston	-			5	Minute Duration									COMPUTED) BY	DR	DATE	5/12/2020
Location	710-720 Morrissey Blvd	_			8.7	- in/hr Intensity for B	Boston, MA (Atlas-14))											
	Boston, MA	-			0.013	Pipe Coefficient "n	" (HDPE/RCP)												
		-				•	· · · · ·			-					CHECKED B	3Y	тк	DATE	5/19/2020
DRAINAGE	STRUCTURE			RUNOFF			RUNOFF RATIONA	L METHOD	Q=Ca x C x	i x A					PIPE				
DRAMAGE				COEFFICIENT					DISC	CHARGE									
FROM	то	INCREM.					TIME OF FLOW	RAINFALL	INCREM	(Q) TOTAL			SLOPE	CAPACITY	MEAN VELOCITY		FROM	то	FROM STRUCTURE
STRUCT.	STRUCT.	(AC)	TOTAL	"C"	"Ca"	"Ca" X "C" X "A"	TC(MIN) TF(MIN)	(IN/HR)	(CFS)	(CFS)	(FT)	DIA (IN)	(FT/FT)	Q (CFS)	VF (FT/S)	CAPACITY	INVERT	INVERT	RIM
CB-4	DMH-10	0.05		0.90	1.1	0.05	5	8.7	0.44	, <i>, ,</i>	57	12	0.005	2.55	3.24	2.11	13.43	13.14	16.30
CB-5	DMH-10	0.02		0.90	1.1	0.02	5	8.7	0.18		3	12	0.033	6.52	8.30	6.34	13.24	13.14	16.40
DMH-10	DMH-11		0.07							0.62	46	12	0.010	3.57	4.55	2.95	13.14	12.68	16.50
ROOF-1	DMH-11	0.33		0.90	1.1	0.33	5	8.7	2.84		16	10	0.020	3.10	5.69	0.27	13.00	12.68	16.67
DMH-11	DMH-12		0.40							3.46	36	15	0.010	6.47	5.28	3.02	12.68	12.32	16.70
CB-6	DMH-12	0.05		0.90	1.1	0.05	5	8.7	0.45		3	12	0.033	6.52	8.30	6.06	12.42	12.32	16.35
DMH-12	DMH-1		0.45							3.91	97	15	0.010	6.47	5.28	2.56	12.32	11.35	16.45
DMH-1	DMH-2		0.45							3.91	34	15	0.010	6.47	5.28	2.56	11.35	11.01	16.20
DCB-1	DMH-2	0.17		0.90	1.1	0.17	5	8.7	1.47		5	12	0.010	3.57	4.55	2.10	11.06	11.01	14.90
DMH-2	UG-1		0.62							5.38	24	15	0.010	6.47	5.28	1.10	11.01	10.77	15.05
DCB-2	UG-1	0.21		0.90	1.1	0.21	5	8.7	1.83		8	12	0.010	3.57	4.55	1.74	10.85	10.77	14.75
05.44		0.44		0.00		0.44		0.7	0.04	1		40	0.040	0.57	1 4 5 5	0.00	14.50	40.00	45.04
CB-11	DMH-9	0.11		0.90	1.1	0.11	5	8.7	0.91		60	12	0.010	3.57	4.55	2.66	11.50	10.90	15.84
CB-12	DMH-9	0.08		0.90		0.08	5	8.7	0.08		0	12	0.017	4.01	5.87	3.93	11.00	10.90	15.84
CB-13	DMH-9	0.10	0.20	0.90	1.1	0.10	5	8.7	0.86	2.46	45	12	0.010	3.57	4.55	2.70	11.35	10.90	16.00
DIMIT-9		0.20	0.29	0.00	1 1	0.20	5	07	1 72	2.40	56	12	0.010	3.03	4.03	1.10	10.90	10.62	13.90
		0.20	0.40	0.90	1.1	0.20	5	0.7	1.73	1 10	33	12	0.005	6.57	5.21	0.79	10.90	10.02	14.75
			0.49					+		4.19	33	15	0.010	6.17	5.30	2.30	10.02	10.20	15.00
Divit I-5	00-2		0.49							4.19	9	15	0.010	0.47	5.20	2.20	10.20	10.19	15.70
CB-7a	DMH-13a	0.07		0.90	111	0.07	5	87	0.61		66	12	0.005	2.52	3 21	1 91	13 22	12 89	16.22
DMH-13a	DMH-13b	0.01	0.07	0.00	····	0.01	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.1	0.01	0.61	8	12	0.005	2.52	3.21	1.01	12.89	12.85	16.36
CB-7b	DMH-13b	0.12	0.01	0.90	1.1	0.11	5	8.7	1.00	0.01	3	12	0.017	4.61	5.87	3.61	12.00	12.85	16.00
DMH-13b	DMH-13c		0.19							1.61	179	12	0.005	2.53	3.22	0.92	12.85	11.95	16.19
CB-8	DMH-13c	0.11		0.90	1.1	0.11	5	8.7	0.96		19	12	0.011	3.66	4.66	2.70	12.48	12.28	15.60
ROOF-2	DMH-13c	0.33		0.90	1.1	0.33	5	8.7	2.87		36	10	0.020	3.10	5.69	0.24	13.00	12.28	16.67
DMH-13c	DMH-14		0.63							5.43	37	15	0.009	6.11	4.98	0.68	11.95	11.62	16.10
CB-9	DMH-15	0.05		0.90	1.1	0.05	5	8.7	0.39		16	12	0.005	2.52	3.21	2.13	11.78	11.70	14.40
CB-10	DMH-15	0.03		0.90	1.1	0.03	5	8.7	0.25		16	12	0.005	2.52	3.21	2.27	11.78	11.70	14.28
DMH-15	DMH-14		0.07							0.64	16	12	0.005	2.52	3.21	1.88	11.70	11.62	15.00
DMH-14	DMH-5		0.71							6.08	157	15	0.010	6.47	5.28	0.40	11.62	10.05	15.45
DMH-5	UG-3		0.71							6.08	23	15	0.010	6.61	5.39	0.53	10.05	9.81	15.40
		-		-		-				-	-			-	-	-			
DCB-3	UG-3	0.23		0.90	1.1	0.23	5	8.7	2.00		5	12	0.020	5.05	6.43	3.05	9.91	9.81	14.50
LIG-3	005-3		0.94							8.07	4	18	0.015	12.89	7.30	4 82	9.81	975	-
005-3	DMH-6		0.94							8.07	4	18	0.020	14.88	8.43	6.81	9.65	9.57	15.53
DMH-6	DMH-7	1	0.94	1				1		8.07	45	18	0.010	10.52	5.96	2.45	9.57	9.12	15.63
UG-2	OCS-2		0.49							4.19	4	18	0.020	14.88	8.43	10.69	10.00	9.92	-
OCS-2	DMH-7		0.49							4.19	17	18	0.010	10.52	5.96	6.33	9.82	9.65	15.45
DMH-7	DMH-8		1.42					1		12.26	46	24	0.010	22.67	7.22	10.40	9.12	8.66	15.80
UG-1	OCS-1		0.84							7.20	4	18	0.020	14.88	8.43	7.68	10.00	9.92	-
OCS-1	DMH-8		0.84							7.20	4	18	0.020	14.88	8.43	7.68	9.82	9.74	15.76
DMH-8	Freeport		2.26							19.47	52	24	0.010	22.67	7.22	3.20	8.66	8.14	15.86

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



Location name: Dorchester, Massachusetts, USA* Latitude: 42.2977°, Longitude: -71.0489° Elevation: 7.28 ft** * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sandra Pavlovic, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Orlan Wilhite

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches/hour) ¹												
Duration				Avera	ge recurren	ce interval (years)					
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000		
5-min	3.62 (2.98-4.40)	4.48 (3.67-5.46)	5.88 (4.80-7.19)	7.06 (5.71-8.69)	8.68 (6.76-11.4)	9.88 (7.51-13.3)	11.2 (8.21-15.8)	12.7 (8.68-18.4)	15.0 (9.77-22.7)	17.0 (10.8-26.3)		
10-min	2.56	3.17	4.18	5.00	6.14	6.99	7.90	8.99	10.6	12.0		
	(2.11-3.11)	(2.60-3.86)	(3.41-5.11)	(4.06-6.16)	(4.79-8.04)	(5.32-9.41)	(5.81-11.2)	(6.14-13.0)	(6.92-16.1)	(7.61-18.6)		
15-min	2.01	2.49	3.27	3.92	4.82	5.48	6.20	7.06	8.34	9.44		
	(1.65-2.44)	(2.04-3.03)	(2.67-4.00)	(3.18-4.83)	(3.76-6.30)	(4.18-7.38)	(4.56-8.77)	(4.81-10.2)	(5.43-12.6)	(5.97-14.6)		
30-min	1.37	1.70	2.24	2.69	3.30	3.76	4.25	4.84	5.74	6.50		
	(1.13-1.67)	(1.39-2.07)	(1.83-2.74)	(2.18-3.31)	(2.57-4.32)	(2.86-5.06)	(3.13-6.02)	(3.30-7.00)	(3.73-8.66)	(4.11-10.0)		
60-min	0.869	1.08	1.42	1.71	2.10	2.39	2.70	3.08	3.65	4.14		
	(0.713-1.06)	(0.884-1.31)	(1.16-1.74)	(1.38-2.10)	(1.64-2.75)	(1.82-3.22)	(1.99-3.83)	(2.10-4.45)	(2.38-5.51)	(2.62-6.40)		
2-hr	0.556	0.700	0.933	1.13	1.39	1.59	1.81	2.07	2.48	2.83		
	(0.460-0.672)	(0.577-0.846)	(0.766-1.13)	(0.919-1.38)	(1.09-1.81)	(1.22-2.13)	(1.34-2.55)	(1.42-2.97)	(1.62-3.71)	(1.80-4.33)		
3-hr	0.431 (0.357-0.518)	0.542 (0.449-0.653)	0.724 (0.597-0.876)	0.875 (0.717-1.07)	1.08 (0.853-1.41)	1.24 (0.951-1.65)	1.40 (1.05-1.97)	1.40 1.61 1.94 (1.05-1.97) (1.11-2.30) (1.27-2.88		2.22 (1.41-3.37)		
6-hr	0.283	0.353	0.467	0.562	0.692	0.788	0.893	1.02	1.22	1.40		
	(0.236-0.338)	(0.294-0.422)	(0.387-0.561)	(0.463-0.680)	(0.548-0.890)	(0.609-1.04)	(0.668-1.24)	(0.705-1.44)	(0.803-1.80)	(0.890-2.10)		
12-hr	0.182	0.224	0.293	0.349	0.428	0.485	0.548	0.624	0.741	0.840		
	(0.153-0.216)	(0.188-0.267)	(0.244-0.349)	(0.290-0.420)	(0.340-0.544)	(0.377-0.635)	(0.411-0.753)	(0.432-0.872)	(0.488-1.08)	(0.538-1.25)		
24-hr	0.111 (0.094-0.131)	0.137 (0.116-0.162)	0.180 (0.152-0.214)	0.216 (0.180-0.257)	0.265 0.301 0.340 (0.257-0.465) (0.101 (0.257-0.465) (0.101 (0.10		0.389 (0.270-0.538)	0.464 (0.307-0.669)	0.530 (0.340-0.779)			
2-day	0.063 (0.054-0.074)	0.080 (0.068-0.094)	0.107 (0.091-0.126)	0.130 (0.109-0.153)	30 0.161 0.183 0.208 0.241 0.153) (0.130-0.202) (0.144-0.238) (0.159-0.284) (0.168-0.3		0.241 (0.168-0.330)	0.293 (0.194-0.417)	0.339 (0.218-0.493)			
3-day	0.046	0.058	0.078	0.094	0.116	0.133	0.151	0.174	0.213	0.247		
	(0.040-0.054)	(0.050-0.068)	(0.066-0.091)	(0.079-0.111)	(0.094-0.146)	(0.105-0.171)	(0.116-0.205)	(0.122-0.238)	(0.141-0.301)	(0.159-0.356)		
4-day	0.038	0.047	0.062	0.074	0.092	0.104	0.118	0.137	0.167	0.193		
	(0.032-0.044)	(0.040-0.055)	(0.053-0.072)	(0.063-0.088)	(0.075-0.115)	(0.083-0.134)	(0.091-0.160)	(0.096-0.186)	(0.111-0.235)	(0.125-0.278)		
7-day	0.026	0.032	0.040	0.048	0.058	0.065	0.074	0.085	0.102	0.118		
	(0.022-0.030)	(0.027-0.037)	(0.035-0.047)	(0.041-0.056)	(0.047-0.072)	(0.052-0.083)	(0.057-0.099)	(0.059-0.114)	(0.068-0.143)	(0.076-0.168)		
10-day	0.021	0.025	0.032	0.037	0.044	0.049	0.055	0.063	0.075	0.086		
	(0.018-0.024)	(0.022-0.029)	(0.027-0.037)	(0.031-0.043)	(0.036-0.054)	(0.039-0.063)	(0.043-0.073)	(0.044-0.084)	(0.050-0.104)	(0.055-0.121)		
20-day	0.015	0.017	0.020	0.023	0.027	0.030	0.034	0.037	0.042	0.047		
	(0.013-0.017)	(0.015-0.019)	(0.018-0.024)	(0.020-0.027)	(0.022-0.033)	(0.024-0.038)	(0.026-0.043)	(0.026-0.049)	(0.029-0.058)	(0.030-0.066)		
30-day	0.012	0.014	0.016	0.018	0.021	0.023	0.025	0.028	0.031	0.033		
	(0.011-0.014)	(0.012-0.016)	(0.014-0.019)	(0.016-0.021)	(0.017-0.025)	(0.019-0.029)	(0.019-0.032)	(0.020-0.036)	(0.021-0.042)	(0.022-0.047)		
45-day	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.018	0.020	0.021	0.023	0.024		
	(0.009-0.011)	(0.010-0.013)	(0.011-0.015)	(0.012-0.017)	(0.014-0.020)	(0.014-0.022)	(0.015-0.024)	(0.015-0.027)	(0.016-0.031)	(0.016-0.034)		
60-day	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.014	0.015	0.016	0.017	0.019	0.019		
	(0.008-0.010)	(0.008-0.011)	(0.010-0.013)	(0.011-0.014)	(0.011-0.016)	(0.012-0.018)	(0.012-0.020)	(0.012-0.022)	(0.013-0.025)	(0.013-0.027)		

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values.

Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical




Stormwater Management Calculations

TSS Removal Calculations

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Sheet is nonautomated. Print sheet and complete using hand calculations. Column A and B: See MassDEP Structural BMP Table

2. The calculations must be completed using the Column Headings specified in Chart and Not the Excel Column Headings

3. To complete Chart Column D, multiple Column B value within Row x Column C value within Row

4. To complete Chart Column E value, subtract Column D value within Row from Column C within Row

5. Total TSS Removal = Sum All Values in Column D

	Location:	CBs to UG1,2,3			
	А	В	С	D	E
	1	TSS Removal	Starting TSS	Amount	Remaining
	BMP'	Rate'	Load*	Removed (B*C)	Load (C-D)
leet	Deep Sump Catch Basin	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.75
oval orksh	Subsurface Infiltration Galleys (w/ pretreatment row)	0.80	0.75	0.60	0.15
Rem on W					
TSS ulatic					
Calcı					
		Total	rss Removal =	85%	Separate Form Needs to be Completed for Each Outlet or BMP Train
	Project:	Herb Chambers Honda			-
	Prepared By:	RM/AV		*Equals remaining load from	n previous BMP (E)
	Date:	1/21/2020		which enters the BMP	

Required Recharge Volume

RECHARGE

The calculation for the *Required Recharge Volume* is calculated using the equation in the 2008 Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The *Required Recharge Volume* equals a depth of runoff corresponding to the soil type multiplied by the new impervious areas covering that soil type at the post-development site. The *Required Recharge Volume* is based on the *Static* method.

The project is a redevelopment and subject to the Recharge Standard to the extent practicable. It should be noted that because the redevelopment will result in a decrease in impervious area, recharge is not required, but is still being provided.

The delineated soil boundaries from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey show that the site consists of mainly of Urban Land which has no HSG designation. Based on the boring and test pit data, the in-situ soils were found to be sand to sandy loam and likely to promote infiltration. Additional soil information can be found in Appendix A. A designation of HSG "B" was utilized for the site, given the soil data.

The project has been designed to integrate recharge BMP's consisting of subsurface chamber systems with stone beds which collects all stormwater runoff through catch basins and roof drain connections.

Rv = F x impervious area (Equation 1) Volume 3, Ch 1, page 15 Rv = Required Recharge Volume, expressed in cubic feet, cubic yards, or acre-feet F = Target Depth Factor associated with each Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)Impervious Area = new pavement and new rooftop area

F for A soils = 0.60 inches	(Table 2.3.2) Volume 3, Ch 1, page 16
<u><i>F</i> for B soils = 0.35 inches</u>	
F for C soils = 0.25 inches	
F for D soils = 0.10 inches	

Using the formula above, the following table shows the site's proposed impervious surface area overlying particular Hydrologic Soil Groups and the calculated *Required Recharge Volume*.

Existing Impervious	= 111,905 sq. ft.	= 2.569 ac.
Proposed Impervious	= 101,235 sq. ft	= 2.324 ac
Net New Impervious On-Site	= -10,670 sq. ft.	= -0.245 ac.

Required Recharge Volume

Rv = F x Imp Rv = 0.35 in x (0 ac) x 1 ft/12 inRv = 0.0 ac-ft or 0.0 cu. ft. Storage volume for Recharge calculated in HydroCAD below lowest orifice/weir

UG-1= 3,933 cu. ft.UG-2= 2,391 cu. ft.UG-3= 4,278 cu. ft.

<u>Total = 10,602 cu. ft.</u>

As previously stated, groundwater recharge is not required due to the decrease in net impervious area. Therefore, the storage available in both UG-1,2, & 3 exceeds the Required Recharge Volume.

<u>10,602 cu. ft. > 0 cu. ft.</u>

Conclusion:

The recharge provided by the proposed subsurface chamber systems exceed the Required Recharge Volume required to compensate for the net increase in proposed impervious on the developed project site. The project's stormwater management system <u>satisfies</u> Standard 3 of the MassDEP Stormwater Regulations.

Water Quality Calculations

WATER QUALITY

The stormwater runoff Water Quality Volume (WQV) is required by MassDEP at ¹/₂" or 1" depending on the site location. More stringent standards are required by the Boston Water and Sewer Commission. Projects are required to retain, on site, a volume of runoff equal to 1.25" of rainfall times the impervious areas. To be conservative, the below calculation assumes all onsite surfaces are impervious. The required WQVs has been calculated below.

Required WQVs:

Tributary Area to UG-1: 36,425 sq. ft. WQV = 36,425 sq. ft. X (1.25/12) = **3,794 cu. ft**.

Tributary Area to UG-2: 21,205 sq. ft. WQV = 21,205 sq. ft. X (1.25/12) ft = **2,209 cu. ft**.

Tributary Area to UG-3: 40,820 sq. ft. WQV = 40,820 sq. ft. X (1.25/12) ft = **4,252 cu. ft.**

Total WQV: 10,255 cu. ft. based on 98,450 sq.ft. onsite area.

Provided Volumes:

UG-1= 3,933 cu. ft. UG-2= 2,391 cu. ft. UG-3= 4,278 cu. ft.

TOTAL= 10,602 cu. ft.

The provided storage volume of 10,602 cu. ft. is greater than the required WQV of 10,255 cu. ft.

The attached print out, from the HydroCAD program, shows the stage storage data for each of the three underground stormwater systems. Inside the outlet control structures, a weir has been set the corresponding stage height equal to or greater than the Water Quality Volume. This will ensure that there is adequate storage volume for the tributary stormwater runoff to each storage system.

Supplemental Calculations:

Off-site tributary area is described as portions of the project site that flow overland towards the surrounding streets and is not captured due to slopes of existing or proposed grading.

Existing Off-Site Tributary Area: 19,425 sq. ft. = 0.446 ac. (100% impervious) Proposed Off-Site Tributary Area: 22,140 sq. ft. = 0.508 ac. (12.4% impervious)

The off-site tributary area was increased slightly by 2,715 sq. ft. = 0.062 ac. but the design greatly reduces the impervious surface area with perimeter landscaping. The HydroCAD model also shows the peak runoff rate leaving the site is significantly reduced.

Prepared by CHA HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 09222 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Elevation	Surface	Storage	Elevation	Surface	Storage
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(feet)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)
9.26	2,340	0	11.96	2,340	4,499
9.31	2,340	35	12.01	2,340	4,593
9.36	2,340	70	12.06	2,340	4,687
9.41	2,340	105	12.11	2,340	4,781
9.46	2,340	140	12.16	2,340	4,876
9.51	2,340	1/6	12.21	2,340	4,970
9.56	2,340	211	12.26	2,340	5,064
9.61	2,340	246	12.31	2,340	5,068
9.66	2,340	281	12.30	2,340	5,071
9.71	2,340	310	12.41	2,340	5,074
9.70	2,340	331 445	12.40	2,340	5 081
9.86	2,340	443 540	12.51	2,340	5 085
9.00	2,340	634	12.00	2,340	5 088
9.96	2,340	728	12.61	2,340	5 091
10.01	2.340	822	12.71	2.340	5.095
10.06	2.340	917	12.76	2.340	5.098
10.11	2,340	1,011	12.81	2,340	5,102
10.16	2,340	1,105	12.86	2,340	5,105
10.21	2,340	1,199	12.91	2,340	5,109
10.26	2,340	1,294			
10.31	2,340	1,388			
10.36	2,340	1,482			
10.41	2,340	1,576			
10.46	2,340	1,671			
10.51	2,340	1,765			
10.56	2,340	1,859			
10.01	2,340	1,903			
10.00	2,340	2,040			
10.71	2,340	2,142			
10.81	2,340	2,331			
10.86	2.340	2,425			
10.91	2,340	2,519			
10.96	2,340	2,613			
11.01	2,340	2,708			
11.06	2,340	2,802			
11.11	2,340	2,896			
11.16	2,340	2,990			
11.21	2,340	3,085			
11.26	2,340	3,179			
11.01	2,340	3,213			
11.30	2,340	3,307			
11.41	2,340	3,402			
11.40	2,340	3 650			
11.56	2.340	3.744			
11.61	2,340	3,839			
11.66	2,340	3,933			
11.71	2,340	4,027			
11.76	2,340	4,121			
11.81	2,340	4,216			
11.86	2,340	4,310			
11.91	2,340	4,404			

Stage-Area-Storage for Pond 1P: UG-1 Retain It (2.5')

Prepared by CHA HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 09222 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Elevation (feet)	Surface (sq-ft)	Storage (cubic-feet)	Elevation (feet)	Surface (sq-ft)	Storage (cubic-feet)
(feet) 9.33 9.38 9.43 9.43 9.53 9.58 9.63 9.68 9.73 9.78 9.83 9.83 9.88 9.93	(sq-ft) 1,508 1,508	(cubic-feet) 0 23 45 68 90 113 136 158 181 204 226 286 346	(feet) 12.03 12.08 12.13 12.18 12.23 12.28 12.33 12.38 12.43 12.43 12.43 12.53 12.58 12.63	(sq-ft) 1,508	(cubic-feet) 2,872 2,932 2,992 3,052 3,112 3,232 3,235 3,237 3,240 3,242 3,245 3,247
9.98 10.03 10.08 10.13 10.18 10.23 10.28 10.33 10.38 10.43 10.48 10.53 10.58 10.63 10.63 10.68 10.73 10.78 10.83 10.83 10.93 10.98 11.03 11.08 11.13 11.18 11.28 11.33 11.43 11.48 11.53 11.58 11.63 11.68 11.73 11.78 11.63 11.83 11	1,508 1	407 467 527 587 647 707 767 827 888 948 1,008 1,068 1,128 1,188 1,248 1,308 1,429 1,489 1,549 1,429 1,489 1,549 1,609 1,669 1,729 1,789 1,669 1,729 1,789 1,850 1,910 1,970 2,030 2,090 2,150 2,210 2,270 2,331 2,451 2,571 2,571 2,631 2,651	12.68 12.73 12.78 12.83 12.93 12.98	1,508 1,508 1,508 1,508 1,508 1,508	3,250 3,252 3,255 3,260 3,262 3,264

Stage-Area-Storage for Pond 2P: UG-2 Retain It (2.5'H)

Prepared by CHA HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 09222 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Elevation	Surface	Storage	Elevation	Surface	Storage
	(SQ-IT) 2 172	(CUDIC-TEET)	(feet)	(SQ-IT) 2 172	(CUDIC-TEET)
9.00	3,172	0 48	11.70	3,172	5,503
9.16	3 172	95	11.86	3 172	5 572
9.21	3,172	143	11.91	3,172	5,576
9.26	3,172	190	11.96	3,172	5,581
9.31	3,172	238	12.01	3,172	5,585
9.36	3,172	285	12.06	3,172	5,589
9.41	3,172	333	12.11	3,172	5,594
9.46	3,172	381	12.16	3,172	5,598
9.51	3,172	420	12.21	3,172	5,002
9.61	3.172	603			
9.66	3,172	729			
9.71	3,172	856			
9.76	3,172	983			
9.81	3,172	1,110			
9.86	3,172	1,230			
9.91	3,172	1,303			
10.01	3,172	1,430			
10.06	3,172	1,743			
10.11	3,172	1,870			
10.16	3,172	1,997			
10.21	3,172	2,123			
10.26	3,172	2,250			
10.31	3 172	2,577			
10.00	3,172	2,630			
10.46	3,172	2,757			
10.51	3,172	2,884			
10.56	3,172	3,011			
10.61	3,172	3,137			
10.00	3,172	3,∠04 3 301			
10.71	3 172	3 518			
10.81	3,172	3,644			
10.86	3,172	3,771			
10.91	3,172	3,898			
10.96	3,172	4,025			
11.01	3,172	4,151			
11.11	3,172	4,278			
11.16	3,172	4,532			
11.21	3,172	4,658			
11.26	3,172	4,785			
11.31	3,172	4,912			
11.36	3,172	5,039			
11 46	3,172	5 292			
11.51	3.172	5.419			
11.56	3,172	5,546			
11.61	3,172	5,550			
11.66	3,172	5,554			
11.71	3,172	5,559			

Stage-Area-Storage for Pond 3P: UG-3 Retain It (2.0'H)

Drawdown Time Calculations

DRAWDOWN TIME

Below are the drawdown time calculations for the recharge system proposed on the site. The calculation uses an estimated hydraulic conductivity value "K" of 1.02 inches per hour to be conservative. The predominate soil classification found on the site from the NRCS soil data is Urban Land with 0 to 3% slopes. Based on the borings & lab tests, the in-situ soils were found to be sand to sandy loam, likely to infiltrate water, and exhibited a groundwater elevation of 8'. Due to the available NRCS soil data and boring information, an HSG "B" designation was used in stormwater calculations and therefore a K value of 1.02 was utilized.

The formula below is the recommended method of calculating drawdown times from the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Handbook

DRAWDOWN TIME CALCULATION

$$Time_{drawdown} = \frac{Rv}{(K)(Bottom Area)}$$

Where:

Rv = Storage Volume K = Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity, Rawls Rate *Bottom Area* = Bottom Area of Recharge Structure

See the following Drawdown Calculation table for infiltration rates, bottom area, and drawdown times.

Drawdown Calculation

Recharge BMP	Infiltration Rate (in/hr) k	Storage Volume (c.f.) Rv	Bottom Area (s.f.)	Draw Down Time (hrs.)
UG-1	1.02	3,933	2,340	19.8
UG-2	1.02	2,391	1,508	18.7
UG-3	1.02	4,278	3,172	15.9

Conclusion:

The calculations show that the infiltration BMP draws down in less than 72 hours, as required.

Illicit Discharge Statement

ILLICIT DISCHARGE COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

Standard 10: Massachusetts Stormwater Standards Handbook

Illicit discharges are defined as discharges into waters of the State or municipal separate stormwater system (MS4) that are not entirely comprised of stormwater. Exclusions for non-stormwater discharges into drainage systems include activities or facilities for firefighting, water line flushing, landscape irrigation, uncontaminated groundwater discharge, potable water sources, foundation drains, air conditioning condensation, footing drains, individual resident car washing, water used to clean residential buildings without detergents, water used for street washing, and flows from riparian habitats/wetlands. These exclusions are subject to change and are under the discretion of the local governing authority.

To the best of our knowledge and professional belief no illicit discharges to the stormwater system, surface waters, or wetland resource areas will remain on the site after construction. We will agree to implement a pollution prevention plan to prevent illicit discharges into the stormwater management system. The design of the site based on the plans prepared by CHA, 141 Longwater Drive, Suite 104, Norwell, Massachusetts show a separation and no direct connection between the stormwater management systems and the wastewater and/ or groundwater on the site. To the maximum extent practicable, the design prevents entry of illicit discharges into the stormwater management system.

Engineer's Name: Kelly Killeen, P. E (please print)	\bigcirc .
Engineer's Signature:	Date: 1.31.20
Company: CHA	

Section 5.0

Stormwater Checklist



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.¹ This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8²
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

¹ The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

² For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.

Note: Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Longterm Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



Checklist

Project Type: Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

New development

Redevelopment

Mix of New Development and Redevelopment



LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

\boxtimes	No disturbance to any We	etland Resource Areas
	Site Design Practices (e.g	g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
\boxtimes	Reduced Impervious Area	a (Redevelopment Only)
	Minimizing disturbance to	existing trees and shrubs
	LID Site Design Credit Re	equested:
	Credit 1	
	Credit 2	
	Credit 3	
	Use of "country drainage"	versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
	Bioretention Cells (include	es Rain Gardens)
	Constructed Stormwater	Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
	Treebox Filter	
	Water Quality Swale	
	Grass Channel	
	Green Roof	
\square	Other (describe): $\frac{3}{2}$	Subsurface Drainage Systems

Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

- No new untreated discharges
- Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

- Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.
- Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.

Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed predevelopment rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24hour storm.

Standard 3: Recharge

X Soil Analysis provided	ed.
--------------------------	-----

- Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.
- Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.

🛛 Static	
----------	--

Dynamic Field¹

 \boxtimes Runoff from all impervious areas at the site discharging to the infiltration BMP.

Simple Dynamic

- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume *only* to the maximum extent practicable for the following reason:
 - Site is comprised solely of C and D soils and/or bedrock at the land surface
 - M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000
 - Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000
 - Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.
- \boxtimes Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.

Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

¹ 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.

Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

Standard 4: Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
- Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
- Vehicle washing controls;
- Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
- Spill prevention and response plans;
- Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
- Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
- Pet waste management provisions;
- Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
- Provisions for solid waste management;
- Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
- Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
- Street sweeping schedules;
- Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
- Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
- Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
- List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.
- ☐ Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:
 - is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
 - is near or to other critical areas
 - is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
 - involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.
- The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

Standard 4: Water Quality (continued)
The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:
☐ The ½" or 1" Water Quality Volume or
The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.
☐ The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs.
A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.
Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)
 The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report. The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit secure the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted price.
to the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.
The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does <i>not</i> cover the land use.
LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.
All exposure has been eliminated.
All exposure has <i>not</i> been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.
☐ The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.
Standard 6: Critical Areas
The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.
Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

- The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:
 - Limited Project
 - Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
 - Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
 - Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
 - Bike Path and/or Foot Path
 - Redevelopment Project
 - Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.
- Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.
- The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
- Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
- Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
- Vegetation Planning;
- Site Development Plan;
- Construction Sequencing Plan;
- Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Inspection Schedule;
- Maintenance Schedule;
- Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.


Checklist (continued)

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued)

- ☐ The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has *not* been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted *before* land disturbance begins.
- The project is *not* covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

- The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:
 - Name of the stormwater management system owners;
 - Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
 - Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
 - Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
 - Description and delineation of public safety features;
 - Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
 - Operation and Maintenance Log Form.
- The responsible party is *not* the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:
 - A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
 - A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

- The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;
- An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;
- NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted *prior to* the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.

Appendix A

Soils



USDA United States Department of Agriculture

> Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, **Massachusetts**



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map (Herb Chambers Honda in Boston)	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend (Herb Chambers Honda in Boston)	11
Map Unit Descriptions (Herb Chambers Honda in Boston)	11
Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts	13
603—Urban land, wet substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes	13
References	14

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map (Herb Chambers Honda in Boston)



	MAP L	EGEND)	MAP INFORMATION
Area of In	terest (AOI)		Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
	Area of Interest (AOI)	٥	Stony Spot	1:25,000.
Soils		۵	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale
	Soll Map Unit Polygons	Ŷ	Wet Spot	
\sim	Soil Map Unit Lines	Å	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special	Blowout	Water Features		contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
Ø	Borrow Pit	\sim	Streams and Canals	
	Clay Spot	Transpor	tation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
~	Closed Depression	••••	Rails	measurements.
Ň	Gravel Pit	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
4 ⁷ 2	Gravelly Spot	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
		\sim	Major Roads	
@		\approx	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
Λ.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	ind	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
خلله	Marsh or swamp	Mar.	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
Ŕ	Mine or Quarry			
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.
\vee	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 15, Sep 12, 2019
° ° °	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.
0	Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 11, 2019—Oct 5.
	Slide or Slip			2019
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend (Herb Chambers Honda in Boston)

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
603	Urban land, wet substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes	3.8	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest	·	3.8	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions (Herb Chambers Honda in Boston)

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The

delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts

603—Urban land, wet substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: vkyl Mean annual precipitation: 32 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 200 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Urban land: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Urban Land

Setting

Parent material: Excavated and filled land over herbaceous organic material and/or alluvium and/or marine deposits

Minor Components

Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 13 percent *Hydric soil rating:* Unranked

Beaches

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: Unranked

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

www.haleyaldrich.com



REPORT ON PROPOSED HERB CHAMBERS HONDA 720 MORRISSEY BOULEVARD DORCHESTER, MASSACHUSETTS

by Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Boston, Massachusetts

for The Herb Chambers Companies Somerville, Massachusetts

File No. 134133-004 January 2020





HALEY & ALDRICH, INC. 465 Medford Street, Suite 2200 Boston, MA 02129 617.886.7400

29 January 2020 File No. 134133-004

The Herb Chambers Companies 259 McGrath Highway Somerville, Massachusetts 02145

Attention:	Mr. John Welch Director of Construction & Facilities
Subject:	Geotechnical Design Investigation and Recommendations Proposed Herb Chambers Honda, 720 Morrissey Boulevard Dorchester, Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Welch:

This report presents the results of subsurface investigations and provides geotechnical design and construction recommendations for the proposed Herb Chambers Honda dealership. The work summarized herein was conducted in accordance with our proposal dated 27 November 2019 and your subsequent authorization.

The site is underlain by thick undocumented Fill and compressible Organic Deposits, and building loads are recommended to be transmitted through these materials to underlying suitable bearing soils. The subsurface conditions are favorable for the proposed building to be supported on pressure injected footings (PIFs) bearing in naturally deposited, inorganic, granular soils. Suitable bearing soils were encountered at depths between 17 and 43 ft below existing site grades within the proposed building footprint. The lowest level floor should be constructed as a structural slab supported by the PIFs.

Thank you for the opportunity to continue to serve The Herb Chambers Companies during the design phase of this project. We look forward to assisting you in achieving an efficient and successful construction.

Sincerely yours, HALEY & ALDRICH, INC.

Lucas P. Townsend, E.I.T. Engineer

Brett R. Grunert, P.E. (MA) Project Manager

Joel S. Mooney, P.E. (MA), LSP Principal | Senior Vice President

 $\label{eq:constraint} Enclosures $$ \end{tabular} \label{eq:constraint} $$ \end{tabular} $$ \end{tabular}$



HALEY & ALDRICH, INC. 465 MEDFORD ST. SUITE 2200 BOSTON, MA 02129 617.886.7400

SIGNATURE PAGE FOR

REPORT ON PROPOSED HERB CHAMBERS HONDA 720 MORRISSEY BOULEVARD DORCHESTER, MASSACHUSETTS

PREPARED FOR

THE HERB CHAMBERS COMPANIES SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS

PREPARED BY:

ounsum

Lucas P. Townsend, E.I.T. Engineer Haley & Aldrich, Inc.

REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY:

Brett R. Grunert, P.E. (MA) Project Manager Haley & Aldrich, Inc.

Joel S. Mooney, P.E. (MA), LSP Principal | Senior Vice President Haley & Aldrich, Inc.

List List	of Tab of Figu	les ires	iii iii
1.	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
	1.2	ELEVATION AND DATUM	1
	1.3	EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS	1
	1.4	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	2
2.	Subs	surface Exploration and Laboratory Testing	3
	2.1	TEST BORINGS	3
	2.2	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING	3
3.	Subs	surface Conditions	4
	3.1	SOIL CONDITIONS	4
	3.2	GROUNDWATER	4
4.	Geo	technical Design Recommendations	5
	4.1	GENERAL	5
	4.2	BUILDING FOUNDATIONS	5
	4.3	LOWEST LEVEL FLOOR	7
	4.4	FLOOD POTENTIAL AND DESIGN GROUNDWATER LEVELS	7
	4.5	GROUNDWATER CONTROL, WATERPROOFING, AND DAMP-PROOFING	7
	4.6	SEISMIC DESIGN	9
	4.7	LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES ON BELOW-GRADE FOUNDATION WALLS	10
	4.8		10
	4.9	4.9.1 Utilities and Site Eacilities	10
		4.9.1 Others and site radiates 4.9.2 Building Egress Transitions	11
		4.9.3 Stormwater Infiltration Systems	11
5.	Con	struction Considerations	12
	5.1	GENERAL	12
	5.2	EARTHWORK	12
	5.3	PROPERTY LINE CONSTRUCTION AND EXISTING FOUNDATIONS	12
	5.4	PIF INSTALLATION	13
	5.5	EFFECTS ON SURROUNDING BUILDINGS AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS	13
	5.6	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING	14
	5.7	PREPARATION AND PROTECTION OF BEARING SURFACES	14



Table of Contents

7.	Limi	tations	20	
	6.2	SUBMITTAL, SPECIFICATION, AND PLAN REVIEW	19	
	6.1	PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	19	
6.	Other Recommendations			
	5.13	CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION	18	
	5.12	EXCAVATED SOIL MANAGEMENT	18	
	5.11	EARTHWORK DURING FREEZING WEATHER	17	
	5.10	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION SLOPES	17	
		5.9.3 Reuse of Excavated Materials	17	
		5.9.2 Common Fill	16	
		5.9.1 Compacted Granular Fill	16	
	5.9	BACKFILL MATERIALS	16	
	5.8	FILLING AND BACKFILLING	15	
		5.7.3 Utilities	15	
		5.7.2 Pavements	15	
		5.7.1 Pile Caps, Grade Beams, and Slabs	14	

Tables Figures Appendix A – Logs of Test Borings Appendix B – Grain Size Test Results



Page

List of Tables

Table No.	Title
I	Summary of Subsurface Data

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title
1	Project Locus
2	Site and Subsurface Exploration Location Plan
3	NRCS Soil Texture Triangle



1. Introduction

This report describes site and subsurface conditions and provides geotechnical design and construction recommendations for the proposed Honda dealership redevelopment project located at 720 Morrissey Boulevard, Dorchester, Massachusetts (MA). The general site location is shown on Figure 1, Project Locus.

1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The investigation reported herein was undertaken to obtain information on site subsurface soil conditions and groundwater levels, develop geotechnical design and construction recommendations for the proposed building, and to assist design of a planned stormwater management system(s). The scope of the investigation included the following activities:

- collect and review readily-available information on subsurface soil conditions and groundwater levels in the site vicinity, from Haley & Aldrich, Inc. (Haley & Aldrich) files, reports provided to Haley & Aldrich, and published geologic maps;
- plan and implement geotechnical subsurface exploration programs;
- perform laboratory grain-size analyses on selected soil samples recovered from explorations;
- support the stormwater infiltration design by the site Civil Engineer (CHA);
- perform geotechnical engineering evaluations, and develop geotechnical engineering recommendations; and,
- prepare this geotechnical engineering report.

1.2 ELEVATION AND DATUM

Elevations reported herein are in feet and reference Boston City Base datum (BCB).

1.3 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

The proposed development is located at 720 Morrissey Boulevard, Dorchester, Massachusetts. A singlestory building with an approximate footprint of 28,400 square feet (sf), which is the site current Herb Chambers Honda dealership and formerly a J.D. Byrider dealership, is located in the approximate center of the site. We understand that the existing building has no below grade space. The type of foundation system of the building is unknown. The remaining portion of the site is an asphalt parking lot with top of pavement ranging from approximately El. 15 to El. 17.

According to EBI Consulting's Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment dated August 2018, a portion of the Tenean Creek, a tributary to the Neponset River, historically ran through the southern portion of the site. The site was filled during the late 1950s and remained undeveloped until the existing automotive dealership and parking lot were built in 1967.

An approximately 12 ft by 12 ft sewer outfall conduit is present near the southern boundary of the site. Based on the plan entitled "12'x12' Culvert_E-2539C.pdf" provided to Haley & Aldrich on 9 December



2019, we understand the conduit is supported by bents spaced 4 ft center to center, with 5 piles supporting each bent. The average pile is length is 31 ft.

The existing building is serviced from its eastern side by two water lines that tie in into Boston Water & Sewer Commission (BWSC) main in Freeport Street and a sewer line that ties into the BWSC main in Victory Road. The eastern side of the existing building also has an underground gas service, electric line, and two overhead utility lines that all are serviced from Freeport Street. The parking lot storm drain and building's roof drain tie into a drain line that surrounds the building. Multiple storm drain catch basins are present in the parking lot.

1.4 PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Our current understanding of the project is based on the Project Notification Form (PNF) for the project dated 24 September 2019 and discussions with project design team members. Herb Chambers Companies is planning to demolish the existing automobile dealership at 710 - 720 Morrissey Blvd and construct a new, four-story Honda dealership with a footprint of approximately 28,400 square feet. No below-grade space is planned. The new building will be positioned in the southern portion of the site, overlapping approximately half of the existing building's area, as shown in Figure 2. Future site grades may be raised on the order of one foot. Existing signs will be maintained such that new sign foundations are not required. A new stormwater management system will be installed below future pavement on the north portion of the site, and new utilites will generally be installed to the east, west, and north of the proposed building.

The proposed building ground floor will be finished at approximately El. 16.67 BCB. Based on 8 January 2020 correspondence with Flood Consulting (project structural engineer), column loads are anticipated to be on the order of 500 to 600 kips, while perimeter wall loads are anticipated to be around 1 kip per linear foot (klf).



2. Subsurface Exploration and Laboratory Testing

2.1 TEST BORINGS

Fifteen test borings (B-1 through B-16, omitting B-13) were conducted to depths of 31 to 66 ft by Haley & Aldrich at accessible site locations between 18 December 2019 and 8 January 2020. Standard Penetration Tests (ASTM D-1568) were typically performed continuously from ground surface until naturally deposited organic soils were encountered, and at 5-ft depth intervals thereafter.

Groundwater observation wells were installed in two of the completed boreholes (B-10 and B-14).

As-drilled locations and ground surface elevations at the test borings were taped or estimated by Haley & Aldrich relative to site features and topographic information shown on Figure 2. Test boring logs and observation well installation records are provided in Appendix A.

2.2 GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory grain-size testing was conducted on soils samples obtained from the test borings to aid in soil classification, evaluate engineering properties, and assist stormwater design for the project. Results of the tests, conducted in general accordance with ASTM D6913 and/or ASTM D7928, are provided in Appendix B.

In general accordance with procedures in the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Stormwater Handbook, the results of the laboratory testing were also plotted on a soil texture triangle to aid classification for estimating soil water infiltration rates, as shown on Figure 3.



3. Subsurface Conditions

3.1 SOIL CONDITIONS

The test borings conducted by Haley & Aldrich encountered undocumented Fill ranging in thickness from 8 ft to 19 ft overlying Organic Deposits with a thickness of up to 31.8 ft. A layer of inorganic Alluvial Deposits was encountered within the Organic Deposits in B-7 and may be present at other boring locations. Marine Deposits, with a thickness of up to 20 ft, were typically encountered below the Organic Deposits. The Marine Deposits were highly variable in composition and stiffness/density, ranging from a very soft to stiff lean Clay to a very loose to medium dense Sand. Naturally deposited Glaciofluvial Deposits consisting of Glaciofluvial Sand with gravel and silty Sand underlie Marine and/or Organic Deposits.

The Fill and Organic soils are considered unsuitable for building foundation and slab support. The naturally-deposited Marine Deposits are the uppermost suitable foundation bearing strata. The approximate elevations and depths to the top of each strata, and their thicknesses are summarized in Table 1. Refer to the boring logs in Appendix A for additional information on the soil classifications.

3.2 GROUNDWATER

Measured depths to water in the wells installed by Haley & Aldrich located in the north portion of the site ranged from 7.7 to 8.9 ft below ground surface, corresponding to about El. 7.1 to El. 7.3.

Water was observed in the boreholes during drilling at depths ranging from 7.0 to 12.1 ft below ground surface, which likely did not represent stabilized groundwater levels due to the methods of drilling and the limited time available for water level stabilization.

Groundwater levels should be anticipated to fluctuate with season, precipitation, leakage into or out of utilities, and nearby construction activity. Accordingly, water levels during construction could differ from those reported herein.



4. Geotechnical Design Recommendations

4.1 GENERAL

This section provides geotechnical design recommendations for the proposed development, based on the available subsurface information and the proposed building configuration. In general, building foundations should be designed and constructed in accordance with the current Massachusetts State Building Code and applicable requirements of the American Concrete Institute (ACI). The recommendations provided herein are intended to be consistent with the 9th Edition of the Building Code (the "Code"), as amended.

4.2 BUILDING FOUNDATIONS

Pavements, landscape materials, undocumented Fill, Organic Deposits, and loose and/or compressible inorganic soils are not suitable foundation bearing materials. Building loads are recommended to be transmitted through these materials to underlying suitable bearing soils, or these materials must be modified to provide adequate support and desired performance of the planned development. Selection of the appropriate building foundation system should consider foundation performance requirements, structure loads, subsurface soil and groundwater conditions, constructability issues, and adjacent structures.

Based on the above considerations, a number of foundation types were evaluated and considered for use. Ultimately, we recommend that the proposed building columns, walls and other load-bearing elements be supported on Pressure Injected Footings (PIFs), also referred to as Enlarged Based Piles in the Code. Alternative foundation types which could be considered include prestressed precast concrete piles, drilled micropiles, or other proprietary pile foundations.

PIFs should be designed in accordance with the following recommendations:

- Design compression PIFs (cased shaft type) for a 50-ton allowable (55-ton ultimate to include a 5-ton allowance for downdrag) capacity in compression. Compression PIFs should be constructed with a permanent steel casing (18-gauge minimum shell) from pile cut-off to the PIF base.
- Design tension and lateral PIFs (uncased compacted zero-slump concrete type or uncased highslump concrete type) for a 50-ton allowable (55-ton ultimate to include a 5-ton allowance for downdrag) capacity in compression. Those PIFs that will be loaded in tension should be designed and installed to provide a tension capacity of 10 tons allowable (30-tons ultimate). Those PIFs that will be loaded laterally should be designed and installed to provide a lateral capacity of 5 tons at a maximum displacement of 0.75 in. (single PIF).
- PIF shafts should be 14-in. minimum diameter.
- The minimum center-to-center spacing of PIFs should be 42 in.
- PIFs should be designed to base out in suitable Marine Sands or the underlying Glacial Deposits, anticipated to typically be present at a depth of about 35 ft below ground surface or approximately El. -20. We note that the Marine Sands are typically overlain by Marine Clay and/or Silt, and the Contractor's attention is directed to the boring logs for additional



information. The specialty PIF contractor should review the subsurface information during preparation of its PIF submittals, and recommend base out elevations due to its interpretation of subsurface conditions for review by the Owner's Geotechnical Engineer. Other base out elevations (shallower or deeper) proposed by the specialty PIF contractor and accepted by the Geotechnical Engineer may be possible or required based on actual subsurface conditions observed during pre-drilling/pre-excavation at PIF caps, and drive tube resistance during driving.

- Install PIFs using a 21-in. diameter drive tube and a hammer imparting a minimum of 140 ft-kips of energy per blow unless otherwise proposed by the contractor and accepted by the Geotechnical Engineer. The blow count criteria following expulsion of the zero slump concrete (for each 5 cubic foot concrete batch volume) shall be as follows: 56 for Batch 1; 42 for Batch 2; 35 for Batch 3; and 30 for Batch 4 and beyond.
- Concrete mixes to construct PIF bases and shafts should be 4,500 psi (minimum) 28-day compressive strength concrete, and should conform to the requirements of the Building Code and ASTM C94-69. Concrete for PIF bases should be zero slump. Concrete for compression (cased) PIF shafts should have a slump between 4 and 7 in. Concrete for tension and lateral (uncased) PIF shafts should have either: zero slump for uncased compacted zero-slump concrete type PIFs; or 8 to 10 in. slump for uncased high-slump concrete type PIFs.
- PIFs should be reinforced for seismic, tension, and lateral loading in accordance with the Building Code. PIFs designed to resist tension and/or lateral loading should be sufficiently reinforced to maintain a connection between the shaft and base with an appropriate factor of safety.
- For PIFs subject to compression only: To prevent intrusion of soil and water into the PIF during concreting, we recommend PIF shafts be constructed with a permanent casing, consisting of corrugated metal pipe. The permanent metal casing should be fastened to the PIF base in such a manner that the two will not separate.
- We anticipate up to 1-in. total settlement for columns and walls supported on PIFs designed and constructed in accordance with the recommendations herein.
- The PIF design should be developed (to the extent possible) to allow for capacity modifications during PIF installation in the event that a PIF is not able to be installed at the design location or a reduced capacity PIF is required based on installation records.
- Prior to production PIF installation, a minimum of 10 indicator PIFs should be installed at production PIF locations proposed by the specialty PIF contractor and accepted by the Geotechnical Engineer to evaluate anticipated PIF lengths across the site and for selection of PIFs for static load testing. Indicator and production PIF installation and static load testing, if required by the Owner or building official, shall be observed in the field by the Geotechnical Engineer.
- If required, one successful static pile load test should be conducted at an indicator PIF location identified by the Geotechnical Engineer following completion of indicator PIF installation, and prior to production PIF installation. Load testing shall be conducted in general accordance with ASTM D1143 "Standard Test Method for Deep Foundations Under Static Axial Compressive Load", the Building Code, and the requirements of the Geotechnical Engineer (the more stringent shall apply).
- For frost protection, pile caps and grade beams should bear a minimum of 4 ft below the lowest adjacent ground surface exposed to freezing.



- In the event that an early site pre-clearing package is not conducted by the Demolition Contractor or General Contractor, the contractor is recommended to pre-clear obstructions in accordance with project specifications prior to PIF installation.
- A specialty PIF contractor retained by the General Contractor will be required to prepare PIF final design, installation, and load testing submittals (stamped by a MA Professional Engineer) for review and acceptance by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to PIF installation and load testing. Submittals will include (but not be limited to) installation means and methods, proposed final driving criteria, steel reinforcing requirements in the shaft and expanded base, and methods for static load testing.

4.3 LOWEST LEVEL FLOOR

The lowest level floor should be designed as a reinforced concrete structural slab supported on grade beams and pile caps supported by PIFs. Consideration should be given to the use of intermediate PIFs between column and wall caps to optimize slab design. The slab should be constructed on a 6-in. minimum thickness base course layer of free-draining Compacted Granular Fill placed over a stable, suitably proof-compacted subgrade consisting of existing site Fill soils. This base course layer will provide a capillary break from the underlying soils and serve as a working surface during subslab utility installation and slab construction.

As the finish floor slab elevation will be at or above the design groundwater level, permanent underslab or foundation drainage is not considered necessary. Waterproofing of the ground floor slab is also not considered necessary. However, we recommend a vapor barrier be installed beneath the new ground floor slabs for protection from potential subslab moisture as a prudent measure. We assume the type of vapor barrier, thickness, and other installation details will be provided by others on the design team.

From a geotechnical perspective, we recommend that a vapor retarder (e.g., minimum 10 mil HDPE) be provided below the slab-on-grade in occupied or conditioned spaces, to aid building humidity control, avoid potential problems if vapor-tight floor coverings are used, and avoid potential condensation on the floor surfaces. Detailed recommendations for vapor retarder design and installation are described in ACI 302.2R-06 "Guide for Concrete Slabs that Receive Moisture-Sensitive Flooring Materials". The slab concrete design and construction procedures should consider the impacts of the presence of a vapor retarder/barrier.

4.4 FLOOD POTENTIAL AND DESIGN GROUNDWATER LEVELS

Based on recent water level measurements in site observation wells, typical groundwater levels are anticipated to fluctuate between approximately El. 7 and El. 7.5 with occasional peaks above this range likely during storm events (i.e., non-flood events). It is our understanding that the FEMA flood elevation for this area is currently El. 16.5, which is above most of the existing site grades. Accordingly, we recommend a maximum groundwater level at El. 16.5 be assumed for design.

4.5 GROUNDWATER CONTROL, WATERPROOFING, AND DAMP-PROOFING

Given that the finished floor is located above the normal groundwater level and FEMA flood elevation, consideration could be given to the use of an underslab drain system as a conservative measure to



manage and maintain these short duration high groundwater levels below the slab. The drainage system would consist of the following:

- A 12-in. minimum thickness drainage fill layer consisting of washed 3/4-in. crushed stone placed immediately beneath the slab.
- A network of 6-in. diameter perforated PVC or perforated corrugated polyethylene drain pipes positioned mid-height within the drainage fill layer leading to one or more collection/sump locations. Pipes should be positioned immediately adjacent to (inside of) the perimeter wall as well as in a grid pattern below the entire slab. The underslab drain pipes should be configured to provide redundant gravity flow paths to the ejection point(s) from all points within the system drainage piping.
- A layer of 6-oz per sq yd non-woven geotextile, placed directly beneath the crushed stone.
- One or more mechanical sump(s) to collect and eject the water collected by the drainage system, provided with emergency back-up power and redundant pumps. We recommend that the sump pit(s) and pumps be designed to accommodate a typical flow rate of 0 to 15 gallons per minute (gpm) and a peak flow rate of 50 gpm. We recommend that the sump pit(s) be located along the foundation walls closest to the stormwater infiltration systems.
- Effluent from the underslab drainage system should be pumped to the site storm water infiltration system. The system should be designed to assure reliable building drainage system operation, even if the municipal or site storm drainage systems are surcharged, and should include backflow preventers on the discharge pipes connecting the sump pits to the storm drain system.

The use of this underdrain system will not protect the lowest level floor from flooding during flood events. If the underdrain is not provided, consideration could be given to providing weep holes through the slab to preclude the buildup of hydrostatic pressures under the slab.

Surface runoff should be directed away from the building. In general, the ground surface within 8 ft immediately around the building should be sloped downward away from the structure to divert surface runoff in accordance with the Code. Stormwater runoff from roof drains should be directed in such a manner as to not surcharge the underslab drainage system. In general, the underslab drainage system should be isolated from the site stormwater management system to avoid compromising the underslab system during normal storm events (e.g.; there should not be a direct connection of the stormwater infiltration system to the crushed stone layer for the underslab drain system).

Waterproofing and joint waterstops should be applied to the foundation walls up to the higher of ground surface or El. 16.5.

Waterproofing of the ground floor slabs is not considered necessary, however, below-slab pits (e.g.; elevator pits) should be waterproofed and designed to resist hydrostatic uplift equivalent to the elevation of the adjacent floor level.

Other details of the subsurface drain systems should be established during final design, coordinating with foundation and underslab utility configurations, site stormwater infiltration design, and other aspects of the project design.



We note that the recommendations provided herein for subsurface drainage are not intended to be "fully" protective of the lowest building floor and other site improvements should the site or immediately surrounding area experience surficial flooding or water levels above about El. 15.

We recommend that the final design and configuration of the geotechnical aspects of the systems described above be reviewed by Haley & Aldrich prior to implementation.

4.6 SEISMIC DESIGN

Based on the test boring data, and in accordance with the criteria in the Code (Article 1806.4), the site soils are not considered susceptible to liquefaction. Applicable seismic design criteria, in accordance with the Code (Article 1604), are as follows:

- Seismic Site Class = E
- S_s = 0.29
- S₁ = 0.068
- F_a = 2.5
- F_v = 3.5

4.7 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES ON BELOW-GRADE FOUNDATION WALLS

Building foundation walls serving as retaining walls should be designed in accordance with the lateral pressures listed below for the permanent condition. These recommendations assume that the ground surface behind the wall is inclined no steeper than 4H:1V. The height of the wall (H) is defined as the height of the retained earth behind the wall. However, elevator pits and other slab depressions in the building should be waterproofed and designed (as applicable) for hydrostatic pressures under the design flood level.

For seismic loading conditions, walls should be designed to resist static plus seismic earth pressures. Surcharge loading does not need to be considered for seismic design unless the surcharge will be applied over an extended time.

- <u>Static Earth</u>: Calculate pressures using an equivalent fluid unit weight equal to 95 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) per foot for restrained walls (braced at the top and bottom), and 85 pcf for unrestrained walls (unbraced at the top).
- <u>Seismic Earth</u>: Calculate in accordance with the Code (Article 1610.2) using a total soil unit weight (γ t) of 125 pcf.
- <u>Surcharge</u>: Uniform pressure applied from the elevation of the surcharge to the bottom of the foundation element with a magnitude of 0.5q where q is the vertical surcharge load, uniformly distributed over the height of the wall for restrained and unrestrained walls, respectively.

Foundation walls designed as retaining walls should be designed for a factor of safety of 1.5 against sliding and overturning under static loading conditions and 1.2 under seismic loading conditions. Passive soil pressure should not be included as a resisting force.



4.8 LATERAL LOAD RESISTANCE

Lateral loads on the structure can be resisted at the foundation level by passive soil pressures on the sides of pile caps and grade beams. The following recommendation considers the lateral load resistance due to passive soil pressure. The net (passive minus active) resistance provided by the fill surrounding the pile caps and grade beams can be estimated using an equivalent fluid weight of 150 pcf. This value assumes that granular backfill is systematically compacted in lifts within 5 ft laterally all-around the below grade element. The top of the assumed passive zone should be 6-in. below the top of the adjacent backfill or soil surface.

If the horizontal distance between nearby caps or between grade beams is less than twice the height of subject structural element, the passive pressure should be discounted proportionately to the distance (full pressure at twice the height away) to accommodate interaction of the elements.

4.9 OTHER SITE DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

4.9.1 Utilities and Site Facilities

Due to the limited (less than one foot) change, subslab utilities may be soil-supported (with suitable bedding) bearing on existing inorganic Fill soils that are stable under proof-compaction or on Compacted Granular Fill placed following the removal of exposed unsuitable bearing materials, as applicable. If encountered, remnants of former buildings, debris, and other obstructions should be removed to at least 24-in. below utility invert elevations to limit "hard" spots and potential cracking of utilities. Utilities that penetrate through garage foundation walls should pass through over-sized holes or boxouts in the foundation wall.

Due to the thicknesses of undocumented Fill and Organic Deposits, differential settlement could occur between soil-supported utilities and the PIF-supported building, between utilities in areas where Fill soils are densified and adjacent "unimproved" ground, or at the points where they connect or transition. Utilities should be designed to accommodate differential settlements under such conditions. In particular, utilities that penetrate through foundation walls should pass through over-sized holes or boxouts. Provisions should be made so that utility connections to buildings are flexible and can accommodate potential differential settlement between the "rigid" structure and the soil-supported utility.

In certain applications, it may be technically feasible and cost-effective to mitigate potential future settlement of utilities by placing lightweight fill (LWF) in lieu of earthen backfill. An example of LWF is rotary kiln dried expanded shale aggregate manufactured by Norlite Corporation, Cohoes, NY, 3/8-in. aggregate size, having an in-place compacted dry unit weight between 45 pcf and 60 pcf. Use of LWF should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, as it is typically much more expensive than soil or rock fill.

We recommend that potential differential settlement of utilities and its mitigation be on a case-by-case basis based on site location, final site grading and utility design, and planned mitigation and construction sequencing. Similarly, support requirements for large transformers, tanks, and similar heavy or settlement-sensitive structures should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.



4.9.2 Building Egress Transitions

Due to the potential for differential settlements between the site and the PIF-supported buildings we recommend that slabs/sidewalks at building egress points and other settlement-sensitive locations be designed as reinforced concrete structural "approach" slabs having one end supported on the structure (e.g.; shelf cast into foundation wall) and the other end supported on the ground at a sufficient distance from the structure to provide a suitable transition (providing suitable slope, compliant with ADA requirements, etc.). The support point at the building should allow for rotation, but not translation, of the slab.

4.9.3 Stormwater Infiltration Systems

To assist stormwater design for the project, laboratory grain-size analyses were performed on soil samples obtained at elevation intervals intended to correspond with the bottom of proposed stormwater management systems. In accordance with procedures in the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Stormwater Handbook, the laboratory results were plotted on a soil texture triangle to aid classification for correlation to water infiltration rates (see attached Figure 3). In accordance with U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil physical analyses, the soil fractions are classified as sand to sandy loam.

The presence of soil redoximorphic features or other indicators of seasonal high water were generally not detected in examinations of the test boring soil samples. Based on documented depths to groundwater in on-site observation wells, and our observations and experience, we recommend a seasonal high-water level of El. 8.0 be used for design of the proposed stormwater management system(s). These features should be expected to be inundated during a flood event.

From a support perspective, the infiltration systems may be soil-supported in the existing Fill. Any weak or unstable soils, if present at the excavation subgrade level, should be over excavated at least 12-in. below the design subgrade level and replaced with $\frac{3}{4}$ -in. crushed stone or compacted Granular Fill.


5. Construction Considerations

5.1 GENERAL

This section of the report includes comments on items related to excavation, dewatering, lateral support, foundation construction, earthwork, and related geotechnical engineering aspects of the proposed construction. This section is written primarily for engineers responsible for preparation of plans and specifications. Since this section identifies potential construction problems related to foundations and earthwork, it will also aid personnel who monitor the construction activity.

Prospective contractors for the project must evaluate potential construction issues on the basis of their own knowledge and experience and taking into account their own proposed construction methods and procedures.

In addition to the construction guidelines and recommendations made herein, construction activities should conform to the requirements of OSHA and applicable local, State, and Federal regulatory agencies.

5.2 EARTHWORK

Excavations to construct pile caps and for removal of existing building foundations will be required and are anticipated mostly in the near surface Fill and Organic Deposits. Significant excavation of Fill soils in the existing building area may be required to clear obstructions ahead of PIF installation. Some oversized materials and obstructions should be anticipated to be encountered in the Fill. It appears feasible to use conventional construction equipment for general excavation and for removal of existing building foundations. Segregation of excavated Fill will be necessary to enable on-site reuse of existing soils considered suitable as an alternative to Compacted Granular Fill.

Support and protection of existing of utilities, existing to remain in service or proposed, should be reviewed by the earthwork contractor based on its specific excavation plan and the general contractor/ construction manager relative to its construction staging and traffic control plan. The contractor may elect to install temporary excavation support systems, use trench boxes, or take other measures to provide access and protection of nearby facilities.

5.3 PROPERTY LINE CONSTRUCTION AND EXISTING FOUNDATIONS

Existing building foundations and other below-grade structures and utilities will need to be removed (in their entirety or partially) when in direct conflict with new foundations or other construction.

Obstructions to PIF design locations, such as from the foundations of former structures or the existing building, may require removal. If difficult driving is encountered as a result of obstructions buried in the Fill and Organic Deposits, local excavations (up to depths of about 10 to 15 ft) to identify and remove the obstructions may be required; alternatively, it may be possible to reconfigure/relocate the PIF. Predrilling is not anticipated to be needed.

In general, where encountered and determined in conflict with new foundations (e.g.; pile caps, grade beams, and floor slabs), existing foundations and other structures should be removed to no less than 2 ft



below the bottoms of new pile caps, grade beams, and underside of new slabs. Removal at other locations should be decided on a case-by-case basis.

5.4 PIF INSTALLATION

The schedule and sequence of PIF installation should be established in a manner that can accommodate adjustments that maybe necessary during PIF installation such as PIF relocation or additional PIFs should the contractor's installation not achieve the specified capacity. Additionally, PIF installations should be sequenced to start with those PIFs furthest from vibration and/or settlement sensitive structures to enable observation of such structures as PIF installations advance closer.

PIF installations will cause noise and vibrations that disturb people, computers, and other sensitive receptors and may become a nuisance to the adjacent businesses. It is recommended that neighboring businesses be informed of the PIF installation schedule prior to construction. Mitigation measures (e.g.; designated hours for PIF driving activities, relocations, vibration isolation tables), if any, should be planned prior to construction to reduce possible delays during construction.

Installation of PIFs will cause vibrations that can impact freshly placed concrete. It is recommended that vibration monitoring be conducted during construction to develop a correlation between vibrations and distance. Initially (until that correlation is formed) it is recommended that PIF vibration generating activities (e.g.; PIF driving) should not be conducted within 100 ft of fresh concrete (for PIF shafts or pile caps) that is less than 24 hours old. In addition, PIF vibration generating activities shall not be conducted within 50 ft of fresh concrete that is less than 48 hours old.

Concrete for cased shaft PIFs should not be placed until all PIFs within a radius of 20 ft have been accepted by the Geotechnical Engineer and should only be placed in shafts that are free of water and solid matter. PIFs located less than 9 ft from a completed uncased high-slump shaft should not be installed until at least 12 hours after shaft pour.

5.5 EFFECTS ON SURROUNDING BUILDINGS AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

The construction activities include traffic, staging work, and operation of heavy equipment. Typically, construction activities generate noise, dust, vibrations, and odors.

Installation of PIFs for the building foundations generate vibrations that will be noticed 100 ft or greater from the source. We recommend that a monitoring program should be performed prior to and during construction on utilities and structures of concern. Performance criteria should be incorporated into the Contract Documents, and monitoring should be undertaken during construction to document conformance with the criteria and off-site impacts. The Contractor should coordinate with the Owner's Representative to allow access to monitoring points and instrumentation devices and to establish power for the vibration monitoring devices.

It is recommended that the program include:

- Pre-construction condition surveys (video/photo documentation) of utilities and structures of concern, streets, and sidewalks adjacent to the Site;
- Vibration monitoring (with engineering seismographs) at the Site perimeter; and



• Survey reference points to measure vertical movements of adjacent utilities, structures, and streets within 100 ft of planned PIF installation.

5.6 TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING

Final excavation, subgrade preparation, filling, foundation construction, and utility construction should be conducted "in the dry". Much of the earthwork and excavations for the project are anticipated to be above the groundwater level. As such, temporary construction dewatering activities in these shallow local excavation areas are anticipated to be minor, and largely related to control of precipitation that falls on excavations and surface water runoff into excavations. We anticipate that dewatering in these areas can be accomplished by open pumping from sumps, temporary ditches, and trenches within and around excavations. Dewatering should be performed in a manner that avoids pumping of fine-grained soils.

Dewatering effluent must be discharged in accordance with all regulatory requirements. We anticipate that most or all dewatering effluent can likely be recharged into the ground on-site, which could be a more economical approach than off-site discharge.

If on-site recharge is not logistically feasible, or not desirable for environmental reasons, the project will need to obtain a temporary construction dewatering permit to facilitate discharge of effluent to an approved municipal system and/or water body. Effluent discharged directly to municipal systems is subject to regulatory requirements including discharge permitting. Typically, sedimentation and pH control will be required prior to off-site discharge of construction dewatering effluent in addition to possible treatment for other constituents if indicated by groundwater quality test data.

5.7 PREPARATION AND PROTECTION OF BEARING SURFACES

5.7.1 Pile Caps, Grade Beams, and Slabs

Excavation for Pile caps, grade beams, and slabs should be conducted in a manner that minimizes disturbance to the subgrade surfaces. Final excavations should be made with equipment having a smooth-edged bucket. Subgrades should be observed in the field by a representative of the Owner's Geotechnical Engineer to confirm their suitability prior to placement of reinforcement or concrete.

Subgrades in the materials anticipated will readily soften and be susceptible to disturbance from construction activities, particularly during cold and wet weather. To avoid this disturbance, the contractor should form and pour concrete as soon as practical after excavation.

Subgrades should be proof-compacted with a minimum of six passes of suitably sized vibratory compaction equipment until observed to be firm and stable by a representative of the Owner's Geotechnical Engineer. Compaction should be discontinued if disturbance occurs due to the presence of water as evidenced by subgrade weaving or "pumping" of water up through the subgrade soil, and judgments about further subgrade preparation should be made by the Geotechnical Engineer on a case-by-case basis.

Care should be taken to prevent surface water from accumulating on exposed subgrades. Worker and equipment traffic over subgrades should be minimized. Subgrades below completed pile caps and grade beams must be protected against freezing, before and after foundation construction.



5.7.2 Pavements

Pavement sections will largely be constructed over new fills placed as part of the site grade raise. These new fills will be placed over existing Fill soils. In these areas, the existing Fill should be proof compacted with at least six passes of a heavy drum vibratory roller (25,000 lbs dynamic force) prior to placement of fill. Common Fill or Compacted Granular Fill should then be placed and compacted in lifts to reach the proposed pavement subbase subgrade. This subgrade should then be proof-rolled with at least six passes of a fully loaded 10-wheel dump truck under the observation of a representative of the Owner's Geotechnical Engineer.

In areas where cuts are required to reach the subbase subgrade, the exposed subgrade should be proofcompacted with at least six passes of a heavy drum vibratory roller (25,000 lbs dynamic force) followed by at least six passes of a fully loaded 10-wheel dump truck under the observation of a representative of the Owner's Geotechnical Engineer.

Soft or weaving areas observed during proof-compaction and/or proof-rolling should be excavated to firm material or to a maximum depth of 18-in. below the pavement subbase subgrade and replaced with compacted lifts of Common Fill. If encountered, obstructions (e.g.; former building foundations, debris, cobbles, boulders) should be removed to at least 2 ft below pavement subbase elevations to limit "hard" spots and potential reflective cracking in the finished pavement surface.

5.7.3 Utilities

Existing Fill soils will be encountered at utility subgrade elevations. Utility trench subgrades should be proof-compacted with at least four coverages of hand-guided vibratory compaction equipment delivering a minimum 5,000 ft-lb dynamic force. Soft or weaving material observed during proof-compaction should be removed and replaced with Compacted Granular Fill or approved Common Fill. Utilities may be soil-supported with bedding materials as recommended by pipe manufacturers or regulatory agencies having jurisdiction over such installations. Crushed Stone should be separated from surrounding soils with Filter Fabric.

If encountered, obstructions (e.g.; former building foundations, debris, cobbles, boulders) should be removed to at least 2 ft below utility inverts to limit "hard" spots and potential misalignment or cracking of utilities.

5.8 FILLING AND BACKFILLING

For reinforced concrete structural slabs supported on the PIF foundations, fill or backfill should consist of a minimum 6-in thickness of Granular Fill or Common Fill that creates a subgrade suitable for placement of the structural slab. Within the zone of influence for pile caps and grade beams, excavations should be backfilled with compacted Granular Fill.

On-site materials meeting geotechnical and environmental requirements (determined by others) should be re-used for backfilling to the extent possible. The Contractor should be aware that the on-site soils may be very difficult to work with, particularly in periods of precipitation and in cold weather. Care should be taken to protect materials stockpiled for on-site reuse from moisture and other adverse conditions. Existing Fill may also have an intermittent, high percentage of debris and/or organic soils. Organic Soils and debris should not be used as backfill material.



Compacted fills should be placed in lift thicknesses not exceeding 12-in. in loose measure when compacted using self-propelled vibratory rollers imparting a dynamic force of at least 25,000 lbs. In confined areas, hand-guided equipment such as a large vibratory plate compactor imparting a dynamic force of at least 5,000 lbs should be used and the loose lift thickness should not exceed 6 in. A minimum of four systematic passes of the compaction equipment should be used to compact each lift. Cobbles or boulders having a size exceeding 2/3 of the loose lift thickness should be removed prior to compaction.

Moisture conditioning (i.e.; drying, watering, mixing, etc.) may be necessary to achieve proper compaction. Recommended compaction requirements are as follows:

Location	Minimum Compaction Requirements
Around pile caps, under slabs, against grade beams, and below grade walls	95%
Below pile-supported slabs and pile caps	92% or as necessary for a stable subgrade
Sidewalks, pavements	92% up to 3 ft below finished grade 95% in the upper 3 ft
Landscaped areas	Per the Landscape Architect

Minimum compaction requirements refer to percentages of the maximum dry density determined in accordance with ASTM D1557.

5.9 BACKFILL MATERIALS

5.9.1 Compacted Granular Fill

Compacted Granular Fill should consist of uncontaminated natural bank-run or processed sand and gravel, free of organic material, snow, ice, debris, recycled materials or other unsuitable materials and should be well-graded within the following limits:

U.S. Standard	Percent Finer
<u>Sieve Size</u>	<u>by Weight</u>
6 in. ⁽¹⁾	100
No. 4	30-80
No. 40	10-50
No. 200	0-8

⁽¹⁾ Maximum 3-in. size for fill placed within 6 in. of concrete slabs or pile caps, and within 3 ft of foundation walls.

5.9.2 Common Fill

Common Fill should consist of uncontaminated mineral sandy or gravelly soil, predominantly free from clay, organic matter, plastic, metal, wood, ice, snow, debris, or other deleterious material and should have the characteristic that it can be readily placed and compacted. Common Fill imported to the site



should have a maximum of 80 percent passing the No. 4 sieve and a maximum of 30 percent finer than the No. 200 sieve. The maximum particle size should be the smaller of 2/3 the lift thickness or 6 in. Silty Common Fill soils will require moisture control during placement and compaction.

5.9.3 Reuse of Excavated Materials

Excavated materials will predominantly consist of existing site Fill containing variable amounts of concrete, brick, wood, glass, coal/slag/cinders fragments/particles, and organic matter. We anticipate that the majority of the Fill would be suitable for reuse as Common Fill from a geotechnical standpoint.

Given the sometimes-elevated silt content, Fill can be difficult to impossible to compact when wet. Careful moisture control will be required to achieve satisfactory compaction, and wet materials will need to be dried prior to placement and compaction, which can result in delays particularly during relatively cold or wet weather. Silty site soils may not be able to be compacted properly during cold or wet weather. Screening and removal of oversized materials and deleterious debris (i.e.; foundations, metal, organics, refuse, etc.) will be necessary as well. Rainfall or melting snow can readily saturate stockpiled silty soils. Providing drainage from or covering a stockpile can help limit this potential problem. These silty soils will require considerable drying time if left in an unprotected stockpile.

Final determination of suitability for reuse of on-site materials will be made when the materials are exposed during excavation. Reuse of on-site materials within the building or below pavements should be observed and documented in the field by the Geotechnical Engineer's representative.

5.10 TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION SLOPES

Where space permits, temporary cut slopes up to about 10 ft high (and above groundwater) in the Fill soils should be stable if excavated no steeper than about 1.5H:1V; and protected from erosion due to surface water runoff, precipitation, seepage breakout, and freeze/ thaw. Some sloughing, raveling and wash-out should be anticipated in temporary earth slopes, especially during periods of wet weather. Temporary excavation slopes should be constructed to comply with OSHA and other applicable regulations.

Localized means of earth retention (e.g., timber shoring, trench boxes) may need to be considered in areas of deep utility trenches and adjacent/close to existing streets and utilities to avoid possible undermining.

5.11 EARTHWORK DURING FREEZING WEATHER

Precautions should be taken if work takes place while temperatures are below freezing. Frozen soil or soil containing snow or ice should not be used as compacted fill. Placement of compacted fills should not be conducted when air temperatures are low enough (approximately 30°F, or below) to cause freezing of the moisture in the fill during or before placement.

Fill materials should not be placed on water, snow, ice, or frozen soil. No fill should be allowed to freeze prior to compaction. At the end of each day's operations, the last lift of fill, after compaction, should be rolled by a smooth-wheeled roller to eliminate ridges of uncompacted soil. Silty soils are susceptible to disturbance by freezing, especially in the presence of water and traffic.



Soil bearing surfaces below completed pile caps, grade beams, and slabs must be protected against freezing before and after foundation construction. If construction is performed during freezing weather, pile caps and grade beams should be backfilled to a sufficient depth (up to 4 ft) as soon as possible after they are constructed. Alternatively, insulating blankets, heating or other means may be used for protection against freezing, consistent with weather conditions.

5.12 EXCAVATED SOIL MANAGEMENT

Excavation for construction of the proposed development may generate excess soils that will require handling and off-site disposal by the contractor. Off-site disposal of soil is anticipated to require chemical testing to characterize soils in accordance with applicable State and Local Regulations and disposal facility acceptance criteria. Characterization of soils for off-site disposal was not part of our scope of services. However, we would be pleased to assist with this aspect of the project if desired.

5.13 CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION

The recommendations contained in this report are based on known and reasonably predictable behavior of properly engineered and constructed foundations and other facilities. We recommend that an engineer or technician, qualified by training and experience, perform full-time field observations and testing (where applicable) of the geotechnical aspects of construction summarized herein in accordance with Building Code requirements, including:

- excavation and removal of unsuitable materials beneath foundations, slabs, and pavements;
- installation of PIFs and lightweight fill (as applicable);
- load testing of PIFs (if required);
- preparation of slab, pile cap, pavement, and fill subgrades;
- on-site reuse of excavated materials;
- confirmation that backfill materials conform to project plans and specifications; and
- placement and compaction of structural fills (including field compaction control testing).

Monitoring of construction activities that could impact foundation performance is required by the Building Code. It is recommended that technical or engineering personnel from Haley & Aldrich be present to provide the recommended observation and geotechnical engineering services during the site development and foundation construction phases of the work based on our familiarity with the subsurface conditions, design concepts, and specifications. Haley & Aldrich would observe compliance with the design concepts, specifications and recommendations and assist in developing design of construction changes in the event that subsurface conditions differ from those anticipated prior to construction. The recommendations contained in this report are contingent on Haley & Aldrich providing the above-noted monitoring.



6. Other Recommendations

6.1 PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

We recommend that be provided the opportunity to review geotechnical aspects of the final plans and specifications prepared by others in order to confirm that our recommendations were interpreted and implemented as intended.

6.2 SUBMITTAL, SPECIFICATION, AND PLAN REVIEW

It is recommended that Haley & Aldrich be provided the opportunity to review submittals and design documents prepared by the earthwork and specialty geotechnical contractors, for general compliance with industry procedures and the project construction requirements, and in order to confirm that the recommendations made in this report were interpreted and implemented as intended.



7. Limitations

This report has been prepared for specific application to the proposed development at 720 Morrissey Boulevard, Dorchester, Massachusetts. This report is intended for the exclusive use of the project team in connection with the geotechnical aspects of the project as described herein. In the event that changes in the nature, design, or location of the facilities are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report should not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and conclusions of this report modified or verified in writing by Haley & Aldrich.

Various procedures are described herein for installing Pressure Injected Footings, preparation of subgrades, and on-site reuse of excavated soils. The recommendations should not be considered valid unless Haley & Aldrich is providing full-time field monitoring of these activities.

The analyses and recommendations submitted in this report are based in part upon data obtained from the referenced subsurface explorations. The nature and extent of variations between the explorations may not become evident until construction. If variations then appear evident, it will be necessary to reevaluate the recommendations of this report. The applicability of the recommendations in this report should be confirmed after structural and site grading designs are finalized.

The planned construction will be supported on or in the soil at the site. Recommendations presented in this report for foundation, subsurface drainage, moisture protection, and waterproofing address only the conventional geotechnical engineering related aspects of design and construction and are not intended to provide an environment that would prohibit infestation of mold or other biological pollutants. Our work scope did not include environmental investigations or the development of criteria or procedures to minimize the risk of vapors, radon, mold or biological pollutant infestations in or near any structure.

 $\label{eq:constraint} \label{eq:constraint} \label{eq:constraint$



TABLES

TABLE I - SUMMARY OF SUBSURFACE DATA

PROPOSED MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 720 MORRISSEY BOULEVARD DORCHESTER, MASSACHUSETTS 134133-004

	Approx, Ground	Total			Fill		(Organic Depos	its ³	N	/larine Deposit	:s ⁴	Glacial D	eposits	Ground	water
Exploration ID	Surface Elevation ^{1,2}	Exploration Depth	El. Bottom of Exploration	Depth to Top	El. Of Top	Thickness	Depth to Top	El. Of Top	Thickness	Depth to Top	El. Of Top	Thickness	Depth to Top	El. Of Top	Depth	El.
	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]	[ft]
B-1	15.5	38.0	-22.5	0.0	15.5	8.0	8.0	7.5	9.0	NE	NE	NE	17.0	-1.5	8.4	7.1
B-2	16.0	53.0	-37.0	0.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	0.0	18.0	34.0	-18.0	13.0	47.0	-31.0	12.1	3.9
B-3	17.0	41.0	-24.0	0.0	17.0	18.0	18.0	-1.0	16.0	34.0	-17.0	3.0	37.0	-20.0	8.0	9.0
B-4	15.5	38.0	-22.5	0.0	15.5	12.0	12.0	3.5	7.0	NE	NE	NE	19.0	-3.5	NM	NM
B-5	15.0	48.0	-33.0	0.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	1.0	19.5	33.5	-18.5	9.0	42.5	-27.5	11.5	3.5
B-6	15.0	50.0	-35.0	0.0	15.0	19.0	19.0	-4.0	14.0	33.0	-18.0	10.0	43.0	-28.0	9.6	5.4
B-7	15.5	38.0	-22.5	0.0	15.5	8.0	8.0	7.5	16.0	24.0	-8.5	10.0	34.0	-18.5	NM	NM
B-8	16.5	46.0	-29.5	0.0	16.5	9.0	9.0	7.5	30.0	39.0	-22.5	2.0	41.0	-24.5	11.4	5.1
В-9	15.0	36.0	-21.0	0.0	15.0	16.0	16.0	-1.0	15.5	NE	NE	NE	31.5	-16.5	NM	NM
B-10(OW)	15.5	38.0	-22.5	0.0	15.5	16.0	16.0	-0.5	19.0	35.0	-19.5	> 3.0	NE	NE	8.2	7.3
B-11	15.0	43.0	-28.0	0.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	1.0	25.0	39.0	-24.0	> 4.0	NE	NE	8.0	7.0
B-12	15.0	38.0	-23.0	0.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	1.0	18.9	32.9	-17.9	> 5.1	NE	NE	8.5	6.5
B-14(OW)	16.5	31.0	-14.5	0.0	16.5	16.0	16.0	0.5	7.0	NE	NE	NE	23.0	-6.5	8.9	7.6
B-15	16.0	36.0	-20.0	0.0	16.0	16.0	NE	NE	NE	16.0	0.0	12.0	28.0	-12.0	7.0	9.0
B-16	15.5	66.0	-50.5	0.0	15.5	12.2	12.2	3.3	31.8	44.0	-28.5	20.0	64.0	-48.5	NM	NM

NOTES:

1. Elevations refer to Boston City Base (BCB).

2. Ground surface elevations were estimated based on available topographic information and should be considered approximate. Top of stratum elevations were estimated based on ground surface elevations indicated.

3. Inorganic Alluvial Deposits were encountered as an interbedded layer within the Organic Deposits in B-7. Although not identified, this layer may be present at other boring locations.

4. Marine Deposits vary in density and composition and may include clay, sand, silt, and/or gravel. Refer to the boring logs for additional information.

5. Stratum thicknesses shown are total, cumulative thicknesses. Strata may be interbedded.

6. Strata shown in typical order of depth below ground surface. At some locations strata may be missing or in a different sequence.

7. "NE" indicates stratum not encountered.

8. "NM" indicates depth to groundwater not measured.

9. ">" indicates that the stated unit thickness or depth to the top of the unit is greater than the value indicated.

FIGURES





Saved by: DTE Printed: 1/16/2020 12:05 PM Sheet:



APPENDIX A

Logs of Test Borings

н		PRIC	Н			٦	EST	BORING REPOR	RT		I	Во	rin	g١	lo.		E	3-1		
Pro Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	RB C E HE RTH	HAMB RB CH	ERS H AMBE RILL S	IONDA RS CO ERVIC	, 720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	DRRISSEY BOULEVARD, ES	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh Sta	e N neet art	o. No	134 . 1 Ja	413 of inua	3-0 2 ary (04 6, 2	020)	
				Casing	San	npler	Barrel	Drilling Equipmen	t and Procedures		Dr	iller		C. I	Bier	hol	m	520		
Тур	е			НW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mob	i le Drill B-57, Truck		H8	λA Ι	Rep	•	Α.	Fle	mir	g		-
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Drill Mud: None			Ele Da	eva atun	tion n		15 Bo	5.5 osto	(est in C	∴) Jity F	Bas	se
Han Han	nmer V nmer F	Veight ⁻ all (in	(lb) .)	300 24	1	40 30	-	Casing: HW Drive to 34 Hoist/Hammer: Winch PID Make & Model: Min	I.0 ft Automatic Hammer iRAE 3000 10.6 eV		Lo	cat	ion	S	ee	Pla	n			
£	OWS	9 c	 	sbu	lođ			VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION	N	Gra	avel	5	Sano	d		F	ield	Tes	st
Depth (1	Sampler Bl per 6 in	Sample I & Rec. (i	Sample Depth (t	PID Readi (ppm)	USCS Syn	Stratum Change Elev/Denth		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETAT I ON)		% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughnes	Plasticity	Strength
- 0 -					<u>ер</u>	15.2 0.3	Madiu	-BITUMINOUS (CONCRETE-			5	5	20	65	5		—	_	
-	8 10 10	S1 8	0.5 2.0		5P		mps 0	.4 in., no structure, no odor, m	rown poorly graded SANL noist	J (SP),		5	5	20	65	5				
-	10 12 13 9	S2 10	2.0 4.0	ND	SP		Mediu 0.3 in.	m dense tan to light brown po , no structure, no odor, moist	orly graded SAND (SP), r	nps			5	20	70	5				
- 5 -	8 12 11 8	S3 7	4.0 6.0	ND	SM		Mediu mps 0	m dense gray brown to gray s .5 in., no structure, no odor, m	ilty SAND with gravel (SM noist	1),	5	10	15	35	20	15				
-	56	S4 0	6.0 8.0				No Re	covery, 3 in. spoon also no re	covery											
	2					7.5		-FILL	-											
-	1 1 1 2	S5 6	8.0 10.0	0.6	OL/ OH	8.0	Very s structu sand	oft gray to black ORGANIC S ure, no odor, wet, trace coppe	OIL (OL/OH), mps 0.6 in., r wire, trace pockets of sil	no ty						100				
- 10- -	VOR/12 - 1 4	2" S6 11	10.0 12.0	4.1	OL/ OH		Very s faint o sand,	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/ rganic odor, wet, trace coarse trace shells	OH), mps 0.2 in., no struc gravel, trace pockets of s	cture, silty						100				
-	8 4 2 3	S7 15	12.0 14.0	2.0	OL/ OH		Mediu in., no pocke	m stiff gray to dark gray ORG, structure, faint organic odor, ts of silty sand, trace shells	ANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps wet, trace coarse gravel,	0.8 trace						100				
- - 15 -	1 1 1 1	S8 23	14.0 16.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s organi	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/ c odor, wet, trace shells and p	OH), mps 0.1 in., no struc peat fibers	oture,						100				
						-1.5		-ORGANIC DI	EPOSITS-											
						17.0	Note:	Drill action indicates increase	In gravel at 17.0 ft.											
								-GLACIOFLUVIAI												
- 20 -	5 7	S9 7	19.0 21.0	ND	SM		Mediu 1.0 in.	m dense light brown to gray si , no structure, no odor, wet	ity SAND with gravel (SM	ı), mps	10	15	20	25	15	15				
		Wa	ater L	evel Da	ata	th /ft\		Sample ID				ę	Sum	ma	ry			_	_	
	ate	Time	Time	psed e (hr.)	Bottom Casing	Bottom of Hole	Water	U - Open End Rod T - Thin Wall Tube U - Undisturbed Sample	Screen Filter Sand	Overl Rock	Co Co	den ored	(ft (ft))	3	38.0)			
1/6/	2020	1250	~	0.5	34.0	38.0	8.43	S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Grout Grout Grout	Samp Bori	ng	No	.	S	13	E	3-1			
Field	d Tests	:		Dilata	ncy: R	- Rapid	S - Slow	N - None Plastic	Bentonite Seal	W M-N	ledi	um n ⊔	H -	High	י ע-'	Von				
[†] No	te: Ma	ximum No	partic	le size is Soil ide	detern ntificat	nined by	direct of sed on vi	pservation within the limitation is ual-manual methods of the second sec	ns of sampler size. he USCS as practiced	by <u>H</u> ale	<u>v &</u>	Alc	Iricl	ייי ח, Ir	<u>, -</u>	v cr y	- iig			

H&A-TEST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HALIB08-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT NHALEYALDRICH-COMISHARE/CFPROJECTS1134133(6)NT134133-003-TBOW.GPJ Jan 24, 20

	н		RIC	н			T	EST BORING REPORT	F	ile	ing No. ≏t N	1 NC). 341 2	.33-I	004	\$-1		
F		SW	o _		ß	<u>8</u>	(Ħ	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel		San	d		F	ïeld	Te	st
4/ 41		Sampler Blo per 6 in.	Sample N & Rec. (ir	Sample Depth (ft	PID Readin (ppm)	USCS Sym	Stratum Change Elev/Depth	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Strength
		5 13 5 10 7 7	S10 6	24.0 26.0	ND	sw		Medium dense light brown to brown well graded SAND with gravel (SW), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	20	20	30	15	5				
	30 -	5 9 6 4	S11 5	29.0 31.0	ND	GW		Medium dense light brown to gray brown well graded GRAVEL with sand (SW), mps 1.0 in. no structure, no odor, wet	25	35	25	10	5					
								-GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS-										
		7 25 10 18	S12 3	34.0 36.0	ND	sw		Dense light brown well graded SAND with gravel (SW), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	20	30	25	10	5				
	-	17 48 24 22	S13 7	36.0 38.0	ND	sw		Very dense light brown well graded SAND with gravel (SW), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, wet	15	25	30	20	10					
BOKING WITH PERMITID COLOMIN HALLEUGEDGS - COPY.GLB HA-10+CORE+WELL-08 W FENCE.C							38.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 38.0 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
	1	NOTE:	Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	/isual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	В	ori	ng	No			E	 }-1		

Ш		PRIC	н			Т	EST	BORING REPOR	RT		I	Bo	rin	g١	lo.		E	3-2		
Pro Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	RB C E HE RTH	HAMBE RB CH/ ERN DF	ERS H AMBE RILL S	ONDA, RS CO ERVIC	, 720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	DRRISSEY BOULEVARD, ES	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh Sta	e N neet art	o. Nc	13 0. 1 Ja	413 of inua	3-0 3 ary 2	04 2, 2	020		
				Casing	San	np l er	Barrel	Drilling Equipmen	t and Procedures		Dr	iller		J. E	Bierl	hon	ס, בי ז	020		
Тур	e			НW	;	s		Rig Make & Model: Mob	ile Drill B-57, Truck		H8	sa f	Rep).	A.	Fle	mir	ıg		
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Drill Mud: None			El∉ ⊧Da	eva [:]	tior า	1	16 Bo	6.0 osto	(est	i.) litv l	Bas	e
Han	nmer V	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 19	.0 ft Automatic Hammer		Lo	cati	ion	S	ee	Pla	n			
Han	nmer F	-all (in	.)	24	3	30	-	PID Make & Model: Min	iRAE 3000 10.6 eV											
(ft)	Blows n.	No.	e (#	dings	[oqm,	t agental terretal		VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION	1	Gra	avel	0 0	Sano E	b		F	ield ഗ്ഗ	Tes	st
Depth	Sampler I per 6	Sample & Rec.	Samp Depth	PID Rea (ppm	USCS S	Stratu Chang Flev/Den		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETATION)		% Coars	% Fine	% Coars	% Mediu	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
- 0 -						15.7	.	-BITUMINOUS C	ONCRETE-		_		4.5	0.5						
	13 9	S1 11	0.5 2.0	ND	SM		Mediu structu	m dense tan to gray brown silt ire, no odor, moist	y SAND (SM), mps 0.6 in	., no	5	5	15	25	30	20				
	8						Coff or		PF	15 tsf					_	0.5				
	3 2 2 2	S2 12	2.0 4.0		OH		structu	ray to black ORGANIC SOIL (ure, faint organic odor, moist, 1	15-20% pockets of silty sa	and					5	95				
- 5 -	1 2 2 2	S3 17	4.0 6.0	ND	PT		Very s organi	oft brown to gray PEAT (PT), c odor, moist, trace pockets of	mps 0.5 in., no structure, f silty sand	faint						100				
-	4 4 6 6	S4 13	6.0 8.0	2.4	SM		Loose odor, i	black to gray silty SAND (SM) noist, 20-25% pockets of ash), mps 0.6 in., no structure and cinders, trace brick	e, no	5	5	5	30	30	25				
-	4 5 8	S5 16	8.0 10.0	0.8	SM		Mediu odor, v and br	m dense black silty SAND (SM wet, 10-15% pockets of ash ar ick	/l), mps 0.5 in., no structu nd cinders, trace wood, co	re, no bal		10	5	25	35	25				
· 10 –	6 4 11 29	S6 8	10.0 12.0	ND	SM		Mediu odor, v	m dense black silty SAND (SM wet, wood lodged in sampler ti	/l), mps 0.7 in., no structu p	re, no	5	5	15	30	25	20				
_							Note: 12.0-1	Drill action and drill wash indic 3.5 ft. -FILL	ate wood obstruction fror	n										
-		-											10	0.5	~					
- 15 -	5 2 1 2	S7 3	14.0 16.0		OL/ OH	0.0	SOIL (and sh	(OL/OH), mps 0.7 in., no struct rells	ture, no odor, wet, trace v	/ood		5	10	35	30	20				
-	2 1 1 1	S8 8	16.0 18.0	ND	OL/ OH	16.0	Very s no stru	oft gray to dark gray ORGANI ucture, organic odor, wet, trace	C SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 e shells	in.,						100				
								-ORGANIC DE	EPOSITS-											
	1	S9	19.0	ND			Very s	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/0	OH), mps 0.1 in., no struc	ture,						100				
20 -	I	 	∠ı.0	evel Da	<u> </u>			Sample ID	Well Diagram			 ,	L Sum	l]ma	rv					<u> </u>
П	ate	Time	Ela	psed	Dep	th (ft) t	to:	O - Open End Rod		Over	Jur	den	(ft)	., į	53.0)			
			Time	e (hr.) ^B	Casing	of Hole	Water	T - Thin Wall Tube U - Undisturbed Sample	Filter Sand	Rock	Co	red	(fl	:)		-				
1/3/	2020	945			19.0	53.0	12.08	S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Grout Grout	Samp Bori	oles na	, Να).	S	16	E	3-2			
Field	d Tests	:		Dilatar	ncy:R	- Rapid	S - Slow	N - None Plastic	Bentonite Seal	w_M_N	lediv	um	Η_	High	<u>ار</u>		. 1.9			
[†] No	te: Ma	ximum	partic	Tough le size is	ness: detern	L - Low nined by	M - Mediu direct of	IM H - High Dry Sti pservation within the limitation	rength: N - None L - Low ns of sampler size.			n H	- H	ign	V - '	very	' Hig	n		
		No	ote: S	<u>501 idei</u>	ntificat	tion bas	<u>sea on v</u>	<u>isuai-manual methods of th</u>	ne USCS as practiced l	by Hale	<u>/ &</u>	Ald	iric	n, Ir	1C.					

H&A-TEST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HALIB08-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT NHALEYALDRICH-COMISHARE/CFPROJECTS1134133(6)NT134133-003-TBOW.GPJ Jan 24, 20

	н	ΛLΕ	Y	TEST BORING REPORT).		E	3-2		
			ÖRIC	H		-			F S	ile hee	No. et N	1 0.	341 2	33-0 of	004 3			
	ŧ	Blows n.	No (in)	(tt)	lings	- Ddm	нен Н	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel		Sano	d		F	ield ഗ്ല	Tes	st
	Depth	Sampler E per 6 i	Sample & Rec. (Samp Depth	PID Read (ppm	USCS Sy	Stratu Chang Elev/Dept	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Mediur	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
-	20	1																
Jan 24, 20	25 -	1 1 1 1	S10 24	24.0 26.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers						100				
4133\GINT\134133-003-TBOW.GPJ	30 -	1 2 3 3	S11 23	29.0 31.0	ND	OL/ OH		Medium stiff gray to gray brown ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, 10-15% peat fibers						100				
PROJECTS/13								-ORGANIC DEPOSITS-										
	35 -	3 3 4 5	S12 12	34.0 36.0	ND	ML	-18.0 34.0	Medium stiff gray SILT (ML), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace lean clay in occassional layer						100	0			
FENCE.GDT WHALEYA								-MARINE DEPOSITS-										
GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W	40 -	4 6 10 17	S13 20	39.0 41.0	ND	SP/CL		Medium dense gray poorly graded SAND (SP), mps 0.3 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace silt layers interbedded with lean CLAY (CL) layers				60	35	5				
	45 -	6 10 10 15	S14 17	44.0 46.0	ND	SP		Medium dense tan to light brown poorly graded SAND (SP), mps 0.4 in., no structure, no odor, wet, 5-10% silt layers, occasional clay layers				30	65	5				
							-31.0 47.0	Note: Drill action indicates increase in gravel content starting at 47.0 ft.	┢									
MTHF								-GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS-										
		13	S15	49.0	ND	SW-		Medium dense gray well-graded SAND with silt and gravel (SW-	10	20	20	25	15	10				
H&A-TES		NOTE:	Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	В	ori	ng	No			E	3-2		

Lн	ΙΛΙ Ε	v				т		E	Bor	ing	No)_		E	3-2		
	ΛLC	ÖRIC	Η			I	EST BORING REPORT	F S	ile Shee	No. et N	1 lo.	341 3	33-(of	004 3			
£	SWO.	No.	e (f	sbu	lodn	(ff)	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel		Sano	d		F	ield ഗ	Tes	st
Depth (Sampler Bl per 6 in	Sample I & Rec. (i	Sampl Depth (PID Readi (ppm)	USCS Syn	Stratun Change Elev/Depth	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughnes	Plasticity	Strength
- 50 -	12 14	11	51.0		SM		SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	F									
-	10 11 10 12 12	S16 10	51.0 53.0	ND	SW- SM		Medium dense gray well-graded SAND with silt and gravel (SW-SM), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	20	20	25	15	10				
-						-37.0 53.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 53.0 FT	┢		-							-
						53.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 53.0 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
	NOTE	: Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	в	ori	ng	No.	•		E	3-2		

Jan 24, 20 H&A-TEST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMIN HA-LIB09-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT (HALEYALDRICH.COMSHARE(CFPROJECTS)1341336NT1134133-003-TBOW.GPJ

н		PRIC	н			т	EST	BORING REPOR	RT			Bo	rin	g١	No.		E	3-3	5	
Pro Clie Cor	oject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	ERB (IE HE DRTH	CHAMB ERB CH IERN D	ERS H IAMBE RILL S	ONDA, RS CON ERVICE	720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	RRISSEY BOULEVARD, I S	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh St	e N neet art	o. No	13 0. 1 Ja	413 of inua	3-0 2 ary	04 7, 2	020)	
				Casing) San	npler	Barrel	Drilling Equipment	and Procedures		Fir Dr	nish iller	l	Ja C. I	Bier	ho l ı	′, ∠∙ m	J20		
Тур	е			HW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mobi	le Drill B-57, Truck		нε	sa f	Rep		A.	Fle	min	ıg		
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Bit Type: Roller Bit Drill Mud: None			E	eva	tion	I	17 Bo	'.0 Seto	(est	.) titv I	Rad	20
Han	nmer \	Neight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 39	.0 ft		Lo	cati	ion	S	ee	Pla	n n	<u></u>	Daa	<u>.</u>
Han	nmer I	Fall (in	.)	24	3	80	-	PID Make & Model: Mini	RAE 3000 10.6 eV											
(f)	ows.	No.	e (ings	nbol	e (ff)	<u>ا</u>	/ISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION	1	Gra	avel	5	San	d		F	ield م	Te	st
Depth (Sampler B per 6 ir	Sample & Rec. (Sampl	PID Read (ppm)	USCS Syr	Stratun Chang Elev/Deptl		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions PRETAT I ON)		% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Mediun	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughnes	Plasticity	Strenath
- 0 -					0.0	16.7 0.3	NA a alian	-BITUMINOUS C	ONCRETE-		\vdash	-	-		05	-		_		-
-	11 10 11	S1 13	0.5 2.0		58		mps 0.	5 in., no structure, no odor, mo	own poorly graded SANL bist	J (SP),		5	5	20	65	5				
-	3 37 21 15	S2 18	2.0 4.0	ND	SM		Very de structu	ense brown to gray brown silty ire, no odor, moist, 15-20% po	SAND (SM), mps 0.8 in ckets of fine sand	., no	5	5	5	40	30	15				
- 5 -	9 6 4 5	S3 8	4.0 6.0	ND	SM		Loose no odc	dark gray to black silty SAND r, moist, trace brick, trace poc	(SM), mps 0.6 in., no str kets of organic soil	ucture,	5	5	10	35	25	20				
-	3 S4 6.0 2 2 8.0 3 8 Soft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.5 in. structure, no odor, moist, trace pockets of silty sand, trace structure, no odor, moist, trace pockets of silty sand, trace structure, no odor, moist, trace pockets of silty sand, trace structure, no odor, moist, trace pockets of silty sand, trace structure, no odor, moist, trace structure, no								DL/OH), mps 0.5 in., no ets of silty sand, trace bri	ck						100				
-	3 8 1 S5 8.0 0.4 SM 1 S5 8.0 0.4 SM Very loose black to gray silty SAND (SM), mps 0.6 in., n no odor, wet, 5-10% pockets of organic soil, trace brick to gray silty solution of the solution of th							SM), mps 0.6 in., no strunic soil, trace brick and a	icture, sh		5	10	35	30	20					
- 10 - -	1 1 1 2	S6 1	10.0 12.0) ND	SM		Very Ic trace b	oose dark gray silty SAND (SM rrick, ash, and glass -FILL-), mps 0.3 in., no odor, v	/et,		5	10	35	30	20				
_	5 1 1 2	S7 14	12.0 14.0	2.1	SM/ OL/ OH		Very Ic ORGA trace a	oose dark gray to gray silty SAI NIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.5 ir ish, brick, and wood	ND (SM) intermixed with I., no structure, no odor,	wet,		5	5	35	30	25				
- - 15 -	1 3 2 1	S8 8	14.0 16.0) ND	OL/ OH		Mediur in., no trace v	m stiff gray to dark gray ORGA structure, faint organic odor, w vood	NIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps /et, trace pockets of silty	0.7 sand,						100				
_	1 2 2 3	S9 17	16.0 18.0) ND	OL/ OH		Soft gr organie	ay ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), r c odor, wet, trace wood, trace	nps 0.3 in., no structure, pockets of fine sand	faint					5	95				
-	1 1 1 1	S10 20	18.0 20.0) ND	OL/ OH	-1.0 18.0	Very so organio	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/C c odor, wet, trace shells -ORGANIC DE	0H), mps 0.1 in., no struc POSITS-	ture,						100				
- 20 -	•	W	ater I	.evel Da	ata		1	Sample ID	Well Diagram			S	Sum	Ima	iry					<u> </u>
D	ate	Time	Ela	apsed	Dep Bottom	th (ft) to Bottom)))	O - Open End Rod	Riser Pipe	Over	bur	den	(ft)	4	41.C)			
A /=	10000				Casing	of Hole	vvater	U - Undisturbed Sample	Filter Sand	Rock	Co aloc	ored	(ft	() ()	1.4	-				
1/7/	2020				34.0	41.0	8.0	S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Grout Grout Concrete Bentonite Seal	Bori	ng	, No).	5	14	E	3-3			
Field	d Tests	; ;:		Dilata	ncy: R	Rapid	S - Slow	N - None Plastic m H - High Dry Str	ity: N - Nonplastic L - Low	w M-N M-Mo	/ledi	um n H	H -	High	י ע-	Ven	/ Hin			
[†] No	te: Ma	ximum No	partic	le size is Soil ide	s detern	hined by	direct ob ed on vi	servation within the limitation sual-manual methods of th	s of sampler size. e USCS as practiced	by Hale	v &	Ald	Iricl	h, Ir	<u>, -</u> 1C.	v cr y	<u> ing</u>			

	Н	<u>ALE</u>	PRIC	H			T	EST BORING REPORT	F	Sor ile	ing No	y No). 1341	133-0	004	3-3		
┢		SN			st	0	£		Gr:	ave		San	∠ id		 F	ield	Ter	 st
	Depth (ft)	Sampler Blov per 6 in.	Sample Nc & Rec. (in.	Sample Depth (ft)	PID Reading (ppm)	USCS Symb	Stratum Change Elev/Depth (1	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION (Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy .	Toughness	Plasticity	Strength
Jan 24, 20	- 25 -	1 1 1	S11 23	24.0 26.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100)			
1 3/1 34 1 33/GIN 1/1 34 1 33-003-1 DOW.GPJ	- 30 -	1 1 1 1	S12 16	29.0 31.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL with sand (OL/OH), mps 0.2 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells				10	10	80				
							-17.0	-ORGANIC DEPOSITS-										
ב ארטאוטים. ד	- 35 -	3 3 3 7	S13 9	34.0 36.0	ND	SM	34.0	Loose gray to gray brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.8 in., no structure, no odor, wet Note: Soil description based on labratory test results. -MARINE DEPOSITS-	11	7	2	16	39	25				
							-20.0 37.0	Note: Drill action indicates increase in gravel starting at 37.0 ft.										
	- 40 –	6 13 14 19	S14 8	39.0 41.0	ND	SW- SM	-24.0 41.0	Medium dense gray well-graded SAND with silt and gravel (SW-SM), mps 0.8 in., no structure, no odor, wet Note: Soil description based on labratory test results. BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 41.0 FT	10	24	14	4 32	10	10				ļ
ר הספוואס אווים רבאויי דוט כטבטייויי ויא-דוטעיייט - כטן ויטיני ויייי								Note: Casing spun off when advancing to 44.0 ft. Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
T&A-IL		NOTE	: Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	B	ori	ng	No).		E	3-3		

н		PRIC	Н			т	EST	BORING REPOR	RT		I	Зоі	ring	g N	lo.		E	3-4	•	
Pro Clie Cor	oject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	RB C E HE RTH	HAMBE RB CHA ERN DF	ERS H AMBE RILL S	ONDA, RS COI ERVICI	720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	RRISSEY BOULEVARD, S	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh Sta	e No eet art	o. No	134 . 1 Ja	413 of nua	3-00 2 ary 3	3, 21	020)	
				Casing	San	npler	Barrel	Drilling Equipmen	t and Procedures		Dri	iller		J. B	Bierh	ny c 10ln	, 2. n	520		
Тур	е			HW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mob	ile Drill B-57, Truck		Н8	ka f	Rep	•	Α.	Fle	min	g		
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Drill Mud: None			Ele Da	evat itum	tion า		15 Bc	.5 (osto	(est n C	.) itv !	Bas	se
Han	nmer \	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 34 Hoist/Hammer: Winch	.0 ft Automatic Hammer		Lo	cati	on	S	ee l	Plar	า			-
Han	nmer I	-all (in	.)	24	3	80	-	PID Make & Model: Min	iRAE 3000 10.6 eV										_	
Depth (ft)	Sampler Blow per 6 in.	Sample No. & Rec. (in.)	Sample Depth (ft)	PID Readings (ppm)	USCS Symbo	Stratum Change Elev/Depth (ft		/ISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA (Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	TION AND DESCRIPTION max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETATION)	N	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity 0	Strength
- 0 -					SM	15.3 0.2	Madiu	-BITUMINOUS C	CONCRETE-		╞	10	10	40	25	15		=		
-	10 11 4	9	0.5 2.0		SIVI		structu	ire, no odor, moist, trace pock	ets of organic soil	I., NO		10	10	40	20	15				
-	1 1 2 2	10 S1 0.5 11 9 2.0 4 - - 1 S2 2.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 2.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 4.0 1 12 4.0 1 13 4.0 1 14 14 1 14 14 1 14 14 1 14 14 1 14 14 1 15 16.0 1 14 14 1 15 16.0 1 14 14 1 15 16.0 1 16 16 1 16 16 <td>NL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., race roots</td> <td>no</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				NL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., race roots	no						100							
- 5 -	10S10.5NDSM0.2Medium dense tan to gray brown silty SAND (SM), mps (structure, no odor, moist, trace pockets of organic soil1S22.0NDOL/ OHSoft gray to dark gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 structure, faint organic odor, moist, trace roots1S34.0 OHOL/ OHOL/ OHVery soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no organic odor, moist, trace shells1S34.0 OHOL/ OHOL/ OHVery soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no organic odor, moist, trace shells1S46.0 OHNDOL/ OHSimilar to S31S46.0 OHNDOL/ OHSoft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL with sand (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no organic odor, wet, trace brick specks a Soft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., structure, faint organic odor, wet, trace brick specks a Soft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., structure, faint organic odor, wet, trace pockets of fine sa2S610.0 OHOHSoft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., structure, faint organic odor, wet, trace pockets of fine sa			OH), mps 0.1 in., no struc	cture,						100									
-	1 1 1	1 S4 6.0 1 S4 6.0 1 7 8.0 1 7 8.0 2 S5 8.0 V2 S5 8.0					-							100						
-	Deck HERB CHAMBERS HONDA, 720 MORRISSEY BOULEVARD, DORCHESTER, MA THE HERB CHAMBERS COMPANIES ONTActor NORTHERN DRILL SERVICE, INC. Casing Sampler Barrel Drilling Equipment and Procedures Be HW S - Rig Make & Model: Mobile Drill B-57, Truck Barrel Drilling Equipment and Procedures Barryler Barrel Drilling Equipment and Procedures Be HW S - Rig Make & Model: Mobile Drill B-57, Truck Barryler Barrel Opil Muci. None Drill Muci. None Drill Muci. None Opil Muci. Automatic Hammer PID Make & Model: Minthesister Minch Automatic Hammer PID Make & Model: MinitRAE 3001 10.6 eV Barryle Barryle Barryle Barryle Barryle Barryle Minthesister Barryle Barryle Barryle Barryle Minthesister Minch Make 30.0 ft Minthesister Barryle Barryle Barryle Barryle Minthesister Minthesister Barryle Barryle Barryle Barryle Barryle Barryle Minthesister Barryle Barryle			.8 in., linkers		5	5	10	10	70										
- 10 - -	2 2 1 2	1 1 1 1 -FILL- 2 S5 8.0 ND OL/ OH Soft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL with sand (OL/OH), mp no structure, faint organic odor, wet, trace brick specks an 2 18 10.0 OH OH Soft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL with sand (OL/OH), mp no structure, faint organic odor, wet, trace brick specks an 2 S6 10.0 ND OL/ Soft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, faint organic odor, wet, trace pockets of fine san 1 1 1 10.0 ND OH Soft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, faint organic odor, wet, trace pockets of fine san				OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no ce pockets of fine sand						10	90							
-	2 1 2	S7 20	12.0 14.0	ND	OL/ OH	3.5 12.0	Soft gr organi	ay ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), c odor, wet, trace peat fibers	mps 0.1 in., no structure	,						100				
- - 15 -	1 1 1 1	S8 14	14.0 16.0	ND	OL/ OH		Simila	r to S7, except very soft								100				
-								-ORGANIC DE	EPOSITS-											
- 20 -	2 4	S9 9	19.0 21.0	ND	SW- SM	-3.5 19.0	Loose (SW-S	gray to gray brown well-grade M), mps 0.8 in., no structure,	ed SAND with silt and gra no odor, wet	vel	10	15	15	30	20	10				
		W	ater L	evel Da	ta Den	th (ft) t	<u>л.</u>	Sample ID	Well Diagram			S.	Sum	ma	ry			_		
	ate	Time	Time	e (hr.) B	Bottom Casing	Bottom	Water	U - Open End Rod T - Thin Wall Tube	Screen	Over Rock	buro Co	den red	(ft) (ft))	3	38.0)			
			N	lot Meas	ured			U - Undisturbed Sample S - Splitspoon Sample	ि ते Cuttings	Sam	oles		(11)	S1	3					
							-	G - Geoprobe	Grout Concrete Bentonite Seal	Bori	ng	Nc).			В	3-4			
Field	d Tests	:		Dilatar Tough	ncy:R ness:	- Rapid L - Low	S - Slow M - Mediu	N - None Plastic m H - High Dry Str	sity: N - Nonplastic L - Lo rength: N - None L - Low	W M-N M-Me	/ledii diun	um ו H	H - I - Hig	High gh	י-V	Very	Hig	<u>h</u>		
	te: Ma	ximum No	partic	e size is Soil ider	determ ntificat	nined by tion bas	direct ob ed on vi	servation within the limitation sual-manual methods of th	ns of sampler size. The USCS as practiced	by Hale	y &	Ald	rich	n, In	IC.					

	HAL	EY DRIC	H			T	EST BORING REPORT	B F	ile i	ing No. ∍t N	Nc 1). 341 2	33-(8 204	-4		
	NS N			SD	0	Ê		Gra	avel		San	 d		 F	ield	Tes	st
Depth (ft)	Sampler Blor	Sample N & Rec. (in	Sample Depth (ft)	PID Readin((ppm)	USCS Symb	Stratum Change Elev/Depth ((Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Strength
- 25	5 9 11 6 1	S10 5	24.0 26.0	ND	SM		Loose light brown to yellow brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.4 in., no structure, no odor, wet	5	10	10	30	30	15				
43-003-1 BOW.GPJ Jan 24, 20	67	S11	29.0	ND	SW-		-GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS- Medium dense light brown to yellow brown well-graded SAND with gravel (SW-SM) mps 0.8 in _postructure_po odor_wet	10	20	20	30	20					
)- 6 9 37 18	4 512 8	31.0 34.0 36.0		SW- SW- SM		Dense light brown to yellow brown well-graded SAND with silt and gravel (SW-SM), mps 0.8 in., no structure, no odor, wet	5	15	15	35	20	10				
	5 - 19 33 11 9 8 6	S13 8	36.0 38.0		SW- SM	-22.5	Medium dense light brown to yellow brown well graded SAND with silt and gravel (SW-SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	10	20	35	15	10				
BORNG WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIBUS-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-18+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE						38.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 38.0 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
H&A-IESI	NOTE	: Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	B	ori	ng	No			B	 }-4		

н		PRIC	н			т	EST	BORING REPOR	RT		I	301	rin	g N	lo.		E	3-5				
Pro Clie Cor	DORCHESTER, MA		File No. 134133-004 Sheet No. 1 of 2 Start January 8, 2020 Finish January 8, 2020																			
				Casing	Sampler Barrel Drilling Equipment and Procedures						⊢ır Dri	iller	(Ja C. E	Bier	ho l ı	o, ∠u m	120				
TypeHWInside Diameter (in.)4.0					s		Rig Make & Model: Mobile Drill B-57, Truck					Rep		A.	Fle	min	g					
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Bit Type: Roller Bit Drill Mud: None			Ele Da	evat	tion		15 Bo	0.0 Isto	(est n C	.) itv F	Ras	۵		
Hammer Weight (lb) 300 Hammer Fall (in.) 24 (t)		1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 19.0 ft			Lo	cati	on	S	ee l	Plar	<u>า</u>	<u> </u>		-					
Han	nmer I	-all (in	.)	24	3	30	-	PID Make & Model: Min	iRAE 3000 10.6 eV													
(ŧ	Blows in.	Nol C (ij)		dings (r	/mbol	tt) tt	- V	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION		Gra o	ivel	s و	Sano E	t k		Fi	eld ' s	Tes	<u>t</u>		
Depth	Sampler per 6	Sample & Rec.	Samp Depth	PID Rea (ppm	USCS S	Stratu Chanç Elev/Dep		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions PRETAT I ON)		% Coars	% Fine	% Coars	% Mediu	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength		
- 0 -						14.7 0.3		-BITUMINOUS C	ONCRETE-													
-	6	S1	1.0	ND		14.3 0.7	Note: I	Drill action indicates concrete -CONCRI	from 0.3-0.7 ft. ETE-	/												
-	5 6 2	0 S2 5	2.0 2.0 4.0	ND	SM		S1: No Loose no odo	 Recovery gray to dark gray silty SAND (or, moist, trace rubber 	SM), mps 0.6 in., no struc	cture,		5	10	30	35	20						
- - 5 -	3 4 3 1 1	S3 8	4.0 6.0	0.6	OL/ OH		Very s mps 0. trace p	oft gray to dark gray ORGANI 4 in., no structure, faint organ pockets of wood peat, trace po	C SOIL with sand (OL/OH ic odor, moist, trace wood ckets of silty sand), I,			5	5	10	80						
-	1 1 1 2 1	S4 19	6.0 8.0	ND	OL/ OH	RS HONDA, 720 M RS HONDA, 720 M MBERS COMPANI LL SERVICE, INC. Sampler Barrel Sampler Barrel 1 3/8 1 3/8 1 3/8 1 3/8 1 4/0 - 30 I 3/8 1 4/0 - 30 I 4/0 - 30 I 4/0 30 I 4/0 30 I 4/0 SM I 4.7 SM Very SM Very OL/ Soft OL/ 14.0 SM Very SM Very SM Very OL/ Soft OL/ Soft OL/ Soft OL/ Very OL/ Soft OL Very	Soft gray to black ORGANIC SOIL with sand (OL/OH), mps 0.8 in., no structure, no odor, moist, trace wood and glass, trace pockets of poorly graded sand							10	10	80						
-	2 1 2	S5 4	8.0 10.0	ND	OL/ OH		Soft gr wood	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.4 in., no odor, wet, trace rood							10	90						
- 10 -	4 3 2 2	S6 7	10.0 12.0	ND	SM		Very Ic wet, 25	Very loose gray silty sand (SM), mps 0.6 in., no structure, no odor, wet, 25-30% pockets of organic soil, trace wood								30						
-	3 5 4 3	S7 10	12.0 14.0	ND	SM		Simila	Similar to S6							25	30						
	2					1.0	Coff or		(OL (OLI) mag 0.2 in					F	10	05		B-5 4 , 2020 , 2020 , ning est.) City Base				
- 15 -	3 1 2 2	3	14.0 16.0		OH	14.0	structu	ire, no odor, wet, trace wood a	nd porcelain					Э	10	00						
-	2 2 2	S9 4	16.0 18.0	ND	AMBERS HO 3 CHAMBERS IN DRILL SE Ising Samp IW S 4.0 1 3/1 300 140 24 30 Sburger SS ND Image: Simp ND SM ND SM 0.6 OL/ ND OL/ ND OL/ ND SM ND SM ND OL/ OH OH ND OL/ OH O	OL/ OH	OL/ OH		Soft gr organi	ay ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), c odor, wet, trace pockets of s	mps 0.2 in., no structure, ilty sand, trace wood	faint					5	95				
-	2 1 1 1	S10 23	18.0 20.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s organi	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/0 c odor, wet, trace shells	DH), mps 0.1 in., no struct	ture,						100			20 20 7 Base			
- 20 -	1			-																		
[
		Wa	ater Lo	evel Da	ita			Sample ID	Well Diagram			S	Sum	ma	ry				-			
D	ate	Time	Ela; Time	osed e (hr.) ^E	Dep Bottom Casing	th (ft) t Bottom of Hole	o: Water	O - Open End Rod T - Thin Wall Tube U - Undisturbed Sample	Riser Pipe Screen Filter Sand	Overt Rock	ouro Co	den red	(ft) (ft)))	4	48.C -)					
1 20 1 20 Date T 1/8/2020 Field Tests:	1320		19		48.0	11.52	G - Geoprobe		Bori	ng	Nc).	31	U U	E	8-5						
Fiel	d Tests	:		Dilatar Tough	ncy: R ness:	- Rapid L - Low	S - Slow M - Mediu	N - None Plastic m H - High Dry Str	ity: N - Nonplastic L - Lov rength: N - None L - Low	w M-N M-Me	lediı diun	um 1 H	H - I - Hi	High gh	ו V - '	Very	Hig					
	ote: Ma	ximum No	particl ote: S	<u>e size is</u> Soil idei	detern ntificat	nined by tion bas	direct ob ed on vi	servation within the limitation sual-manual methods of th	is of sampler size. Ne USCS as practiced b	v Hale	v &	Ald	rich	n. In	nc.							

H&A-TEST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIB09-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT NHALEYALDRICH COMSHAREICF PROJECTSN13413360NT134133-003-TB0W.GPJ Jan 24, 20

	H		RIC	H			T	EST BORING REPORT	F S	Sor i ile l	i ng No. et N	1 Nc) . 341 2	33-(of	E 004 2	;-5		
F		8N	• <u> </u>	_	sb	<u>8</u>	Ê		Gra	avel		San	d L		F	ield	Te	st
		Sampler Blo per 6 in.	Sample N & Rec. (in	Sample Depth (ft	PID Readin (ppm)	USCS Symb	Stratum Change Elev/Depth	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Strength
- 2	25 -	1 1 1 1	S11 24	24.0 26.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers					5	95				
-								-ORGANIC DEPOSITS-									1	
- 3	- - - -	1/12" 1 1	S12 17	29.0 31.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100				
3		3 2 4	S13 14	34.0 36.0	ND	ML	-18.5 33.5	Note: Drill action indicates increase in density at 33.5 ft. Medium stiff gray SILT with sand (ML), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace lean clay					25	75				
		5	S14	39.0	ND	ML		-MARINE DEPOSITS- Medium dense gray to tan SILT with sand (ML), mps 0.3 in., no		1	1	5	15	78				
- 4	- 0.	8 11		41.0			-27.5 42.5	Note: Soil description based on labratory test results.										<u> </u>
- - 4	-5-	29 18 22	S15 8	44.0 46.0	ND	SP- SM		-GLACIOFLOVIAL DEPOSITS- Dense tan to olive gray poorly graded SAND with silt and gravel (SP-SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	15	23	18	21	11	12				
-		18 22 18 26 16	S16 9	46.0 48.0	ND	SM	-33.0	Note: Soil description based on labratory results. Dense tan to olive gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	15	20	25	15	15				
							-33.0 48.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 48.0 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
	_ •	NOTE:	Soil ic	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	B	ori	ng	No	•	I	E	 }-5		

Н		RIC	H			Т	EST	BORING REPOR	रा		E	Зоі	rin	g١	lo.		E	3-6	;		
Proj Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH r NC	RB C E HE RTH	HAMB RB CH	ERS H IAMBE RILL S	IONDA, RS COI ERVICI	720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	DRRISSEY BOULEVARD, S	DORCHESTER, MA		File Sh Sta	e No eet art	o. No [134 1 Dec	413 of emb	3-0 2 oer	04 19, 20	20 [,]	19		
Casing				g Sar	Sampler Barrel Drilling Equipment and Procedures						Driller C. Bierhom										
Type HW					S Rig Make & Model: Mobile Drill B-57, Truck							Rep		A.	Fle	min	ıg				
Inside Diameter (in.) 4.0			1	1 3/8 Drill Mudt None							tion		15	.0	(est	.)	D				
Ham	nmer V	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 24	4.0 ft		Lo	cati	i on	S	вс ee l	Plai	<u>n C</u> n	ity i	Das	e	
Ham	nmer F	all (in	.)	24	3	30	-	Hoist/Hammer: Winch PID Make & Model: Mir	Automatic Hammer hiRAE 3000 10.6 eV												
£	SWO	9 c	£	Sbr	lodi	ŧ) \	' /ISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION		Gra	avel	5	Sand	k		F	ield	Te	st	
Depth (f	Sampler Bl per 6 in.	Sample N & Rec. (ii	Sample Depth (f	PID Readir (ppm)	USCS Sym	Stratum Change Elev/Depth		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	, max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETATION)		% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Ctronoth	
0 -	14 17	S1 9	0.5 2.0	ND	SM	14.8 0.2	Dense no stru	-BITUMINOUS (brown to dark gray silty SAN icture, no odor, moist	CONCRETE- D with gravel (SM), mps 0	.6 in.,	5	10	15	25	25	20				_	
	13 15 16	S2 11	2.0 4.0	ND	SM		Dense in., no	Dense tan to light gray brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.5 in., no structure, no odor, moist -FILL-					5	20	40	20					
5 -	17 7 2 2	S3 13	4.0 6.0	ND	SM/ OL/ OH		Loose ORGA	gray to black silty SAND (SM NIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.9 i) intermixed with sandy n., no structure, no odor, v	vet		5	5	30	40	20					
	3 4 5 2	S4 3	6.0 8.0	ND	OL/ OH	9.0 6.0	Mediui in., no pocket	m stiff gray to dark gray ORG, structure, faint organic organ is of poorly graded sand	ANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps ic odor, wet, trace shells, t	0.1 – race					10	90					
	3 2 2 3	S5 10	8.0 10.0	ND	OL/ OH		Mediu mps 0.	m stiff gray to olive gray sand 3 in., no structure, faint orgar	y ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH nic odor, wet),		5	5	15	10	65					
10 3 3.6 SM 1 S6 10.0 3.6 SM 3 12 12.0 ND OL/				SM OL/		Loose olive to olive gray silty SAND (SM), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet Soft black ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no						5	15	10	65 100						
	2 2 2 1	S7 1	12.0 14.0	ND	OH OL/ OH		odor, v Soft gr organi	Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, faint organic odor, wet								100					
15 -	2 1 2 1	S8 0	14.0 16.0				No Re	covery													
	1 1 1 1 2	S9 18	16.0 18.0	4.7	OL/ OH		Very s structu scrap i	oft black to gray ORGANIC S ıre, organic odor, wet, 10-20% metal	OIL (OL/OH), mps 0.4 in., 6 pockets of sand with trac	no :e						100					
v	VOH/6 1	' S10 24	19.0 21.0	ND	OL/ OH	-4.0 19.0	Very s	-FILL oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/ c odor, wet, trace shells	 OH), mps 0.1 in., no struc	ture,						100					
20-	1 1 1	S11 17	21.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/ c odor, wet, trace shells	OH), mps 0.1 in., no struc	ture,						100					
	· _	Wa	ater L	.evel D	ata	·		Sample ID	Well Diagram			 S	Sum	ma	ry_					=	
Da	ate	Time	Ela Tim	psed e (hr.) _o	Dep Bottom f Casing	oth (ft) t Bottom of Hole	o: Water	O - Open End Rod T - Thin Wall Tube	Riser Pipe Screen Filter Sand	Over Rock	buro Co	den red	(ft (ft))	5	50.0)				
12/20)/2019	1040			24.0	50.0	9.60	S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Grout Grout Concrete	Sam Bori	oles na	No).	S2	22	E	3-6				
Field	l Tests	:		Dilata	ancy: R hness:	- Rapid L - Low	S - Slow M - Mediu	N - None Plastic m H - High Drv St	city: N - Nonplastic L - Lo rength: N - None L - Low	w M-N M-Me	ediu dium	um I H	- H - - Hi	High gh	י-V	Verv	/ Hia				
[†] Not	te: Max	kimum j	partic	le size i Soil ide	s detern	nined by	direct ob	servation within the limitation	ns of sampler size. he USCS as practiced t	w Hale	v &		rict	ייי ור)C	y				_	
Н		Y	u			T	EST BORING REPORT	E	ile l	i ng No	No . 1). 341	33-(В 204	-6						
------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------	------------------------------------	--	----------	--------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	---------	-----------	-----------	--------------	----------				
	ر الا			s	<u>–</u>	t		S	hee	∋t N	lo. San	2	of	2	ield						
Depth (ft)	Sampler Blow per 6 in.	Sample No & Rec. (in.)	Sample Depth (ft)	PID Reading (ppm)	USCS Symbo	Stratum Change Elev/Depth (f	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION (Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity 9	Strength				
- ,	1 1 NOH/6 1 1	" S12 24	23.0 25.0	ND	OL/ OH		Similar to S11						100								
- 25 -	NOH/6 1 1	" S13 21	25.0 27.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet trace shells						100								
-	1 1 1	S14 22	27.0 29.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers						100								
- - 30 -	1 2 2 3	S15 23	29.0 31.0	ND	PT		Soft brown PEAT (PT), mps 0.1 in., no structure, stong organic odor, wet -ORGANIC DEPOSITS-						100								
-	2 2 2 3	S16 10	31.0 33.0	ND	PT	40.0	Soft brown to gray PEAT (PT), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, 10-15% sandy organic soil						100								
-	4 2 1 3	S17 10	33.0 35.0	ND	CL	-18.0 33.0	Soft gray sandy lean CLAY (CL), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet					40	60								
- 35 - -	7 4 3	S18 12	35.0 37.0	ND	CL		Medium stiff gray sandy lean CLAY (CL), mps 0.6 in., no structure, no odor, wet -MARINE DEPOSITS-	5	5	5	10	25	50								
-	5 6 9 9	S19 14	37.0 39.0	ND	CL		Medium stiff gray to olive gray sandy lean CLAY (CL), mps 0.6 in., no structure, no odor, wet	5	5	5	10	25	50								
- - 40 - -	8 12 12 12 12	S20 13	39.0 41.0	ND	ML		Medium dense gray to olive gray sandy SILT (ML), mps 0.2 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace lean clay, trace sand seams		5			35	60								
-						-28.0 43.0	Note: Drill action indicates change in material at 43.0 ft.	-		_											
- - 45 -	21 62 50	S21 9	44.0 46.0	ND	SM		Very dense gray to gray brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	15	20	10	20	15	20								
-	44						Note: Drill action indicates boulder from 45.8-47.3 ft.														
-	15 19 34	S22 9	48.0 50.0	ND	SM		Very dense gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	20	10	25	15	20								
- 50 -	30					-35.0 50.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 50.0 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.														
	NOTE:	Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	В	ori,	ng	No	•		B	-6						

GINT\134133-003-TBOW GPJ FS/134133/ Щ 000 CH COM/SHARF WHALEYALDRIG HA TB+CORE+WELL 09 W FENCE GDT COPY GLB HA-LIB0 WITH PERM PID COLUMN ROR I H&A-TES1

Jan 24, 20

H		RIC	H			Т	EST	BORING REPOR	RT		I	Bo	rin	g١	lo.		E	3-7	•	
Proj Clie Con	ect nt tracto	HE TH r NC	RB C E HE RTH	CHAMBE RB CHA	ERS H AMBE RILL S	ONDA, RS CO ERVIC	720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	RRISSEY BOULEVARD, I S	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh Sta	e N neet art	o. No [134 0. 1 Dec	413 of eml	3-0 2 oer	04 18, 18	20 ⁷	19 19	
				Casing	San	npler	Barrel	Drilling Equipment	t and Procedures		Dr	iller		С. I	Bier	hor	n n	20	10	
Туре	•			HW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mob	ile Drill B-57, Truck		H8	sa f	Rep		Α.	Fle	min	g		
Insid	e Diai	neter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Bit Type: Roller Bit Drill Mud: None			Ele	eva	tion	I	15 Pc	.5	(est	.)	Doc	~~
Ham	mer V	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 34	.0 ft		Lo	cati	ion	S	ee l	Pla	<u>ท ()</u> า	ily i	Das	se
Ham	nmer F	all (in	.)	24	3	30	-	PID Make & Model: Minch	Automatic Hammer iRAE 3000 10.6 eV											
Ŧ	SWO.	9 c	a €	sbu	<u>d</u>	€	<u>ا</u>	/ISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION		Gra	avel		Sano	t t		F	ield	Te	st
Depth (f	Sampler Bl per 6 in	Sample N & Rec. (ii	Sample Depth (f	PID Readii (ppm)	USCS Sym	Stratum Change Elev/Denth		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions PRETAT IO N)		% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Strenath
- 0 +					0.5	15.0		-BITUMINOUS C	ONCRETE-				10	0.5		1				
-	10 12 12	S1 12	0.5 2.0	ND	SP	0.5	no stru	m dense tan to brown poorly g icture, no odor, moist	raded SAND (SP), mps 0.	3 in.,		5	10	65	15	5				
-	4 3 3 5	S2 10	2.0 4.0	ND	SM		Loose in., no	brown to gray brown silty SAN structure, no odor, moist, trac	ID with gravel (SM), mps 0 e pockets of peat	.7										
- 5 -	5 4 8 7	S3 8	4.0 6.0	ND	SM		Mediu mps 0.	m dense gray brown to gray si 8 in., no structure, no odor, m -FILL-	lty SAND with gravel (SM) oist -		5	10	5	20	35	25				
	7 2 5	S4 7	6.0 8.0	ND	SM		Loose no stru	gray brown to gray silty SAND icture, no odor, moist	with gravel (SM), mps 0.7	' in.,	5	10	15	20	25	25				
_	5 4					7.5														
-	1 1 1	S5 1	8.0 10.0	ND	OL/ OH	8.0	Very s structu	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/0 ire,faint organic odor, wet -ORGANIC DE	DH), mps 0.1 in., no EPOSITS-							100				
- 10 - -	2 2 2 2	S6 3	10.0 12.0) ND	OL/ OH		Soft gr organi	ay ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), i c odor, wet, trace shells	mps 0.1 in., no structure, f	aint						100				
_	2 4 6 3	S7 8	12.0 14.0	2.6	SM	3.5 12.0	Loose faint o	gray silty SAND with gravel (S rganic odor, wet	SM), mps 0.7 in., no structu	ire,	5	10	15	35	20	15				
	4					15		ALLUVIAL DE	POSITS-											
- 15 -	1 1 1	S8 1	14.0 16.0) ND	OL/ OH	14.0	Very s faint o	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/C ganic odor, wet -ORGANIC DE	DH), mps 0.1 in., no structu EPOSITS-	ure,						100				
	2 1 2 1	S9 21	16.0 18.0) ND	OL/ OH		Soft gr	ay ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), t c odor, wet, trace shells	mps 0.1 in., no structure,							100				
- v	2 VOH/6 1 1	' S10 22	18.0 20.0) ND	OL/ OH		Very s organi	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/C c odor, wet, trace shells	DH), mps 0.1 in., no structu	ure,						100				
- 20	1																			
		W	ater L	evel Da	ta	th (ft) 4	· · ·	Sample ID				S	Sum	ma	ry					
Da	ate	Time	Tim	e (hr.) ^B	Casing	Bottom of Hole	 Water	U - Open End Rod T - Thin Wall Tube U - Undisturbed Sample	Screen Filter Sand	Overt Rock	Co Co	den ored	(ft (ft) :)	3	38.C -)			
			1	Not Meas	ured			S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Grout Concrete	Samp Bori	ng	No).	S	17	E	3-7			
Field	Tests	:		Dilatar	ncy: R	- Rapid	S - Slow	N - None Plastic	ity: N - Nonplastic L - Low	M-N	ledi	um	Н-	High		10-	الماليان			
	- M-	vimum	partic	le size is	ness: detern	∟ - LOW nined by	direct ob	servation within the limitation	engun. IN - NORE L - LOW		սսո	I H	<u>- H</u>	iyn	v - '	very	rig	1		

	н	ÂLE	RIC	н			T	EST BORING REPORT	F	Bori ile l	i ng No.	Nc). 341	33-(B	-7		
ł		Ś			s	-	£		S	shee	et N	10. San	2 d	of	2	iold		
	Jepth (ft)	mpler Blow per 6 in.	ample No Rec. (in.)	Sample Depth (ft)	D Reading (ppm)	CS Symbo	Stratum Change v/Depth (f	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION (Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions	Coarse 6	Fine	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Fines	atancy _	ughness <u>a</u>	asticity	ength
	- 20 -	Sar	°S,⊗		Ъ	SU	<u><u></u></u>		%	%	%	%	%	%	ā	٦ ۲	Ē	Š
	-20-	1 1	S11 23	20.0 22.0	ND	OL/ OH		Similar to S10						100				
	-	1		22.0				-ORGANIC DEPOSITS-										
	-	1 1 1 1	S12 3	22.0 24.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100				
	- 25 -	5 10 17 15	S13 3	24.0 26.0	ND	sw	-8.5 24.0	Medium dense gray brown well-graded SAND with gravel (SW), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	20	10	35	20	5				
3PJ Jan 24, 20	-	11 14 13 13	S14 6	26.0 28.0	ND	SW		Medium dense gray to tan well graded SAND with gravel (SW), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	20	15	35	20					
33\GINT\134133-003-TBOW.0	- 30 -	9 11 15 11	S15 8	29.0 31.0	ND	GW		Medium dense gray well-graded GRAVEL with sand (GW), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, wet	25	35	20	10	5	5				
E\CF\PROJECTS\1341:	-						10.5	-GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS-										
RICH.COM\SHAR	- 35 -	14 10 15 37	S16 9	34.0 36.0	ND	SM	-18.5 34.0	Medium dense tan to light brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	15	10	25	25	15				
DT WHALEYALD		21 20 15 9	S17 11	36.0 38.0	ND	SM	00.5	Dense tan to light brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet -GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS-	10	15	10	25	25	15				
LBORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIB09-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.							38.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 38.0 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
H&A-TEST		NOTE:	Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	В	ori	ng	No	-		B	-7		

٦

Н		RIC	Н			Т	EST	BORING REPOR	RT		I	Зоі	rin	g١	lo.		E	3-8	1	
Pro Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH r NO	RB C E HE RTH	HAMB RB CH ERN D	ERS H AMBE RILL S	ONDA, RS CO ERVIC	720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	RRISSEY BOULEVARD, S	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh Sta	e No eet art	o. No [134 1 Dec	413 of emb	3-00 2 oer	04 17, 17	20 ⁷	19 19	
				Casing	San	npler	Barrel	Drilling Equipmen	t and Procedures		Dri	iller		С. Е	Bier	hon	n, n	20	10	
Туре	е			НW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mob	ile Drill B-57, Truck		Н8	ka f	Rep	•	Α.	Fle	min	g		
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Drill Mud: None			Ele Da	evat	tion		16 Bc	.5 Isto	(est n C	.) itv I	Ras	:e
Harr Harr	nmer V nmer F	Veight Fall (in	(lb) .)	300 24	1-	40 30	-	Casing: HW Drive to 14 Hoist/Hammer: Winch PID Make & Model: Min	.0 ft Automatic Hammer iRAE 3000 10.6 eV		Lo	cati	on	S	ee l	Plar	<u>וו ט</u> ו			
ft)	ows	o v u	a É	sbu	lodn	(#	<u>ا</u>	/ISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION	N	Gra	avel	ç	Sand	k		F	ield د	Te	st
Depth (Sampler B per 6 in	Sample I & Rec. (i	Sampl	PID Readi (ppm)	USCS Syr	Stratun Change Elev/Dentt	-	(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETATION)		% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughnes	Plasticity	Strength
- 0 -						16.0		-BITUMINOUS C	CONCRETE-		_	4.0	1		0.5	10				
	11 16 11	S1 11	0.5 2.0	ND	SW-	0.5	gravel	m dense brown to olive brown (SW-SM), mps 0.8 in., no stru	uvell graded SAND with s ucture, no odor, moist	silt and	5	10	15	35	25	10				
-	16 19 21 15	S2 14	2.0 4.0	ND	SM		Dense odor, r	tan to brown silty SAND (SM) noist, trace pockets of black s), mps 0.6 in., no structur andy organic soil	e, no	5	5	10	25	40	15				
- 5 -	12 7 5 7	S3 18	4.0 6.0	ND	SM		Mediui in., no	m dense dark brown to olive b structure, no odor, moist, trac -FILL	prown silty SAND (SM), m te pockets of sandy lean (-	ips 0.4 clay		10	10	25	40	15				
- -	7 6 7 51	S4 20	6.0 8.0	ND	SM		Mediui structu in spoo	m dense dark brown silty SAN ıre, no odor, moist, trace shell on tip	ID (SM), mps 0.5 in., no s, ash, and wire, trace gr	anite		5	10	25	40	20				
_				_		7.5	Note: I cutting	Drill action indicates rock from s.	8.0-8.9 ft. Granite in drill											
- 10 -	2 2 2 2	S5 2	9.0 11.0	ND	OL/ OH	9.0	Soft gr odor, v	ay ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), vet	mps 0.1 in., no structure,	no						100				
	2 1 1 2	S6 21	11.0 13.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s structu	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL with sure, faint organic odor, wet, tra	sand (OL/OH), mps 0.1 ir ce shells and peat fibers	n., no				5	10	85				
-	2 3 4 2	S7 5	13.0 15.0	ND	OL/ OH		Mediur structu layer	m stiff black ORGANIC SOIL (ire, faint organic odor, wet, tra	(OL/OH), mps 0. in., no ce shells, trace single sa	nd					5	95				
- 15 – -	2 1 1 3	S8 10	15.0 17.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s structu	oft gray to black ORGANIC S0 ire, faint organic odor, wet, tra -ORGANIC DE	OIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., ce shells EPOSITS-	no					15	85				
-	3 2 2 2	S9 13	17.0 19.0	ND	OL/ OH		Soft gr structu	ay to black ORGANIC SOIL (Ire, no odor, wet, trace shells	OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no						25	75				
- 20 -	1 1	S10 17	19.0 21.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s organi	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/0 c odor, wet, trace shells	OH), mps 0.1 in.m no stru	icture,					5	95				
20		Wa	ater L	evel Da	ata			Sample ID	Well Diagram			S	Sum	ma	ry					
D	ate	Time	Ela	psed∟ ∋ (hr \ ^I	Dep Bottom	Bottom	O:	O - Open End Rod T - Thin Wall Tube	Screen	Over	bur	den	(ft)	2	16.0)			
12/17	7/2019	1445	~	0.5	Casing 14.0	of Hole 46.0	11.4	U - Undisturbed Sample S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Filter Sand	Rock Samp	Co Coles	red	(ft) S2	23	-	2 0			
Field	d Tests	:		Dilata	ncy: R	- Rapid	S - Slow	N - None Plastic	Concrete Bentonite Seal	Bori	ng /lediu	Nc). H-	High			0			
[†] No	te: Ma	ximum j No	partic	le size is Soil ide	detern ntificat	L - LOW nined by tion bas	direct ob	servation within the limitation	ns of sampler size.	by Hale	v &		- m	yıı n. İr	<u>v - '</u> nc.	very	тц			

	Н	ÂLE	RIC	н			T	EST BORING REPORT	E F S	ile l	i ng No.	Nc). 341 2	33-(of	B	-8		
F		SW			ß	<u>8</u>	(Ħ		Gra	avel		San	d		F	ield	Tes	st
	Depth (ft	Sampler Blo per 6 in.	Sample N & Rec. (in	Sample Depth (ft	PID Readin (ppm)	USCS Symb	Stratum Change Elev/Depth	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Strength
-	20-	1 1 2 2 2	S11 19	21.0 23.0	ND	OL/ OH		Similar to S10, except soft					5	95				
-		1 1 1 1	S12 23	23.0 25.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100				
4, 20	25 - \	1 VOH/6 1 1	' S13 3	25.0 27.0	ND	OL/ OH		Similar to S12						100				
OW.GPJ Jan 2		1 1 2 1	S14 14	27.0 29.0	ND	OL/ OH		Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers						100				
NT\134133-003-TB	30 -	1 1 1 1	S15 24	29.0 31.0	ND	OL/ OH		Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100				
JJECTS/134133/G	V	VOH/6 2 1 1	' S16 16	31.0 33.0	ND	OL/ OH		Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells -ORGANIC DEPOSITS-						100				
M\SHARE\CF\PRO	v	/OH/12 - 1 1	"S17 24	33.0 35.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100				
ILEYALDRICH.CO	35 - \ \	VOH/6 VOH/6 1 1	' S18 ' 24	35.0 37.0	ND	OL/ OH		Similar to S18						100				
ENCE.GDT (H/		1 3 6 3	S19 13	37.0 39.0	ND	OL/ OH	00.5	Stiff gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat, trace layers of sandy organic soil						100				
RE+WELL-09 W F	40 –	4 5 1 2	S20 14	39.0 41.0	ND	SM	-22.5 39.0	Loose gray silty SAND (SM), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet Note: Soil description based on labratory results.				1	68	31				
3LB HA-TB+CO		3 16 17 19	S21 6	41.0 43.0	ND	SM	-24.5 41.0	-MARINE DEPOSITS- Dense tan to gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet	21	17	7	16	16	23				
B09-BOS - COPY (13 29 24 66	S22 11	43.0 45.0	ND	SM		-GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS- Very dense tan to gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, wet	15	15	10	10	30	20				
SORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIE	45 –	89 1 <u>00/2</u> "/-	\$23 _4	45.0 _ 45.7 _	MD	SM	-29.2 45.7	Very dense tan to gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 45.7 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.	15	15	10	10	25	25				
H&A-TEST E		NOTE:	Soil id	lentificat	tion bas	ed on v	/isual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	В	ori	ng	No	•		E	-8		

٦

н		RIC	Н			•	TEST	BORING REPOR	रा			Bo	rin	g١	10.		E	3-9)	
Pro Clie Cor	oject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	RB C E HE RTH	HAMBE RB CHA ERN DR	RS H MBE	ONDA RS CO ERVIO	, 720 M OMPANII CE, INC.	ORRISSEY BOULEVARD, ES	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh St	e N neet art	o. No [13 13 0 1 Dec	413 of eml	3-0 2 ber	04 30, 30	20 ⁷	19 19	
				Casing	San	np l er	Barrel	Drilling Equipmen	t and Procedures		Dr	iller		J. E	Bierł	nolr	n			
Тур	е			HW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mot	ile Drill B-57, Truck		H	sa f	Rep	•	Α.	Fle	mir	ng		
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1:	3/8		Drill Mud: None			Ek Da	eva [.] atum	tion า		15 Bc	i.0 osto	(est in C	L) ∺ity I	Bas	e
Han Han	nmer V nmer F	Veight ⁻ all (in	(lb) .)	300 24	1 · 3	40 80	-	Casing: HW Drive to 19 Hoist/Hammer: Winch PID Make & Model: Mir).0 ft Automatic Hammer iIRAE 3000 10.6 eV		Lo	cati	ion	S	ee l	Pla	n			
ŧ	slows n.	N N N N	<u>∎</u> €	lings	- oqu	ۇ بو ع	Ê	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION	I	Gra	avel	5	Sano	d		F	ield %	Tes	st
Depth	Sampler E per 6 ii	Sample & Rec. (Samp Depth	PID Reac	USCS Sy	Stratur Chang	Eleviuept	(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETATION)		% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Mediur	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
F 0 -	10	61	0.5	_	SM	14.	5 Mediu	-BITUMINOUS (CONCRETE-	1 8 in	5	10	10	30	25	20				
-	10 6 6	10	0.5 2.0		3101		no str	ructure, no odor, moist	with graver (Sivi), mps	J.O III.,				50	20	20				
-	8 6 3 6	S2 8	2.0 4.0		SM		Loose no str	e gray brown to gray silty SANI ructure, no odor, moist, trace c	D with gravel (SM), mps 0 oal	7 in.,	5	10	10	35	20	20				
- 5 -	13 8 5 14	S3 12	4.0 6.0	_	SM		Mediu mps 7	um dense gray brown to gray s 1.2 in., no structure, faint petro	ilty SAND with gravel (SN leum odor, moist, trace br	l), ick	5	10	10	30	25	20				
_	6 7 10	S4 7	6.0 8.0	_	SM		Simila	ar to S3			5	10	10	30	25	20				
	12							-FILL	-											
_	4 3 4 5	S5 5	8.0 10.0		SM		Loose struct	e black to gray silty SAND with ture, faint petroleum odor, wet,	gravel (SM), mps 0.7 in., trace brick	no	5	15	10	35	20	15				
- 10 - -	10 4 9 3	S6 7	10.0 12.0	_			Mediu struct	um dense black to gray concre ture, no odor, wet, trace pocket	te rubble, mps 1.0 in., no ts of silty sand											
-	5 3 5 7	S7 4	12.0 14.0	_			Loose odor,	e black to gray concrete rubble wet, trace pockets of silty sand	, mps 0.9 in., no structure d	, no										
- - 15 -	8 2 22	S8 6	14.0 16.0	_	SM/ OL/ OH		Loose SOIL trace	e black to gray silty SAND (SM (OL/OH), mps 0.6 in., no struc concrete) intermixed with ORGAN ture, faint organic odor, w	IC et,										
-	2 1 1 2	S9 19	16.0 18.0		OL/ OH	-1. 16.) Very : organ	soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/ nic odor, wet, trace shells	OH), mps 0.1 in., no struc	ture,						100				
		640	40.0	_			Vanu		∪0110-	ture										
- 20 -	2 1	22	19.0 21.0		OH		organ	nic odor, wet, trace shells		ur c ,										
		Wa	ater L	evel Dat		+h /4		Sample ID				S	Sum	ima	ry					
	ate	Time	Ela Time	psed e (hr.) ^{Bo} of (Dep ottom Casing	un (π) Bottor of Hol	n Wate	O - Open End Rod T - Thin Wall Tube U - Undisturbed Sample	Filter Sand	Over Rock	bur Cc	den ored	(ft (ft))	3	36.0 -)			
				lot Measu	ured			S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Grout Grout Concrete Bentonite Seal	Samp Bori	ng	; Nc).	S	14	E	3-9			
Fiel	d Tests	:	1	Dilatan Toughr	cy: R 1ess: I	- Rapid L - Low	S - Slow M - Medi	N - None Plastic	city: N - Nonplastic L - Lo rength: N - None L - Low	w M-N M-Me	/ledi diun	um n H	H - - Hi	High gh	י- V	Very	/ Hig	h		
[†] No	te: Ma	ximum No	partic	e size is Soil iden	determ tificat	hined b tion ba	y direct o Ised on v	bservation within the limitation visual-manual methods of the second sec	ns of sampler size. he USCS as practiced I	oy Hale	y &	Ald	Iricl	n, Ir	ıc.	-			_	

H&A-TEST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIB09-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT NHALEYALDRICH COMSHAREICF PROJECTSN13413360NT134133-003-TB0W.GPJ Jan 24, 20

	Н		RIC	Н			T	EST BORING REPORT	F	ile l bhee	ing No. et N	Nc 1 Io.). 341 2	33-(of	8 004 2	-9		
	(ft)	Blows in.	e No (in)	ole (ft)	dings ۱)	Vmbol	um ge oth (ft)	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel	e la	San	d		Fi	eld S	Test	t
	Depth	Sampler per 6	Sample & Rec.	Samp Depth	PID Rea (ppm	USCS S	Stratu Chan Elev/Dep	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coars	% Fine	% Coars	% Mediu	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatanc	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
	- 25 -	1 2 1 1 1	S11 24	24.0 26.0		OL/ OH		Similar to S10						100				
TBOW.GPJ Jan 24, 20	-	2						-ORGANIC DEPOSITS-										
S\134133\GINT\134133-003	- 30 - -	3 2 3 2	S12 6	29.0 31.0		OL/ OH	-16.5 31.5	Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers						100				
E\CF\PROJECT	_	6 11 12 12	S13 0	32.0 34.0				No Recovery -GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS-										
ICH.COM\SHARE	- - 35 -	5 8 10 100/5"	S14 8	34.0 35.9		SM		Medium dense gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	5	15	15	25	20	20				
ST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIB09-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT WHALEYALDR							-20.9 35.9	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 35.9 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
A-TES1		NOTE:	Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	в	ori	ng	No			B	-9		

н		RIC	н				TES	BORING REPORT		I	Bo	rin	g١	No.	В	-10) (C)W)
Pro Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	RB C E HE RTH	CHAMBE RB CH/	ERS H Ambe Rill S	OND RS C ERV	A, 720 M OMPAN CE, INC	ORRISSEY BOULEVARD, DORCHESTER, MA ES		Fil Sh Sta	e N Ieet art	o. No [13 0. 1 Dec	413 of eml	3-00 2 ber	04 23,	201	19	
				Casing	San	npler	Barre	Drilling Equipment and Procedures		Fir Dr	iller		C.I	Bier	ho l r	20, n	201	19	
Тур	е			HW	;	s		Rig Make & Model: Mobile Drill B-57, Truck		H8	ka f	Rep).	Α.	Fle	min	g		
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Bit Type: Roller Bit Drill Mud: None		Ele Da	eva	tion	1	15 Bo	.5 Isto	(est	.) itv I	Ras	2
Ham	nmer V	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 19.0 ft	F	Lo	cati	ion	S	ee	Plar	<u>וו</u>	<u></u>	500	<u> </u>
Han	nmer F	all (in	.)	24	3	30	-	PID Make & Model: MiniRAE 3000 10.6 eV											
(#)	slows n.	o ۲	e (#	lings	lodm	gram	н Ц	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	ı	Gra	avel		San	d		F	eld %	Tes	۶t
Depth	Sampler E per 6 ii	Sample & Rec. (Samp	PID Read	USCS Sy	Well Diaç	Stratur Chang Elev/Dept	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)		% Coars∈	% Fine	% Coars∈	% Mediur	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
- 0 -	11 12	S1 8	0.5 2.0	ND	SM		15.2 0.3	-BITUMINOUS CONCRETE- Medium dense gray to brown silty SAND (SM), mps 0.7 in., structure, no odor, moist, trace pockets of fine sand	, no		5	5	10	65	15		=		
-	10 11 14 7	S2 8	2.0 4.0	ND	SM	7////////:		Medium dense gray to dark brown silty SAND (SM), mps 0. in., no structure, no odor, moist, trace brick specks	.5		5	10	15	50	20				
- 5 -	4 2 2 1	S3 1	4.0 6.0	ND	OL/ OH			Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.2 in., no structur faint organic odor, wet, trace pockets of fine sand	re,						100				
-	1 2 2 9 10	S4 11	6.0 8.0	ND	OL/ OH			Stiff gray to black ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, faint organic odor, wet, 15-20% pockets of fine sa	o and						100				
-	4 1 2 4	S5 4	8.0 10.0	ND	SM			Very loose black to dark gray silty SAND (SM), mps 0.6 in., structure, no odor, wet, trace pockets of organic soil, trace wood	, no		5	10	45	25	15				
- 10 - -	3 6 7 11	S6 3	10.0 12.0) ND	SM			Medium dense dark gray to black silty SAND (SM), mps 0.4 in., no structure, no odor, wet	8	5	5	10	45	20	15				
-	8 6 6	S7 2	12.0 14.0	3.3	SM			Similar to S6		5	5	10	45	20	15				
- - 15 -	11 3 2 2	S8 3	14.0 16.0) 4.6	SW- SM/OL OH		0.5	Loose black to dark gray well graded SAND with silt and gravel (SW-SM) intermixed with ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.8 in., no structure, faint organic odor, wet, trace brick and wood	k	5	10	10	40	20	15				
-	2 2 1	S9 7	16.0 34.0) ND	OL/ OH		-0.5 16.0	Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structur organic odor, wet, trace shells -ORGANIC DEPOSITS-	re,						100				
- \	VOH/6 1 2 1	" S10 9	18.0 20.0) ND	OL/ OH			Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structur organic odor, wet trace shells and peat fibers	re,						100				
- 20 -		\\\ <i>\</i>	ater I	evel Da	l ta			Samula ID Wall Diagram											
D 12/26	ate 5/2019	Time 0715	Ela	e (hr.) ^B	Dep lottom Casing	th (ft Botto of Ho) to: om Wate 8.21	O - Open End Rod Riser Pipe T - Thin Wall Tube Screen U - Undisturbed Sample Filter Sand S - Splitspoon Sample Screen	Overb Rock Samp	ouro Co les	den ored	(ft (ft	:) :) S'	14	38.0 -)			
Field	d Tests			Dilatar	icy: R	- Rapio	d S - Slov	G - Geoprobe Grout G - Geoprobe Grout M - None Plasticity: N - Nonplastic L - Low	Borir м-м	ng edit	No um	р. н-	Higl	B	-10	(0	W))	
[†] No	te: Ma	ximum No	partic	<u>Tough</u> le size is Soil ider	ness: detern ntificat	L - Lov nined tion b	M - Mea by direct ased on	Image: Dry Strength: N - None L - Low M Deservation within the limitations of sampler size. visual-manual methods of the USCS as practiced by	I - Med Haley	lium 8	n H	- Hi Iricl	igh h, Ir	∨-` nc.	Very	Hig	<u>n</u>		

	Н		Y RIC	Η				TES	T BORING REPORT	F S	Bori ile l ihee	i ng No. et N	1 Nc 1 Io.). 341 2	8 33-(of	i-10 004 2	(0)	N)	
		SW	o 🗍		gs	8	E E	(#)	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel		San	d		Fi	ield	Tes	st
	Depth (ff	Sampler Blo per 6 in.	Sample N & Rec. (ir	Sample Depth (ff	PID Readin (ppm)	USCS Sym	Well Diagn	Stratum Change Elev/Depth	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Strength
Jan 24, 20	- - - - 25 -	1 1 1 1	S11 16	24.0 26.0	ND	OL/ OH			Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers						100				
JECTS\134133\GINT\134133-003-TBOW.GPJ	- - \ - 30 - -	VOH/6 1 1 1	" S12 24	29.0 31.0	ND	OL/ OH			Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers						100				
ICH.COM\SHARE\CF\PRO.	- - - 35 -	1 2 4 6	S13 18	34.0 36.0	ND ND	OL/ OH ML		-19.5 35.0	Similar to S12 Stiff gray SILT (ML), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet,					5	100 95				
3DT WHALEYALDR	-	10 10 12 13	S14 19	36.0 38.0	ND	ML		-22 5	trace lean clay Very stiff gray SILT (ML), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace lean clay -MARINE DEPOSITS-					5	95				
T BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIB09-BOS - COPY GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.								38.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 38.0 FT Note: Observation well installed. Refer to installation report for details.										
H&A-TEST		NOTE:	Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual	-manual i	methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	B	ori	ng	No	•	B	3-10	(0)	W)	

	Н		RIC	н			٦	EST	BORING REPOR	RT				Βοι	rin	g١	lo.		B	3 -1 1	1	
,	Pro <u>.</u> Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	ERB (IE HE DRTH	CHAMI ERB C IERN I	BERS H HAMBE DRILL S	ONDA, RS CO ERVIC	720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	DRRISSEY BOULEVARD, I S	DORCHE	STER, MA		Fil Sh St	e No neet art	o. No S	13 1 Sept	413 of tem	3-0 2 ber	04 26, 27	20	19	
					Casin	ig Sar	np l er	Barrel	Drilling Equipment	and Proc	cedures		Dr	iller		J. E	.em Bierł	nolr	27, n	20	13	
-	Гуре	е			НW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mobi	le Drill B-	57, Truck		нε	sa f	Rep		A.	Fle	mir	ıg		
	nsic	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Drill Mud: None				Ek Da	evat atum	tion า		15 Bo	.0 osto	(est	∷) ∶itv I	Bas	se
+	lam	nmer V	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 19	.0 ft Automatic	Hammer		Lo	cati	on	S	ee l	Pla	n	<u> </u>		
Ľ	lan	nmer F	all (in	.)	24		30	-	PID Make & Model: Mini	RAE 3000	0 10.6 eV											
	ŧ	Blows in	No No	€	dings	() (mbol	te al		VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND	DESCRIPTION	I	Gra	avel	e	Sano E	, k		F	ield %	Tes	st
	Depth	mpler per 6	ample Rec.	Samp	D Rea		Stratu Chan		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture,	max. partic optional de	cle size [†] , escriptions		Coars	Fine	Coars	Mediu	Fine	Fines	latanc	oughne	asticity	rength
╞	0 -	Sa	∿ ∞			<u> </u>					=		%	%	%	%	%	%	ā	Ĕ		ß
1 24, ZU		19	S1	0.5	0.3	B SP	0.3	Mediu	m dense yellow brown to gray	poorly grad	_ _ ded SAND (SP), mps		5	5	55	30	5				
79		12 10	10	2.0				0.5 in.	, no structure, no odor, moist, f	trace pock	ets of silty san	d										
		14 11 15	S2 12	2.0 4.0) SM		Mediu structu	m dense black to dark gray sill ire, no odor, moist, trace brick	y SAND (8	SM), mps 0.6 ir	n., no		10	10	25	35	20				
53/0-102 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5 -	732	S3 6	4.0 6.0	6.4	\$ SM		Loose odor, r	black to gray silty SAND (SM) noist	, mps 0.6 i	in., no structure	e, no		10	10	20	40	20				
TS/1341		3 1	S4	6.0		SM		Simila	r to S3, except medium dense					10	10	20	40	20				
		5 15	1	8.0					-FILL-													
COM/SHARE/CF		23 15 7	S5 8	8.0 10.0) SM		Mediu structu	m dense gray to dark gray silty ıre, no odor, wet, trace wood a	SAND (Sind glass	M), mps 0.7 in.	, no		10	15	35	25	15				
	10 -	2 7 4 2 2	S6 1	10.0 12.0) 1.4 I	\$ SM		Loose no stru pocke	black to dark gray silty SAND ucture, no odor, wet, trace ash, ts of organic soil	with grave cinders, c	l (SM), mps 0. coal, and glass	3 in., trace	5	10	15	25	25	20				
		2 2 1	S7 4	12.0 14.0) NE	SM		Simila	r to S6				5	10	15	25	25	20				
DRE+WELL-09	15 -	2 2 1 1	S8 2	14.0 16.0) NE	OL/ OH	1.0 14 <u>.</u> 0	Very s organi	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/C c odor, wet, trace peat fibers	DH), mps C).1 in., no struc	ture,						100				
SLB HA-TB+C		1 2 1	S9 17	16.0 18.0) NE	OL/ OH		Simila	r to S8									100				
-BOS - COPY.C		2 2							-ORGANIC DE	POSITS-												
HA-LIBU		1	S10 20	19.0 21 0) NE			Very s	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/C c odor, wet, trace peat fibers	DH), mps ().1 in., no struc	ture,						100				
	20		W	ater L	_evel_C	Data	I		Sample ID	Well	Diagram		<u> </u>		Sum	i <u>ma</u>	ry_				_	<u> </u>
	D	ate	Time	Ela	psed	Dep	th (ft) t	:0:	O - Open End Rod		Riser Pipe Screen	Over	bur	den	(ft)	4	13.0)			
	9/26	/2019		I IM	e (nr.)	of Casing	of Hole	VVater ∼8.0	U - Undisturbed Sample	<u>ه، م</u> ه	Filter Sand Cuttings	Rock Sami	: Co oles	ored	(ft) S	15	-				
	5,20	,2013							G - Geoprobe		Grout Concrete Bentonite Seal	Bori	ng	Nc).	0		В	-11			
	Field	d Tests	:		Dilat	ancy: R	- Rapid L - Low	S - Slow M - Mediu	N - None Plastic M - High Dry Str	ity: N - Nc enath: N -	nplastic L - Lo	w M-N M-Me	/ledi	um n H	H - - Hi	High ah	י V - '	Verv	/ Hia			
H&A-TE	[†] No	te: Ma	ximum No	partic	le size Soil id	is detern	nined by	direct of sed on v	servation within the limitation isual-manual methods of th	s of samp le USCS a	ler size. as practiced I	oy Hale	y &	Ald	rict	, I r	nc.				_	

E		PRIC	H			T	EST BORING REPORT	F S	3or i File	ing No. et N	J No . 1). 341 2	33-(of	B 004 2	-11		
	SWC	<u> </u>		sbi	q	(#	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel		San			F	ield	Te	st
Depth (f	Sampler Blo	Sample N & Rec. (ir	Sample Depth (ff	PID Readir (ppm)	USCS Sym	Stratum Change Elev/Depth	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Strength
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1 WOH/6 1 1	" S11 19	24.0 26.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace peat fibers and shells						100				
30	WOH/6 1 1 1	" S12 20	29.0 31.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers						100				
							-ORGANIC DEPOSITS-										
	WOH/6 1/12" 1	" S13 24	34.0 36.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100				
	3	S14	39.0	ND	ML	-24.0 39.0	Medium stiff gray SILT (ML), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor,						100				
40	4	16	41.0				-MARINE DEPOSITS-										
	3 2 4 3	S15 14	41.0 43.0	ND	CL		Medium stiff gray lean CLAY (CL), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet						100	s	м	н	
	3					-28.0 43.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 43.0 FT	⊢	\vdash								-
							Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
	NOTE	Soil ic	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	в	ori	ng	No	•		В	-11		

н	ÂLE	RIC	Η			-	TEST	BORING REPOR	रा			Bo	rin	g١	lo.		B	8-12	2	
Pro Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	ERB C IE HE DRTH	HAME RB CH	ERS H IAMBE RILL S	IONDA RS CO SERVIO	, 720 MC DMPANIE CE, INC.	DRRISSEY BOULEVARD, ES	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sł St	le N neet art	o. No [134 0.1 Dec	413 of eml	3-0 2 ber	04 20, 23	20 ²	19	
				Casing	g Sar	mpler	Barrel	Drilling Equipmer	t and Procedures		Dr	iller		C. E	Bier	hol	20, m	20	10	
Тур	e			НW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mot	ile Drill B-57, Truck		нε	3.A F	Rep		Α.	Fle	mir	g		
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Drill Mud: None			E Da	eva atun	tion า		15 Bo	5.0 osto	(est	ity I	Ras	e
Ham	nmer V	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 19	9.0 ft Automatia Hammor		Lc	cati	ion	S	ee	Pla	n		Juc	<u> </u>
Han	nmer F	all (in	i.)	24	;	30	-	PID Make & Model: Mir	hiRAE 3000 10.6 eV											
(t	slows n.	N N N	<u>e</u> (#	lings	mbol) بوع		VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION		Gra	avel	5	Sano	d		F	ield %	Tes	st
Depth	Sampler E per 6 ii	Sample & Rec. (Samp	PID Read	USCS Sy	Stratur Chang	Eleviden	(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTEI	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETAT I ON)		% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Mediur	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
- 0 -	9 13	S1 12	0.5 2.0	ND	SM	14. <u></u> 0.5	5 Mediu struct	-BITUMINOUS (im dense tan to light brown sil ure, no odor, moist	CONCRETE- ty SAND (SM), mps 0.3 in.	no			5	20	60	15				
-	11 9 5 4	S2 10	2.0 4.0	ND	SM		Loose no od	tan to light brown silty SAND or, moist, trace pockets of pea	(SM), mps 0.5 in., no struc t	ture,		5	5	20	55	15				
- 5 -	3 4 4 2	S3 3	4.0 6.0	ND	SP		Loose	tan to brown poorly graded S ure, no odor, moist, trace pocł	AND (SP), mps 0.2 in., no ets of gray silty sand				5	20	70	5				
-	2 1 1 2	S4 1	6.0 8.0	ND	SP		Very I no str	oose tan to light brown poorly ucture, no odor, moist -FILL	graded SAND (SP), mps 0 -	.2 in.,			5	20	70	5				
- \	VOH/6 1 1	" S5 2	8.0 10.0	6.4	OL/ OH		Very s struct	soft gray ORGANIC SOIL with ure, no odor, wet	sand (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in.	no			5	5	5	85				
- 10 - -	5 2 2 2	S6 8	10.0 12.0	3.1	OL/ OH/ SM		Loose ORGA trace	dark gray to black silty SANE NIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.7 i wood and brick) (SM) intermixed with n., no structure, no odor, w	et,		5	5	20	45	25				
_	1 1 1	S7 5	12.0 14.0	0.8	OL/ OH/ SM		Simila	r to S6				5	5	20	45	25				
- 15 -	2 1 1 2	S8 18	14.0 16.0	1.3	OL/ OH	1.(14.() Very s organ	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/ ic odor, wet, trace shells and p -ORGANIC D	OH), mps 0.1 in., no struct beat fibers EPOSITS-	ure,						100				
-	1 1 1 1	S9 10	16.0 18.0	ND	OL/ OH		Simila	r to S8								100				
_	1 1 1 2	S10 16	18.0 20.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s organ	soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/ ic odor, wet, trace shells and p	OH), mps 0.1 in., no struct beat fibers	ure,						100				
- 20 -		W	ater L	. <u>eve</u> l D	ata			Sample ID	Well Diagram		<u> </u>		S <u>u</u> m	ı <u>m</u> a	ry					_
D 12/23	ate 3/2019	Time	Ela Tim	psed e (hr.) _o	Dep Bottom f Casing 19.0	oth (ft) Botton of Hole 38.0	to: ⁿ Water 8.51	O - Open End Rod T - Thin Wall Tube U - Undisturbed Sample S - Splitspoon Sample	Riser Pipe Screen Filter Sand Cuttings Grout	Overl Rock Samp	bur Co bles	den ored	(ft (ft) ;) S1	16	38.0 -)			
Field	1 Teete			Dilata	Incv: R	- Rapid	S - Slow	G - Geoprobe	Concrete Bentonite Seal	Bori	ng 1edi	No um). н-	Hiah	1	В	-12			
	te: Ma	ximum	partic	Toug le size i	hness: s deterr	L - Low	M - Mediu y direct ol	In H - High Dry St Deservation within the limitation	rength: N - None L - Low	M - Me	diur	n H	- Hi	igh	V - '	Very	/ Hig	h		_
		No	ote:	Soil ide	entifica	tion ba	sed on v	isual-manual methods of t	he USCS as practiced b	/ Hale	v &	Ald	Irich	h. Ir	ıс.					

H&A-TEST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMIN HA-LIB08-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT (HALEYALDRICH.COMSHARE(CF)PROJECTSN13413360RT1134133-003-TBOWGPJ Jan 24, 20

	Н	۸LE	Y.				т	EST BORING REPORT	E	B or i	ing	NC).	<u></u>	B	-12		
			DRIC	H			•		S	shee	et N	lo.	2	of	2			
	Ê	Blows in.	No (in)	<mark>e</mark> €	dings (۲	/mbol	th (ff)	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel	e	San E	d		F	ield ss	Tes	st
6	Leptn	Sampler per 6	Sample & Rec.	Samp Depth	PID Rea (ppn	NSCS S	Stratu Chan Elev/Dep	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coars	% Fine	% Coars	% Mediu	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatanc	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
-2	20 -	1 1 1	S11 24	20.0 22.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100				
-		1 1 1	S12 24	22.0 24.0	ND	OL/ OH		Similar to S11						100				
- 2	25 -	VOH/6 1 1 1	" S13 14	24.0 26.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells						100				
- 3	v 30 –	VOH/6 1 2 2	" S14 16	29.0 31.0	ND	OL/ OH		Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, 30-40% peat -ORGANIC DEPOSITS-						100				
-			\$15	24.0	ND	MI	-17.9 32.9	Note: Drill action indicates change in density at 32.9. -MARINE DEPOSITS-					15	85				
- 3	35 -	4 6 10 13	9	34.0 36.0				odor, wet, trace lean clay						05				
-		8 15 15 17	S16 13	36.0 38.0	ND	ML		Very stiff gray SILT (ML), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, 10-15% lean clay					5	95				
							-23.0 38.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 38.0 FT Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
		NOTE:	Soil id	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	в	ori	ng	No	•		B	-12		

Jan 24, 20 H&A-TEST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMIN HA-LIB09-BOS - COPY.GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT (HALEYALDRICH.COMSHARE(CFPROJECTS)1341336NT1134133-003-TBOW.GPJ

Н		TEST BORING REPORT								Bo	rin	ıg I	No.	E	8-14	1 (C)W)
Pro Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH r NC	RB CH E HEF RTHE	HAMBE RB CHA ERN DR	RS H MBE	OND RS C ERVI	a, 720 N Ompan Ice, inc	ORRISSEY BOULEVARD, DORCHESTER, MA IES	Fi SI Si	le N hee tart	lo. t Nc l	13 5.1 Dec	413 of cem	3-0 2 ber	04 27, 27	20 ⁷	19	
			(Casing	San	np l er	Barre	Drilling Equipment and Procedures	D	nisr rille	יר r	J. E	Bier	ho l r	27, n	20	13	
Тур	е			HW		S		Rig Make & Model: Mobile Drill B-57, Truck	н	&A	Rep) .	A.	Fle	emir	ıg		
Insi	de Diai	neter	(in.)	4.0	1:	3/8		Drill Mud: None	E	leva atur	atior n	ו	16 Bo	6.5 Ssta	(est n C	i.) litv I	Bas	e
Han	nmer V	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 24.0 ft	Lo	ocat	tion	S	See	Pla	n	<u></u> , .		
Han	nmer F	all (in	.)	24	3	80	-	PID Make & Model: MiniRAE 3000 10.6 eV										
(t	slows	N N N N	<u>∎</u> €	lings	nbo I	Jram	n e h (ff)	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gr	ave		San ∣ ⊊	d 		F	ield ഗ്ല	Tes	st
Depth	Sampler E per 6 ii	Sample & Rec. (Samp Depth	PID Read	USCS Sy	Well Diaç	Stratur Chang Elev/Dept	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Mediur	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
- 0 -					SM		16.2 0.3	-BITUMINOUS CONCRETE-	┟	5	10	25	25	20			\neg	
-	13 10 7	S1 ∖_7_/	0.5	ND	5101	0. 0. . 6		Medium dense black to dark gray silty SAND (SM), mps 0.6 in., no structure, no odor, moist, trace pockets of tan poorly graded sand	- 5	5		25	35	20				
-	7 6 13 24	S2 3	2.0 4.0	0.6	SM			Medium dense dark gray to black silty SAND (SM), mps 0.5 in., no structure, no odor, moist	5	5	10	25	35	20				
- 5 -	22 S3 4.0 35 13 6.0 18 32 32 Very dense brown to gray brown silty SAND with gramps 1.2 in., no structure, no odor, moist, 25-30% brid concrete							Very dense brown to gray brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 1.2 in., no structure, no odor, moist, 25-30% brick and concrete	5	10	15	25	25	20				
-	10 32 0							Dense brown to light brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, moist, 10-15% brick and concrete	5	10	10	30	25	20				
-	6 5 6	S5 5	8.0 10.0	ND	SW- SM			Medium dense brown to red brown well graded SAND with sil and gravel (SW-SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet -FILL-	10	10	20	30	20	10				
- 10 - -	8 5 4 6	S6 3	10.0 12.0	ND	SW- SM			Loose brown to light brown well graded SAND with silt and gravel (SW-SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace brick specks	10	10	20	30	20	10				
-	6 6 4 5	S7 5	12.0 14.0	0.4	SM			Loose tan to black silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.7 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace pockets of black organic soil	5	10	10	30	25	20				
- 15 -	8 6 6 3	S8 4	14.0 16.0	ND	SM			Medium dense black silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.6 in no structure, no odor, wet	, 5	10	10	30	25	20				
-	3 0.5 1 S9 16.0 1 14 18.0 0H 1 0H 0H 0H						Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace fine sand seams -ORGANIC DEPOSITS-						100					
-	1 S10 19.0 ND OL/ Soft gray ORGANIC SOIL with sand (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., structure, no odor, wet, trace shells										15	85						
20-		Wa	ater Le	evel Dat	a			Sample ID Well Diagram		:	Sun	าทาย	ary				_	
D	Date Time Elapsed Depth (ft) to: O - Open End Rod Riser Pipe Time Mathematical Stress Bottom Bottom Water T - Thin Wall Tube Screen Screen U - Undisturbed Sample U - Undisturbed Sample Screen Screen Screen Screen							O - Open End Rod IIII Riser Pipe Ov Pr T - Thin Wall Tube Screen Ro U - Undisturbed Sample Filter Sand Ro	erbur ck Co	der orec	n (ft d (ff	t) t)	;	31.0 -)			
12/2 12/3	//2019 D/2019	019 1506 19.0 21.0 10.0 S - Splitspoon Sample Cuttings 019 0710 19.0 21.0 8.91 G - Geoprobe Gout Gout 019 0710 19.0 21.0 8.91 G - Geoprobe S - Splitspoon Sample Grout						G - Geoprobe	nple: ring	5 J N (0.	S	12 B	-14	l (C	W))	
Fiel	d Tests	:		Dilatan	cy:R	- Rapio	d S-Slov v M-Mea	V N-None Plasticity: N-Nonplastic L-Low M ium H-High Dry Strength: N-None L-Low M-1	Med Iediu	ium n ⊦	н - н - н	Hig ligh	h V-	Verv	/ Hia	h		
[†] No	te: Ma	ximum No	particle	e size is oil iden	determ	nined	by direct	observation within the limitations of sampler size.	lev 8	. ΔΙα	dric	h li	nc					

	н	ALE	Y					TES	F BORING REPORT	B	3ori	ing	No) .	E	i-14	(0)	N)	
			DRIC	H			_			s	hee	et N	0.	341 2	of	2			
	(ft)	Blows in	e No (in)	ple (ft)	adings n)	ymbol	agram	um nge pth (ft)	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra ø	avel	se ;	San E	d		F ج	ield ss	Tes	st
	Depth	Sampler per 6	Sampl & Rec	Sam Dept	PID Re((ppr	nscs s	Well Di	Strat Char Elev/De	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size', structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coan	% Fine	% Coan	% Medi	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatano	Toughn	Plasticit	Strengt
A-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE.GDT WHALEYALDRICH.COMISHARE/CF/PROJECTS/134133/GINT/134133-003-TBOW.GPJ Jan 24, 20	- 20 - - 20 - 	Jadues 2 2 10 8 8 8 5 6 8 8 5 6	S11 6 S12 7	24.0 26.0 29.0 31.0		sw	Well Di	-14:0 -5:0 -14:0 -14:0 -14:0 -15:0 -14:0 -15:0 -	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size', structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION) Note: Drill action indicates a change in material at 23.0 ft. Medium dense gray well graded SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet -GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS- Medium dense gray to tan well graded SAND with gravel (SM), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, wet BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 31.0 FT Note: Observation well installed. Refer to installation report for details.	10 10	15 15	20 20	35 % Medi	20 20 20	G G Main and Mai And Main and Main a	Dilatanc	Toughn	Plasticit	Strengt
EST BORING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIB09-BOS - COPY GLB HA-																			
H&A-TI		NOTE	Soil ic	lentifica	tion bas	ed on v	/isual-	-manual r	nethods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	B	ori	ng	No	•	E	، -14	0)	<i>I</i> N)	

Н		PRIC	H			т	EST	BORING REPOR	RT			Во	rin	g١	۱o.		B	3-18	5	
Pro Clie Cor	ject ent ntracto	HE TH or NC	ERB (IE HE DRTH	CHAMBE ERB CHA IERN DR	RS H MBE	ONDA, RS CON ERVICE	720 MO /IPANIE E, INC.	RRISSEY BOULEVARD, I S	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh St	e N neet art	o. : No	134 0. 1 Ja	413 of inua	3-0 2 ary 2	04 2, 2	020)	
				Casing	San	npler	Barrel	Drilling Equipment	t and Procedures		Fir Dr	nish iller)	Ja J. E	Bierł	ny⊿ no i n	∠, ∠ n	020	,	
Тур	е			HW		s		Rig Make & Model: Mob	ile Drill B-57, Truck		Н	sa i	Rep).	Α.	Fle	mir	ıg		
Insid	de Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Bit Type: Roller Bit Drill Mud: None			E	eva	tion		16	.0	(est	.)	D -	
Han	nmer \	Neight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 34	.0 ft		Lo	atun cat	n ion	S	ее I	osto Plar	n C 1	ity i	ва	se
Han	nmer I	-all (in	ı.)	24	3	80	-	Hoist/Hammer: Winch / PID Make & Model: Mini	Automatic Hammer					-						
t)	SWC	of c		sbu	q	ŧ	\ \	/ISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION		Gra	avel	5	Sano	d		F	ield	Те	st
Depth (f	Sampler Blo per 6 in.	Sample N & Rec. (ir	Sample	PID Readir (ppm)	USCS Sym	Stratum Change Elev/Depth		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTER	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETATION)	-	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Strength
- 0 -						15.8 0.2		-BITUMINOUS C	ONCRETE-		F	4.0	4.0		0.5					F
-	15 26 7	S1 6	0.5 2.0	ND	SM		no stru	gray brown to tan silty SAND cture, no odor, moist, trace po	with gravel (SM), mps 0.4 ockets of fine sand	1 in.,	5	10	10	30	25	20				
-	8 6 5 8	S2 9	2.0 4.0	ND	SM		Mediur structu	n dense brown to light gray sil re, no odor, moist, 10-15% po	lty SAND (SM), mps 0.4 in ockets of organic soil	n., no	5	5	10	25	40	15				
- 5 -	22 28 32 27	S3 5	4.0 6.0	ND	SM		Similar	Similar to S2 Dense gray brown to gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.6 no structure, no odor, wet, trace brick, trace pockets of organic				5	10	25	40	15				
-	38 23 16 11	S4 2	6.0 8.0	ND	SM		Dense no stru					10	15	30	25	15				
-	5 5 2	S5 6	8.0 10.0	ND	SM		Similar	to S4, except loose			5	10	15	30	25	15				
- 10 -	2 10 3 3	S6 3	10.0 12.0) ND	SM		Loose structu	gray brown to brown silty SAN re, no odor, wet	- ID (SM), mps 0.6 in., no		5	5	10	30	35	15				
-	1 3 3 3	S7 6	12.0 14.0) ND	SP		Loose odor, v	gray poorly graded SAND (SF /et	P), mps 0.3 in., no structu	e, no		5	5	15	70	5				
- 15 -	5 6 10 10 7	S8 12	14.0 16.0) ND	SP		Mediur structu	n dense gray poorly graded S re, no odor, wet, trace brick sp	AND (SP), mps 0.5 in., no pecks	D	5	5	10	20	55	5				
-	8 8 10 7	S9 16	16.0 18.0) ND	SP	0.0 16.0	Mediur structu	n dense gray poorly graded S re, no odor, wet -MARINE DEI	AND (SP), mps 0.4 in., no POSITS-	0		5	5	20	65	5				
-	7 5 4 3	7 S10 18.0 5 6 20.0 4 3 SP Loose gray poorly graded SAND (SP), mps 0.3 in., no structure odor, wet, trace wood/stick				re, no		5	5	20	65	5								
- 20 -		Water Level Data Samela ID Well Diagram						Well Diagram		<u> </u>	 	L Sum	 m2	rv					<u> </u>	
D	ate	Time Elapsed Depth (ft) to: O - Open End Rod Time (hr.) Bottom Bottom Water							Riser Pipe Screen	Over Rock	bur	den ored	(ft)	3	36.C)			
1/2/	/2020		1		Juoniy		~7.0	U - Undisturbed Sample S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Grout	Sam	ples	5 N/	ייי 	S^	17	В	-15			
Field	d Tests	:		Dilatan	cy: R	- Rapid	6 - Slow 4 - Mediu	N - None Plastic m H - High Drv Str	Bentonite Seal	M - M⊂ M - M⊂	Medi	um n H	H -	High	י-V	Verv	Hin			
[†] No	te: Ma	ximum No	partic	le size is Soil iden	detern	nined by	direct ob	servation within the limitation sual-manual methods of th	ns of sampler size.	v Hale	ev &	Alc	Iric	. Ir	nc.					

	н	HALEY TEST BORING REPORT					B	Bori	ing	No).		B-	-15				
			ÖRIC	Η					S S	ile i Shee	No. et N	1 0.	341 2	33-(of)04 			
	ŧ	Blows in.	e No. (in)	ple (ft)	adings n)	ymbol	um oth (ft)	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel	e e	Sano E	d		Fi ج	eld sse		st _
	Depth	Sampler per 6	Sample & Rec.	Sam Depth	PID Rea (ppr	USCS S	Strati Chan Elev/Dep	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coars	% Fine	% Coars	% Mediu	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatanc	Toughne	Plasticit	Strength
	- 20	6 2 1 1	S11 5	20.0 22.0	ND	SP		Very loose gray poorly graded SAND (SP), mps 0.5 in., no structure, no odor, wet		5	5	20	65	5				
		4 3 1 3	S12 10	22.0 24.0	ND	SP		Similar to S11		5	5	20	65	5				
	- 25 -	9 5 4 3	S13 24.0 ND SP 5 26.0 ND SP S14 26.0 ND SP 5 28.0 ND SP S15 28.0 ND SM 515 28.0 ND SM	Loose gray poorly graded SAND (SP), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	5	5	5	20	60	5								
GPJ Jan 24, 20		3 6 S1.4 4 5 4 3 5 S115 4 5 3 2 2 S110 3 6	S14 5	26.0 28.0	ND	SP	-12.0	Similar to S13	5	5	5	20	60	5				
34133-003-TBOW	- 30 -	5 4 3 2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Loose gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.9 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	15	15	25	15	20								
CTS/134133/GINT/		2 3 3 2		-GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS-				20	15	20								
OM/SHARE/CF/PROJE		12 8		Medium dense gray to olive brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, wet	10	15	15	30	15	15								
EYALDRICH.C		9 10					-20.0 36.0	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 36.0 FT								_		
/FENCE.GDT WHAL								Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
TB+CORE+WELL-09 M																		
IS COPY GLB HA																		
UMN HA-LIB09-BC																		
ATH PERM PID COLI																		
TEST BORING W																_1=		
H&A-		NOTE:	IOTE: Soil identification based on visual-manual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.					B	ori	ng	No	•		-0	-13			

H		TEST BORING REPORT									I	Boi	rin	g N	lo.		B	-16	5
Proj Clier Con	ect nt tracto	HE TH or NC	RB C E HE RTH	HAMBE RB CHA ERN DF	ERS H Ambe Rill S	ONDA, RS COI ERVICI	720 MC MPANIE E, INC.	DRRISSEY BOULEVARD, S	DORCHESTER, MA		Fil Sh Sta	e N leet art	o. No [134 . 1 Dec	413 of emt	3-0 3 5er	04 30,	201	19
				Casing	San	npler	Barrel	Drilling Equipmen	t and Procedures		Fir	iller	L	Jeco J. B	ern. liert	oer no i n	зо, n	201	9
Туре	•			НW	;	s		Rig Make & Model: Mob	ile Drill B-57, Truck		H8	ka f	Rep		Α.	Fle	min	g	
Insid	e Dia	meter	(in.)	4.0	1	3/8		Bit Type: Roller Bit Drill Mud: None			Ele	evat	tion		15 Po	.5	(est	.)	2000
Ham	mer V	Veight	(l b)	300	1	40	-	Casing: HW Drive to 19	0.0 ft		Lo	cati	on	S	во ee F	Plar	<u>ท ()</u> า		base
Ham	nmer F	all (in	.)	24	3	30	-	Hoist/Hammer: Winch . PID Make & Model: Min	Automatic Hammer iRAE 3000 10.6 eV										
£	SMO .	°, P ⊂	n £	sbu	oq	E E	: v	' /ISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICA	TION AND DESCRIPTION	1	Gra	avel	ę	Sand	ł		F	eld	Test
Depth (i	Sampler Bl per 6 in	Sample I & Rec. (i	Sample Depth (i	PID Readi (ppm)	USCS Syn	Stratum Change Elev/Depth		(Color, GROUP NAME, structure, odor, moisture, GEOLOGIC INTEF	max. particle size [†] , optional descriptions RPRETATION)		% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Medium	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughnes	Plasticity
- 0 +						15.2		-BITUMINOUS C	ONCRETE-		_					_			-
	14 10 9	S1 9	0.5 2.0		SM		Mediui mps 0.	m dense brown to gray brown 7 in., no structure, no odor, m	silty SAND with gravel (S noist, trace pockets of fine	M), sand	5	10	10	35	20	20			
.	14 14 17 9	S2 8	2.0 4.0	_	SM		Dense structu	Dense gray brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.5 in., no structure, no odor, moist Medium dense dark brown to gray brown silty SAND with gravel			5	10	10	35	20	20			
- 5 -	8 6 6	S3 7	4.0 6.0		SM		Mediui (SM), i	Medium dense dark brown to gray brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 1.3 in., no structure, no odor, moist			5	10	10	30	25	20			
-	5 5 3 2	S4 4	6.0 8.0	_	SM		Loose 1.0 in.,	Loose dark brown to gray brown silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 1.0 in., no structure, no odor, moist -FILL-			10	10	15	30	15	20			
.	3 1 1	S5 8	8.0 10.0	ND	SM		Very Ic cinders	bose gray to black silty SAND s, mps 0.8 in., no structure, no	(SM) intermixed with ash o odor, wet, trace glass	and		10	10	30	30	20			
- 10	1 1 1 2	S6 6	10.0 12.0	8.6	SM		Very Ic cinders sheen,	bose gray to black silty SAND s, mps 0.8 in., no structure, fa trace wood	(SM) intermixed with ash int petroleum odor, wet, s	and light		10	15	35	20	20			
.	3	S 7	12.0		БТ	3.3	Simila	r to S6								100			_
-	1 1 1	10	14.0	0.4		12.2	Very s strong	oft gray brown to brown PEAT organic odor, wet	(PT), mps 0.1 in., no stru	icture,						100			
- 15 -	2 1 1	S8 12	14.0 16.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s no stru	oft gray to gray brown ORGAN ucture, organic odor, wet, peat	NIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0 fibers throughout	.1 in.,						100			
	2 2 1	S9 11	16.0 34.0	ND	OL/ OH		Soft gr organi	ray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), c odor, wet, trace peat fibers	mps 0.1 in., no structure,							100			
	2							-ORGANIC DE	EPOSITS-										
	VOH/6 1	" S10 2	19.0 21.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very s organi	oft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/ c odor, wet, trace shells	OH), mps 0.1 in., no struc	ture,						100			
		Wa	ater L	evel Dat	ta	th /ft\ +	<u>.</u>	Sample ID	Well Diagram	-		S	Sum	ma	ry				
Da	ate	Time Elapsed Depth (ft) to: Time (hr.) Bottom Bottom Water T - Thin Wall Tube Creen				Screen	Overt Rock	ouro Co	den ored	(ft) (ft))	6	6.C)					
			N	lot Measu	ured			G - Ondisturbed Sample S - Splitspoon Sample G - Geoprobe	Grout	Samp	les			S2	20	P	_16		
	ald Tests: Dilatancy: R - Rapid S - Slow N - None Plasticity: N - Nonplastic L - Low						Bori	ng	No).			D	-10					
Field	Tests	:		Dilatan	icy∷ R	- Rapid	3 - 310W	n - none Flasur	ity. N - Norplastic L - Lo	w ivi – iv	ieuit	um	н	High	1				

НА	LE	EY DRICH			т		B	Bori	ing	No	•		в	-16			
Δ	TD	RIC	H					F S	ile l hee	No. et N	1 0.	341 2	33-0 of)04 3			
(ff)	Swor	N N N	(tt)	dings)	loqu.	th ff	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel	0	Sano	L L		F	ield s	Te	st
Depth Sampler I	per 6 j	Sample & Rec.	Samp Depth	PID Rea (ppm	uscs sy	Stratu Chanç Elev/Dep	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coars	% Fine	% Coars	% Mediu	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughne	Plasticity	Strength
-	1																
- 25 -	1 1 1	S11 13	24.0 26.0	ND	OL/ OH		Similar to S10						100				
- wc - 30 - 	DH/6" 1 1	S12 24	29.0 31.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells and peat fibers						100				
- wc WC - 35 - 1/ 	ЭН/б" ЭН/б" /12"	S13 21	34.0 36.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells -ORGANIC DEPOSITS-						100				
- 40 - - 40 - 	1 1 1	S14 20	39.0 41.0	ND	OL/ OH		Very soft gray ORGANIC SOIL (OL/OH), mps 0.1 in., no structure, organic odor, wet, trace shells					5	95				
- 45 -	1 1 1 3	S15 9	44.0 46.0	ND	CL	-28.5 44.0	Very soft gray lean CLAY (CL), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace silt						100	S	M	н	
	3 3 3 3	S16 22	46.0 48.0	ND	CL		Medium stiff gray LEAN CLAY (CL), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace silt -MARINE DEPOSITS-						100	S	м	н	
t wc	ЭН/6"	S17	49.0	ND	CL		Very soft gray lean CLAY (CL), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor,						100	s	м	н	
N	OTE:	Soil id	entificat	tion bas	ed on v	/isual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Halev & Aldrich. Inc.	В	ori	ng	No.			В	-16		

Т

	Н		P RIC	H			T	EST BORING REPORT	F	Bor i ile ihee	ing No. et N	1 No). 341 3	33- of	B 004 3	-16		
F	£	ows.	N N N	a É	sbu	lodn	E e c	VISUAL-MANUAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	Gra	avel		San	d	-	F	ield		st
	Depth (Sampler B per 6 ir	Sample & Rec. (Sampl Depth (PID Read (ppm)	USCS Syr	Stratun Change Elev/Depth	(Color, GROUP NAME, max. particle size [†] , structure, odor, moisture, optional descriptions GEOLOGIC INTERPRETATION)	% Coarse	% Fine	% Coarse	% Mediun	% Fine	% Fines	Dilatancy	Toughnes	Plasticity	Strength
-	50 -	1 1 2	24	51.0				wet, trace silt										
24, 20	\ - 55	NOH/6 2 2 2	" S18 22	54.0 56.0	ND	CL		Soft gray lean CLAY (CL), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, trace fine sand seams						100	s	м	н	
DW.GPJ Jan								-MARINE DEPOSITS-										
2TS\134133\GINT\134133-003-TBC	60 –	3 5 6 11	S19 23	59.0 61.0	ND	CL		Stiff gray lean CLAY (CL), mps 0.1 in., no structure, no odor, wet, 15-20% silt, trace fine sand layers						100	s	м	н	
	65 -	8 12 14 13	S20 6	64.0 66.0	ND	SM	-48.5 64.0 -50.5 66.0	Medium dense gray silty SAND with gravel (SM), mps 0.8 in., no structure, no odor, wet -GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS- BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION 66.0 FT	10	10	15	30	20	15				
PY GLB HA-TB+CORE+WELL-09 W FENCE GDT V								Note: Backfilled with cuttings to approximately 10 ft below ground surface, and backfilled with pea gravel to ground surface. Patched borehole with cold patch.										
RING WITH PERM PID COLUMN HA-LIB09-BOS - COF																		
H&A-TEST BU			Soil ic	ioil identification based on visual-			 visual-ma	nual methods of the USCS as practiced by Haley & Aldrich, Inc.	B	ori	ng	No	•	<u> </u>	B	-16	ļ;	

APPENDIX B

Grain Size Test Results

















FOR BWSC USE ONLY

ARCHITECT:

BOSTON, MA 02109

APPLICANT:

COMPANIES

47 EASTERN BOULEVARD

GLASTONBURY, CT 06033

CHA CONSULTING, INC.

1 FANEUIL HALL MARKETPLACE

SOUTH MARKET BUILDING

THE CURTIS ARCHITECTURAL GROUP

36 BURRAGE ROAD **NEWTON, MA 02459**

MEP ENGINEER:

CBC ENGINEERING

536 GRANITE STREET BRAINTREE, MA 02184

] [
	BOSTON WATER & SEWER DEI	MOLITION INSPEC	CTION LIST		BOSTON WATER & SEWER	R DRAINAGE INSP	PECTION LIST		BOSTON WATER & SEWER	R DRAINAGE INSP	ECTION LIST		BOSTON WATER & SEWER		CTION LIST
TASK ID	STRUCTURE/CONNECTION	INSPECTION DATE	INSPECTOR SIGNATURE	TASK ID	STRUCTURE/CONNECTION	INSPECTION DATE	INSPECTOR SIGNATURE	TASK ID	STRUCTURE/CONNECTION	INSPECTION DATE	INSPECTOR SIGNATURE	TASK ID	STRUCTURE/CONNECTION	INSPECTION DATE	INSPECTOR SIGNATURE
DP1-1	CATCH BASIN (STA 1+36, 118' R)			GD1-1	UG-1			GD2-7	CB-9 (2+99: 213'R)			UT1-1	SMH-1 (1+93: 178'R)		
DP1-2	CATCH BASIN (STA 1+79, 60' R)			GD1-2	UG-2			GD2-8	CB-10A (2+82: 222'R)			UT1-2	SMH-2 (0+59: 174'R)		
DP2-1	CATCH BASIN (STA 2+79, 60' R)			GD1-3	UG-3			GD2-9	CB-10B (2+56: 222'R)			UT1-3	WATER TO PH2 TRAILERS (2+81, 71'R)		
DP2-2	CATCH BASIN (STA 3+32, 185' R)			GD1-4	DCB-1 (2+01: 66'R)			GD2-10	DMH-10 (4+25: 30'R)			UT1-4	SEWER TO PH2 TRAILER (0+59, 140'R)		
DP2-3	DRAIN MANHOLE (STA 3+65, 51'R)			GD1-5	DCB-2 (1+19: 70'R)			GD2-11	DMH-11 (3+75: 30'R)			UT1-5	SEWER TO PH2 TRAILER (0+84, 125'R)		
DP2-4	DRAIN MANHOLE (STA 3+68, 50'R)			GD1-6	DCB-3 (1+31: 166'R)			GD2-12	DMH-12 (3+35: 30'R)			UT2-1	SMH-3 (4+01: 179'R)		
DP2-5	CATCH BASIN (STA 3+69, 61'R)			GD1-7	DMH-1 (2+38: 57'R)			GD2-13	DMH-13A (6+01: 149'R)			UT2-2	OIL/GAS SEPARATOR (4+37: 179'R)		
DP2-6	CATCH BASIN (STA 3+69, 153'R)			GD1-8	DMH-2 (2+01: 57'R)			GD2-14	DMH-13B (5+84: 167'R)			UT2-3	FIRE CONNECTION (5+42: 4'R)		
DP2-7	DRAIN MANHOLE (STA 4+05, 62'R)			GD1-9	DMH-3 (1+67: 104'R)			GD2-15	DMH-13C (3+75: 192'R)			UT2-4	WATER CONNECTION (5+40: 4'R)		
DP2-8	DRAIN MANHOLE (STA 4+05, 77'R)			GD1-10	DMH-5 (1+77: 189'R)			GD2-16	DMH-14 (2+69: 190'R)			UT2-5	SMH-1 STUB CONNECTION (1+98: 178'R)		
DP2-9	CATCH BASIN (STA 4+05, 150'R)			GD1-11	DMH-6 (0+80: 141'R)			GD2-17	DMH-15 (2+76: 210'R)			UT3-1	SEWER CONNECTION (0+34: 178'R)		
DP2-10	CATCH BASIN (STA 4+75, 116'R)			GD1-12	DMH-7 (0+77: 92'R)			GD3-1	CB-11 (2+57: 167'R)			UT3-2	SMH-2 STUB CONNECTION (0+56: 174'R)		
DP2-11	DRAIN SERVICE CUT & CAP (STA 3+68, 20' L)			GD1-13	DMH-8 (0+77: 42'R)			GD3-2	CB-12 (2+41: 108'R)			DT3-2	DYE TEST AT SMH-87 (0+62: 342'R)		
DP2-12	IRRIGATION WATER (STA 2+92, 167'R)			GD1-14	OCS-1 (0+85: 41'R)			GD3-3	CB-13 (2+60: 70'R)					•	
DP3-1	OIL/GAS SEPARATOR (STA 1+82, 100' R)			GD1-15	OCS-2 (0+97: 91'R)			GD3-4	DCB-14 (1+99: 166'R)						
DP3-2	SEWER MANHOLE (STA 1+81, 119' R)			GD1-16	OCS-3 (0+87: 141'R)			GD3-5	DMH-4 (2+01: 106'R)						
DP3-3	DOMESTIC WATER CUT & CAP (STA 2+93, 71' R)			GD1-17	DRAIN CONNECTION (0+73: 14'L)			GD3-6	DMH-9 (2+31: 108'R)						
DP3-4	FIRE SERVICE CUT & CAP (STA 2+91, 71' R)			GD2-1	CB-4 (4+86: 35'R)			PL2-1	"DON'T DUMP" PLAQUES (9ea in PH2)						
DP3-5	SEWER SERVICE CUT & CAP (STA 0+31, 159' R)			GD2-2	CB-5 (4+28: 35'R)			PL3-1	"DON'T DUMP" PLAQUES (7ea in PH3)						
DP3-6	WATER SERVICE FOR TRAILERS (STA 2+81, 71' R)			GD2-3	CB-6 (3+39: 35'R)			DT1-1	DYE TEST AT DMH-249 (3+46: 15'L)						
DP3-7	SEWER SERVICE FOR TRAILER (STA 0+59, 140' R)			GD2-4	CB-7A (5+85: 96'R)			DT2-1	DYE TEST AT DMH-2 (2+01: 57'R)]			
DP3-8	SEWER SERVICE FOR TRAILER (STA 0+85, 128' R)			GD2-5	CB-7B (5+89: 173'R)			DT2-2	DYE TEST AT DMH-5 (1+77: 189'R)			1			
	•			GD2-6	CB-8 (3+85: 212'R)			DT3-1	DYE TEST AT DMH-3 (1+67: 104'R)]			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								-			

TASK ID LEGEND:

SHEET TITLE ------

SPECIFIC ID

| # FOR PHASE PHASE # & SHEET

DP – DEMO & PHASING PLAN GD – GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN UT – UTILITY PLAN PL* - PLAQUES ("DON'T DUMP") DT* – DYE TEST

Site Development Plans Herb Chambers Honda of Boston

#710-720 Morrissey Blvd., Boston (Dorchester), MA

* PLAQUES & DYE TESTS ARE LISTED IN THE TASK ID LEGEND AS "SHEET TITLES", HOWEVER, THERE IS NO SPECIFIC PLAQUE OR DYE TEST SHEET. THESE ITEMS CAN BE FOUND ON THEIR RESPECTIVE GD OR UT SHEETS.

DRAWING INDEX

: er	Sheet Title
	TITLE SHEET
	NOTES & LEGEND (SHEET 1)
	NOTES & LEGEND (SHEET 2)
	DEMOLITION & PHASING PLAN (PHASE 1) 20059
	DEMOLITION & PHASING PLAN (PHASE 2) 20252
	DEMOLITION & PHASING PLAN (PHASE 3) 20253
	SITE PLAN (PHASE 1) 20059
	SITE PLAN (PHASE 2) 20252
	SITE PLAN (PHASE 3) 20253
	OVERALL SITE PLAN
	GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN (PHASE 1) 20059
	GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN (PHASE 2) 20252
	GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN (PHASE 3) 20253
	OVERALL GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN
	UTILITY PLAN (PHASE 1) 20059
	UTILITY PLAN (PHASE 2) 20252
	UTILITY PLAN (PHASE 3) 20253
	OVERALL UTILITY PLAN
	DETAILS-1
	DETAILS-2
	DETAILS-3
	DETAILS-4
	DETAILS-5
	DETAILS-6
	DETAILS-7

WARD: 16 PARCEL ID: 1600251001

EXISTING BWSC ACCOUNT: #1299270 SITE PLAN NUMBERS: #20059; #20252; #20253

			Drawing Copyri	ght © 20	21	
		C				/
		1 Fanouil Ha		South	Market	Puilding
		617.451.	Boston, MA 02 .2717 . www.	, South 2109-61 chacom	17 17 panies.	com
		OWNE	ER / AF	PPL	ICA	<u>NT:</u>
			ON	T I	D	A
	Ι.		01144			
					=KX	
	v	HFR	B CH		RF	RS
		C	OMP	ANI	ES	
		47 E/	ASTEF	RN	BL	VD.
		GLAS	STONE	BUF	RY,	CT
			060	33		
			ANTH OF			
			KELL			
		8	CIVIL No. 3890			5
				A		
		M		JE O	1	
				0.1	• 6	
	IT IS AC	A VIOLATION (TING UNDER TH	OF LAW FOR AN	IY PERSO F A LICE	ON, UNL NSED PI	ESS THEY ARE ROFESSIONAL OR LAND
	SURVE STAM	YOR TO ALTER IP OF A LICENS ENGINEER, ARC JRVEYOR SHALL	AN ITEM IN AN' SED PROFESSION HITECT, LANDSC STAMP THE D	Y WAY. I IAL IS A CAPE AR OCUMEN	F AN IT LTERED, CHITECT F AND II	EM BEARING THE THE ALTERING OR LAND NCLUDE THE
	NO D	TATION "ALTERE ATE OF SUCH	ED BY" FOLLOWE ALTERATION, AN OF THE ALTE	ED BY TH ND A SPI ERATION.	HEIR SIG ECIFIC D	NATURE, THE ESCRIPTION
		PR	OPOSE	ED S	SITE	
		DEVEI		:N I ?	PL/	ANS
		HEF HON	RB CHA DA OF	AME BO	SER	S N
	71	0-720	MORR	ISS	ΕY	BLVD.
		DOE	KUHES	ιEF	к, М	IA
	No.	Submittal	/ Revision	App'd.	Ву	Date
	2	Response BWSC Co	e to omments	кк	мт	06/09/21
	1	Response BWSC Co	e to omments	кк	JM	06/26/20
	0	Boston Sewer C	Water & omm.	кк	JM	01/31/20
		1				
						-
			ILE S	HE	E	I
	Des	igned Rv	Drawn	Bv:	Ch	ecked Bv
		TK	TK/M	т		KK
	Iss 01/	ue Date: 31/2020	Project 0590	. NO: 51	AS	Scale: SHOWN
			Drawing	JNo.:		
		(<u>_</u>)() 1	
	i i		$\sim \mathbf{U}$		/]	L

SURVEY NOTES:

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. THE EXISTING CONDITIONS INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON IS THE RESULT OF AN ON-THE-GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY CHA CONSULTING, INC. IN OCTOBER OF 2018
- 2. ALL DEED REFERENCES ARE TO SUFFOLK COUNTY REGISTRY OF DEEDS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 3. LOCUS OWNER OF RECORD: BLOOMRICH REALTY 1990 TRUST (HENRY BLOOM & MARK J LEVINSON TRUSTEES) DEED BOOK 16376 PAGE 102 PARCEL ID 1600251001
- 4. TOPOGRAPHY, CONTOURS AND BENCHMARKS ARE BASED ON THE BOSTON CITY VERTICAL DATUM (BCB). TEMPORARY BENCHMARKS, REFERENCED TO THE DATUM ARE INDICATED ON THE SURVEY. IN THE EVENT THAT BENCHMARKS (TBM'S), ESTABLISHED FOR THIS PROJECT AND PUBLISHED ON THIS SURVEY ARE DESTROYED, NOT
- RECOVERABLE OR A DISCREPANCY IS FOUND. THE USER SHOULD NOTIFY THIS FIRM IN WRITING PRIOR TO COMMENCING OR CONTINUING ANY WORK. 5. THE PROJECT AREA IS PARTIALLY LOCATED IN FLOOD ZONE "AE" (EL. 16.5'), BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS DETERMINED, AND PARTIALLY
- LOCATED IN FLOOD ZONE "X", AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN, AS SHOWN ON FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FOR THE CITY OF BOSTON, COMMUNITY PANEL NUMBER 25025C0091J, EFFECTIVE DATE MARCH 16, 2016.
- 6. THE LOCUS PARCEL IS LOCATED IN THE CITY OF BOSTON, DORCHESTER NEIGHBORHOOD DISTRICT AND COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL SUBDISTRICT AND IS PARTIALLY LOCATED IN THE FLOOD HAZARD OVERLAY DISTRICT & GREENBELT PROTECTION OVERLAY DISTRICT AS DEFINED BY THE CITY OF BOSTON ZONING MAP #5C. MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIREMENTS ARE:

FRONT SETBACKN/A SIDE SETBACK:.....N/A REAR SETBACK:....

- LOCATION OF SUBSURFACE UTILITIES SHOWN HEREON ARE APPROXIMATE AND ADDITIONAL UTILITIES MAY EXIST THAT ARE NOT SHOWN ON THIS PLAN, LOCATIONS ARE COMPILED FROM UTILITY PLANS OF RECORD AND DIG-SAFE FIELD MARKINGS, RIM AND INVERT INFORMATION HAS BEEN COMPILED AND FIELD VERIFIED WHERE POSSIBLE. THIS INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION. PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, CONTACT DIG-SAFE (1-800-344-7233) TO FIELD VERIFY LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES.
- 8. PLAN REFERENCES:
- PLAN BOOK 7975, PAGE 580 • PLAN BOOK 7219, PAGE 369
- MA STATE HIGHWAY LAYOUT 4309
- MASSACHUSETTS WATER RESOURCES AUTHORITY: CSO TREATMENT FACILITIES UPGRADES, COMMERCIAL POINT CHEMICAL BUILDING EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN, DRAWING NO. CP C-2, PAGE 14 OF 127, PREPARED BY JUDITH NITSCH ENGINEERING INC., DATED JULY 1999,
- RECEIVED FROM THE MASSACHUSETTS WATER RESOURCES AUTHORITY. • COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT COMMISSION "EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY PLAN" PREPARED BY VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC. DATED OCTOBER 4, 1994 (UNRECORDED).

LEGEND - EXISTING

·//

/////	///////////////////////////////////////	
	—×——	X
	-S	S
	— D	D
		W
	— G	G
. ~	$\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$	· · · ·
		СВ
		СВ
		MHST
		→ TREE

BUILDING LINE
PARCEL BOUNDARY LINE
EASEMENT LINE
ADJOINING PARCEL LINE
INTERIOR PARCEL LINE
EDGE OF ASPHALT
EDGE OF CONCRETE
MAJOR CONTOUR LINE
MINOR CONTOUR LINE
FENCE LINE
SEWER LINE
DRAIN LINE
WATER LINE
GAS LINE
TREE LINE
TRAFFIC FLOW ARROW
FLOOR DRAIN
ROUND CATCH BASIN
SQUARE CATCH BASIN
STORM MANHOLE
DECIDUOUS TREE

MANHOLE

ELECTRIC MANHOLE

P	SINGLE POST SIGN
⊙ ^{BOL}	BOLLARD
M W	MONITORING WELL
POST O	POST
() IP	IRON PIPE
ICV	IRRIGATION CONTROL VALVE
WSO	WATER SHUT OFF
WV	WATER VALVE
-Ç-	HYDRANT
GSO	GAS SHUT OFF
GV	GAS VALVE
GAS MTR	GAS METER
MHSA	SEWER MANHOLE
CO	CLEAN OUT
O VENT	VENT
Ø	UTILITY POLE
GUY POLE	GUY POLE
*	LIGHT POLE

BOLLARD MONITORING WELL POST RON PIPE CONTROL VALVE VATER SHUT OFF VATER VALVE HYDRANT GAS SHUT OFF GAS VALVE GAS METER EWER MANHOLE LEAN OUT

ELECTRIC HAND HOLE

FLECTRIC BOX

IT	VENT
	UTILITY POLE
POLE	GUY POLE
	LIGHT POLE
	GUY WIRE
	FLAG POLE

PARKING METER •PM

- FARTH

- 75%± MINIMUM DENSITY, AS DETERMINED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. IS ACHIEVED.

- 2. FILL MATERIAL

- FINISH GRADING

- 9. <u>WATER</u>

- C. REFER TO PLUMBING PLANS FOR WATER SERVICE, FIRE PROTECTION, AND SANITARY SEWER CONNECTIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

- FOR BWSC USE ONLY

SWPPP NOTES

CONTRACTOR TO ABIDE BY PROVISIONS OF THE LONG TERM POLLUTION PREVENTION AND OPERATION & MAINTENANCE DOCUMENTS PREPARED FOR THE PROJECT SITE. CONTRACTOR TO USE EPA NPDES CONSTRUCTION PHASE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS AS A MINIMUM STANDARD FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.

2. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INCORPORATED IN THE SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION TO PREVENT SEDIMENT-LADEN WATER FROM LEAVING THE SITE. THESE MEASURES MAY INCLUDE STAKED STRAW BALES, FILTER SOCK, POLYPROPYLENE SILT FENCING AND VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF THE THREE. THE LOCATION FOR THE INSTALLATION OF THESE MATERIALS ON THE PROJECT SITE ARE INDICATED ON THE PROJECT PLANS AND APPROPRIATE CONSTRUCTION DETAILS ARE INCLUDED ON THE PROJECT DETAIL SHEETS.

3. NATURAL VEGETATION SHALL BE RETAINED WHENEVER FEASIBLE UP TO THE SCHEDULED START OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY.

4. AREAS SUBJECT TO EROSION SHALL BE MINIMIZED IN TERMS OF TIME AND AREA.

5. IN GENERAL, WORK REQUIRING EROSION CONTROL INCLUDES EXCAVATIONS, FILLS, DRAINAGE SWALES, ROUGH AND FINISHED GRADING, AND STOCKPILING OF

6. ALL TEMPORARY STOCKPILE AREAS SHALL HAVE EROSION CONTROLS (STRAW AND SILT FENCE) AROUND THE PERIMETER.

7. DO NOT DISTURB VEGETATION AND TOPSOIL BEYOND THE PROPOSED LIMIT OF GRADING.

8. ALL SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE IN PLACE AND OBSERVED PRIOR TO ANY WORK STARTING ON THE PROJECT.

9. SITE ENTRY AND EXIT LOCATIONS SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN A CONDITION WHICH WILL PREVENT TRACKING OR FLOWING OF SEDIMENT ONTO PUBLIC ROADWAYS. ALL SEDIMENT SPILLED. DROPPED. WASHED OR TRACKED ON A PUBLIC ROADWAY MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY. WHEN WASHING IS REQUIRED TO REMOVE SEDIMENT PRIOR TO ENTRANCE TO A PUBLIC ROADWAY, IT SHALL BE DONE ON AN AREA STABILIZED WITH CRUSHED STONE WHICH DRAINS INTO AN APPROVED SEDIMENT BASIN. ALL FINES IMPOSED FOR TRACKING ONTO PUBLIC ROADS SHALL BE PAID BY THE CONTRACTOR.

10. TEMPORARY SEEDING OR OTHER METHOD OF STABILIZATION SHALL BE INITIATED WITHIN 14 DAYS OF THE LAST DISTURBANCE ON ANY AREA OF THE SITE, UNLESS ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION OF THE AREAS IS EXPECTED WITHIN 14 DAYS OF THE LAST DISTURBANCE.

11. INSTALL AND MAINTAIN CATCH BASIN INSERTS IN ALL EXISTING CATCH BASINS WITHIN WORK ZONE (NOT REMOVED).

12. STRAWBALES OR INSERTS (SILT SACKS OR SIMILAR) WILL BE PLACED AT EACH CATCH BASIN PROPOSED TO REMAIN TO PREVENT SEDIMENTATION FROM ENTERING THE CATCH BASIN 13. UPON COMPLETION OF DEMOLITION, ALL AREAS NOT OTHERWISE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED SHALL BE SEEDED AND MAINTAINED UNTIL A UNIFORM COVERAGE OF

14. MAINTENANCE - EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS SHALL BE REPAIRED OR REPLACED AS INSPECTION DEEMS NECESSARY OR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER OR ARCHITECT. ACCUMULATED SILT AT ANY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICE SHALL BE REMOVED WHEN IT REACHES A DEPTH OF 6", AND SHALL BE DISTRIBUTED ON-SITE IN A MANNER NOT CONTRIBUTING TO ADDITIONAL SILTATION.

15. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REESTABLISHING ANY EROSION CONTROL DEVICE WHICH HE DISTURBS. EACH CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER/ARCHITECT OF ANY DEFICIENCIES IN THE ESTABLISHED EROSION CONTROL MEASURES WHICH MAY LEAD TO UNAUTHORIZED DISCHARGE OR STORM WATER POLLUTION. SEDIMENTATION OR OTHER POLLUTANTS. UNAUTHORIZED POLLUTANTS INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO, EXCESS CONCRETE DUMPING OR CONCRETE RESIDUE, PAINTS, SOLVENTS, GREASE, FUEL, AND LUBE OIL, PESTICIDES, ANY SOLID WASTE MATERIALS.

16. ALL SIDE SLOPES SHALL BE SEEDED WITH GRASS OR INSTALL JUTE NETTING TO PREVENT EROSION. SIDE SLOPES ALONG THE PERIMETER OF THE DEVELOPED AREA SHALL BE STABILIZED IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION.

17. INSPECTIONS: INSPECTIONS ARE TO BE PERFORMED BY QUALIFIED PERSONNEL. DISTURBED AREAS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN FINALLY STABILIZED, AREAS USED FOR STORAGE, STRUCTURAL CONTROL MEASURES, AND LOCATIONS WHERE VEHICLES ENTER OR EXIT THE SITE, MUST BE INSPECTED ONCE EVERY 7 DAYS OR WITHIN 24 HOURS OF A STORM EVENT OF 0.25 INCHES OR GREATER. STABILIZED AREAS ARE TO BE INSPECTED ONCE PER MONTH DISTURBED AREAS AND STORAGE AREAS EXPOSED TO PRECIPITATION SHALL BE INSPECTED FOR EVIDENCE OF OR POTENTIAL FOR POLLUTANTS ENTERING THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM. CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE OBSERVED TO ENSURE THEY ARE WORKING PROPERLY. DISCHARGE LOCATIONS AND POINTS SHALL BE INSPECTED TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER CONTROLS ARE PREVENTING SIGNIFICANT IMPACT. BASED ON THE RESULTS OF THE ABOVE INSPECTIONS, ANY NECESSARY CHANGES TO THE PLAN WILL BE MADE WITHIN 7 DAYS OF THE INSPECTION. THE CHANGES MUST BE IMPLEMENTED IN THE FIELD BEFORE THE NEXT STORM EVEN IF PRACTICABLE, OTHERWISE WITHIN 7 DAYS PER GENERAL CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

18. UPON COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE ALL ACCUMULATED SILT FROM BEHIND SILTATION BARRIERS, PAVEMENT AREAS, AND CATCH BASIN SUMPS. DISPOSE OF SILT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SWPPP DOCUMENTS.

19. PROVIDE TEMPORARY SEDIMENTATION BASINS, BALES, ETC. AS NECESSARY.

20. STOCKPILES ARE TO BE AT LEAST 100 FEET FROM WETLAND AREAS. STOCKPILES NOT TO BE REUSED WITHIN 14 DAYS ARE TO BE STABILIZED WITH SEED OR MULCH. 21. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE A WATER TRUCK ON-SITE AT ALL TIMES AND SHALL PROVIDE TEMPORARY PLANTINGS OR OTHER COVERINGS SUCH AS WOOD CHIPS TO MINIMIZE THE AMOUNT OF DUST LEAVING THE PREMISES.

22. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING TEMPORARY STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MEASURES FOR THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION.

23. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN TEMPORARY DEWATERING MEASURES TO ALLOW FOR EXCAVATION AND INSTALLATION OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES. CONVEY WATER TO TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROL/DEWATERING AREAS. NO UNTREATED WATER SHALL BE DISCHARGED DIRECTLY TO THE STORMWATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR TOWARDS RESOURCE AREAS.

24. CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE STREET SWEEPING TO CONTINUALLY MAINTAIN PAVEMENT AREAS FREE OF DIRT, DEBRIS, TRASH, ETC.

SITE WORK

1. CAUTION - NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR

THE CONTRACTOR IS SPECIFICALLY CAUTIONED THAT THE LOCATION AND/OR ELEVATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS IS BASED ON DESIGN PLANS AND LIMITED AS-BUILT INFORMATION. THE INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE RELIED ON AS BEING EXACT OR COMPLETE. THE CONTRACTOR MUST CALL THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANIES AT LEAST 72 HOURS (EXCLUSIVE OF SATURDAYS, SUNDAYS, AND LEGAL HOLIDAYS) PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION, DEMOLITION, BORING, OR OTHER EARTH MOVING OPERATIONS TO REQUEST EXACT FIELD LOCATIONS OF UTILITIES. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO RELOCATE ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHICH CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS AT NO ADDITIONAL EXPENSE TO OWNER.

A. ENSURE THAT AREAS TO BE FILLED ARE FREE OF STANDING WATER, FROST, FROZEN MATERIAL, TRASH, AND DEBRIS PRIOR TO FILL PLACEMENT.

B. PLACE APPROPRIATE FILL MATERIAL AS DESIGNATED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER IN HORIZONTAL LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING EIGHT INCHES (8") IN LOOSE DEPTH AND COMPACT EACH LAYER AT OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT TO THE GREATER OF:

B.1. ADJACENT UNDISTURBED SOIL. OR

B.2. 95% OF THE MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY OF THE EMBANKMENT MATERIAL AS DETERMINED BY AASHTO STANDARD METHOD T99, METHOD C.

A. GRADE ALL AREAS WHERE FINISH GRADE ELEVATIONS ARE INDICATED ON DRAWINGS, OTHER THAN PAVED AREAS AND BUILDINGS, INCLUDING EXCAVATED AREAS, FILLED AND TRANSITION AREAS, AND LANDSCAPED AREAS. GRADED AREAS SHALL BE UNIFORM AND SMOOTH, FREE FROM DEBRIS, OR IRREGULAR SURFACE CHANGES. FINISHED SUBGRADE SURFACE SHALL NOT BE MORE THAN 0.10 FEET ABOVE OR BELOW ESTABLISHED SUBGRADE ELEVATIONS, AND ALL GROUND SURFACES SHALL VARY UNIFORMLY BETWEEN INDICATED ELEVATIONS. FINISH DITCHES SHALL BE GRADED TO ALLOW FOR PROPER DRAINAGE WITHOUT PONDING AND IN A MANNER THAT WILL MINIMIZE EROSION POTENTIAI

B. GRADE SURFACE TO MATCH ADJACENT GRADES AND TO PROVIDE FLOW TO SURFACE DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, OR GRADE AS DESIGNATED ON THE PLANS AFTER FILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION.

4. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR GENERAL CLEANUP OF THE PROJECT ON A DAILY BASIS AND AT THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. OPEN TRENCHES, DITCHES, EXCAVATIONS, ETC. SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED TO BE LEFT OPEN OVERNIGHT. CONTRACTOR WILL BACKFILL OR UTILIZE SUITABLE STEEL PLATES FOR THE SECURING OF THE PROJECT SITE PRIOR TO CEASING WORK EACH DAY

5. APPROPRIATE TRAFFIC CONTROL, I.E. SIGNAGE, BARRICADES, AND OTHER MEANS, WILL BE SUPPLIED BY THE CONTRACTOR IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES.

6. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES MAY ANY UTILITY, STRUCTURE, AND/OR REPAIR BE BACKFILLED UNLESS INSPECTED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY OFFICIALS AND/OR REPRESENTATIVE. RECEIPT OF APPROVAL TO BACKFILL WILL NOT RELEASE THE CONTRACTOR FROM ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR LIABILITY FOR PERFORMANCE TESTS REQUIRED AS PART OF THIS PROJECT.

7. PROPER SHORING AND TRENCH BOXES SHALL BE UTILIZED AS REQUIRED BY LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL REGULATORY AGENCIES TO PROVIDE A SAFE WORKING ENVIRONMENT. SHORING SHALL BE DESIGNED BY A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER LICENSED IN THE STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS WITH EXPERIENCE IN SHORING DESIGN. 8. ALL UTILITIES DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPAIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.

A. ALL WATER PIPING, VALVES, HYDRANTS, AND FITTINGS ETC. TO CONFORM TO LOCAL GUIDELINES OR AS DIRECTED BY THE BOSTON WATER AND SEWER COMMISSION. CONSTRUCTION OF WATER LINE TO CONFORM TO ALL LOCAL AND STATE AGENCIES HAVING JURISDICTION. B. ALL WATER PIPE SHALL BE THICKNESS CLASS 56 DUCTILE IRON. ALL PIPES AND FITTINGS SHALL HAVE A CEMENT LINING TWICE THE THICKNESS SPECIFIED IN AWWA C104

AND SHALL HAVE A BITUMINOUS SEAL COAT APPLIES INSIDE AND OUTSIDE CONFORMING TO AWWA C104. "TYTON" OR MECHANICAL JOINTS ARE PERMITTED UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED.

10. CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN APPROVAL FOR ALL TRANSFORMER LOCATIONS FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND BUILDING INSPECTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

GENERAL

1. PROTECTIONS

- B. PROTECT IMPROVEMENTS ON ADJOINING PROPERTIES AND ON OWNER'S PROPERTY.
- C. RESTORE DAMAGED IMPROVEMENTS TO ORIGINAL CONDITION AS ACCEPTABLE TO PARTIES HAVING JURISDICTION.

- 3. ALL SLOPES, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED, SHALL BE LOAMED AND SEEDED FOR STABILIZATION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO PREVENT EROSION TOWARD RESOURCE

CHANGE" PROCEDURE

- 1-888-DIG-SAFE.
- PROPERTY DRAINAGE AND SETTLING OF PARTICULATE MATTER.
- 9. ALL MANHOLE COVERS FOR CROSS-COUNTRY LOCATIONS OR IN PUBLIC GATHERING LOCATIONS SHALL BE FITTED WITH BOLT LOCKS OR EQUIVALENT.

CONCRETE AND REINFORCING STEEL NOTES

1. GENERAL

- RESPONSIBILITY.

- ACI 301- "STRUCTURAL CONCRETE FOR BUILDINGS" ACI 315- "DETAILING CONCRETE WORK" ACI 318- "BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE"
- ACI 347- "FORM WORK"

b. REINFORCING STEE

3. MATERIALS :

- a. CONCRETE
- UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

- c. FORM WORK:
- d. GROUT:

4. EXECUTION :

FORMS PERMITTED.

a. CONCRETE:

- b. REINFORCING STEEL:
- 3" CONCRETE PLACED AGAINST EARTH • 2" FORMED CONCRETE EXPOSED TO EARTH, WEATHER, OR WATER
- 2" SLABS ON GRADE (MINIMUM FROM TOP) 2" FRAMED SLABS (NOT EXPOSED TO WEATHER)
- 2" FRAMED SLABS (EXPOSED TO WEATHER)
- ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS)
- REINFORCING BAR EMBEDMENT LENGTH

c. FORM WORK :

- 5. QUALITY CONTROL:
- ACCORDANCE TO ASTM C39.
- 6. EXCAVATION & COMPACTED FILL
- PERCENT OF THE MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AS DETERMINED BY ASTM D1557
- 7. EXPANSION, CONTRACTION, AND CONSTRUCTION JOINTS :
- 90' (IF APPLICABLE)
- 8. CONCRETE FINISHING:
- CHAMFERED
- ENGINEER.

A. PROVIDE PROTECTION NECESSARY TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS, TREES OR VEGETATION.

D. CONDUCT OPERATIONS TO ENSURE MINIMUM INTERFERENCE WITH OPERATIONS, STREETS, WALKS, AND OTHER ADJACENT FACILITIES. DO NOT CLOSE OR OBSTRUCT

STREETS, WALKS, OR OTHER OCCUPIED OR USED FACILITIES WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION. STREETS AND ROADWAYS SHALL BE THOROUGHLY CLEANED AND/OR SWEPT ON A DAILY BASIS OR MORE FREQUENTLY AS REQUIRED BY THE GOVERNING AUTHORITY

2. UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE ALL MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOSTON WATER AND SEWER COMMISSION SPECIFICATIONS & MASSACHUSETTS DOT SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES AND/OR THE APPROPRIATE LOCAL AUTHORITIES.

AREAS AND BUFFERS, ABUTTING PROPERTIES, OR PUBLIC WAYS. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS ARE REQUIRED FOR ALL 2H:1V SLOPES. SLOPES MAY NOT EXCEED 2H:1V. 4. ANY DEVIATIONS, I.E. "FIELD CHANGES" FROM THE DESIGN PLAN(S) MUST BE APPROVED BY THE DESIGN ENGINEER IN WRITING. CONTRACTOR SHOULD BE AWARE THAT LOCAL AND STATE AUTHORITIES HAVE JURISDICTION AND APPROVALS MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY PRIOR TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE "FIELD CHANGE." CHA INC. ASSUMES NO LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR WORK ASSOCIATED WITH FIELD CHANGES COMPLETED WITHOUT REGARD TO THE "FIELD

5. RELOCATION OF ANY UTILITIES SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY AND/OR REGULATORY AGENCY. 6. *** DIG SAFE NOTE *** IN ACCORDANCE WITH MGL. CH. 82, SEC. 40 INCLUDING AMENDMENTS, ALL CONTRACTORS SHALL NOTIFY UTILITY COMPANIES AND GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, IN WRITING, OF THE INTENT TO EXCAVATE, BLAST, DEMOLISH, BORE, OR PERFORM OTHER EARTH MOVING OPERATIONS NO LESS THAN 72 HOURS AND NO MORE THAN 30 DAYS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF SUCH WORK (EXCLUSIVE OF SATURDAYS, SUNDAYS, AND LEGAL HOLIDAYS) OR CALL "DIG SAFE" AT

7. ADDITIONAL BENCHMARKS TO BE SET BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION TO ENSURE QUALITY WORKMANSHIP

8. ANY STILLING AND/OR DETENTION BASINS SHOULD RECEIVE PERIODIC MAINTENANCE DURING CONSTRUCTION TO REMOVE DEPOSITED SILTS AND DEBRIS TO ENSURE

10. UNLESS OTHERWISE LABELED, ALL REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE, RCP, SHALL BE CLASS III; ALL DUCTILE IRON PIPE SHALL BE CEMENT LINED CLASS 56; ALL PVC SEWER SHALL BE SDR 35; ALL HDPE PIPE TO BE HP-STORM WATER TIGHT JOINT OR APPROVED EQUAL

ALL STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS ARE TO BE USED WITH THE ENTIRE SET OF DRAWINGS.

ALL SAFETY REGULATIONS ARE TO BE STRICTLY FOLLOWED. METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION AND ERECTION OF STRUCTURAL MATERIALS IS THE CONTRACTOR'S

THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DISSEMINATION OF ALL REVISIONS AND REQUIREMENTS TO THE SUBCONTRACTORS.

• REASONABLE CARE HAS BEEN TAKEN IN THE PREPARATION OF ALL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS. HOWEVER THE ENGINEER DOES NOT GUARANTEE AGAINST HUMAN ERROR AND FOR THAT REASON IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK ALL DIMENSIONS AND DETAILS AND MUST VERIFY ALL CONDITIONS AND DIMENSIONS AT THE SITE. ALL DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEER BEFORE PROCEEDING.

PRESUMED ALLOWABLE SOIL BEARING CAPACITY IS 4000 PSF. VERIFY IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

2. CODE CONFORMANCE TO COMPLY WITH THE LATEST RECOMMENDATIONS OF THESE STANDARDS.

ACI 322- "BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL PLAIN CONCRETE

• APPROVED, READY MIXED CONCRETE HAVING AN MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (f/c) OF 3,500 PSI AT 28 DAYS WITH 3/4" AGGREGATE MIX., SLUMP 3-5 INCHES

PROVIDE AIR-ENTRAINMENT ADMIXTURE TO AID THE FREEZE/THAW RESISTANCE OF ALL EXPOSED CONCRETE.

ASTM A615 GRADE 60 DEFORMED BARS. ASTM A185 WELDED WIRE FABRIC

• SMOOTH PLYWOOD FORMS FOR EXPOSED SLABS OR VERTICAL SURFACES. BOARD FORMS FOR FOOTINGS OR UNEXPOSED CONCRETE SURFACES. NO EARTH

NONMETALLIC, NON-SHRINK GROUT UNDER BASE PLATES OR BEARING PLATES

• PLACE CONCRETE ACCORDING TO THE APPROVED METHODS OF ACI 301.89. STRENGTH (f'c) OF 3500 PSI AT 28 DAYS, SLUMP 3-5 INCHES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED WITH MAXIMUM 3/4 INCH AGGREGATE AND MAXIMUM 6% AIR ENTERTAINMENT FOR EXTERIOR CONCRETE EXPOSED TO MOISTURE.

 PLACE REINFORCING USING STANDARD BAR SUPPORTS TO PROVIDE PROPER CLEARANCE AND PREVENT DISPLACEMENT DURING CONCRETE OPERATIONS, LAP CONTINUOUS BARS 40 DIAMETERS. PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM CONCRETE COVERAGE:

STANDARD HOOK

 PLACE DEFORMED BARS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF CRSI "RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR PLACING REINFORCING BARS." ALL WELDED WIRE MESH SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A185. LAP TWO SQUARES AT ALL JOINTS AND AND TIE AT 3'-0" ON CENTER. PROVIDE (2) #5 BARS EACH SIDE OF ALL OPENINGS IN WALLS AND SLABS. BARS TO EXTEND 24" BEYOND EDGE OF OPENINGS. (FOR SIZE AND LOCATION OF OPENINGS REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL, MECHANICAL, AND • NO HORIZONTAL CONSTRUCTION JOINTS ARE ALLOWED UNLESS SPECIFICALLY SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR ALLOWED IN WRITING BY THE ENGINEER. ALL GROUT FOR BASE PLATES SHALL BE NON-SHRINK AND NON-METALLIC WITH A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 5,000 PSI.

 PROPERLY BRACE AND SHORE FORM WORK TO MAINTAIN ALIGNMENT AND TOLERANCES IN ACCORDANCE TO ACI 347. DETAILS NOT SHOWN IN DRAWINGS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACI DETAILING MANUAL (ACI 315)

• CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE PROVISIONS TO HAVE FOUR CYLINDERS CAST FOR EACH (50) CUBIC FEET OF CONCRETE POURED OR FOR ANY ONE DAY OPERATION. TESTING LABORATORY SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING AND CURING SPECIMENS IN CONFORMANCE TO ASTM C31 AND TESTING SPECIMENS IN

 COMPACTED FILL SHALL BE PLACED IN LEVEL, UNIFORM LIFTS NOT EXCEEDING 8 INCHES IN UNCOMPACTED THICKNESS AND BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 95 • FILL TO CONFORM TO THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS FOR STRUCTURAL FILL OR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. BACKFILL AND EXCAVATION TO BE

COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER AND STRUCTURAL ENGINEER.

• CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE CONTRACTION JOINTS IN WALLS AND SLABS NOT TO EXCEED 20' (OR EQUALLY SPACED) AND EXPANSION JOINTS NOT TO EXCEED • ALL CONSTRUCTION JOINTS SHALL HAVE ROUGHENED, KEYED, AND/OR BONDING AGENT APPLIED TO THE CONCRETE LAYING SURFACES AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER OR TO THE MOST STRINGENT AC1 318 STANDARDS

• ALL EXPOSED CONCRETE SHALL BE FINISHED TO PROJECT ARCHITECTURAL STANDARDS OR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. ALL EXPOSED CORNERS SHALL BE • ALL VOIDS, POCKETS, AND DEFORMATIONS IN THE EXPOSED FACE OF WALL SHALL BE CORRECTED TO A SMOOTH, UNIFORM FINISH OR AS DIRECTED BY THE



GRADING NOTES

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY EXISTING GRADES IN THE FIELD AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES IMMEDIATELY TO THE OWNER OR HIS REPRESENTATIVE.
- 2. EXCAVATION REQUIRED WITHIN THE PROXIMITY OF EXISTING UTILITY LINES SHALL BE DONE BY HAND. CONTRACTOR SHALL REPAIR ANY DAMAGE TO EXISTING UTILITY LINES OR STRUCTURES INCURRED DURING CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS AT NO COST TO THE OWNER. 3. PITCH EVENLY BETWEEN SPOT GRADES. GRADE ALL AREAS TO DRAIN. ALL PAVED AREAS MUST PITCH TO DRAIN AT A MINIMUM OF 1/8" PER FOOT UNLESS
- OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. ANY DISCREPANCIES NOT ALLOWING THIS MINIMUM PITCH SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE OWNER OR HIS REPRESENTATIVE PRIOR TO CONTINUING WORK.
- 4. ALL SITEWORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND SHALL COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE CODES AND REGULATIONS, AND THE STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) PREPARED FOR THE PROJECT.
- 5. DURING THE PROGRESS OF THE WORK, THE CONTRACTOR MAY BE REQUIRED TO EXCAVATE ADDITIONAL TEST PITS FOR THE PURPOSE OF LOCATING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES OR STRUCTURES AS AN AID IN ESTABLISHING THE PRECISE LOCATION OF NEW WORK. THIS WORK IS TO BE PERFORMED AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER. TEST PITS SHALL BE BACKFILLED, AS SOON AS THE DESIRED INFORMATION HAS BEEN OBTAINED. 6. PROTECT STRUCTURES, UTILITIES, SIDEWALKS, PAVEMENTS AND OTHER FACILITIES FROM DAMAGE CAUSED BY SETTLEMENT, LATERAL MOVEMENT,
- UNDERMINING, WASHOUT AND OTHER HAZARDS CREATED BY CONTRACTOR OPERATIONS.
- 7. UNLESS DIRECTED OTHERWISE, ALL EXISTING TURF OR VEGETATED AREAS WITHIN THE PROPOSED LIMITS OF WORK FOR EXCAVATION, GRADING, OR IMPROVEMENT SHALL BE CLEARED AND GRUBBED. WITHIN THE CLEARING AND GRUBBING AREA, REMOVE ALL TREES, SHRUBS AND ROOTS UNLESS DESIGNATED OTHERWISE. CLEARING SHALL INCLUDE THE FELLING, CUTTING AND OFF-SITE DISPOSAL OF ALL TREES, SHRUBS, STUMPS AND VEGETATIVE DEBRIS PRODUCED THROUGH THE CLEARING OPERATIONS.
- 8. FILL DEPRESSIONS CAUSED BY TEST PITS AND CLEARING AND GRUBBING OPERATIONS WITH SATISFACTORY SOIL MATERIAL UNLESS FURTHER EXCAVATION OR EARTHWORK IS INDICATED.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREVENT SURFACE WATER AND SUBSURFACE OR GROUNDWATER FROM FLOWING INTO EXCAVATIONS OR EARTHWORK AREAS WHICH WOULD CAUSE FLOODING OF THE PROJECT SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA, OR SOFTENING OR LOOSENING OF THE SOIL AT EXCAVATION OR EARTHWORK SUB-GRADES
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE, INSTALL, OPERATE, MAINTAIN AND REMOVE ADEQUATE AND SATISFACTORY DEWATERING SYSTEMS AND DRAINAGE OF EXCAVATIONS TO PERMIT CONSTRUCTION TO PROCEED "IN THE DRY". THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSUME ALL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ADEQUACY OF THE METHODS, MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT EMPLOYED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BEAR THE FULL COST OF PROVIDING ALL NECESSARY DEWATERING.
- 11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROHIBIT SEEPAGE, GROUNDWATER FLOW OR SURFACE INFILTRATION AND RUNOFF FROM UNDERMINING OR OTHERWISE DAMAGING ADJACENT STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES.
- 12. ANY WATER PUMPED FROM EXCAVATIONS WILL BE CONVEYED BY HOSE TO AN UPLAND AREA AND DISCHARGED INTO TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASINS WITH CONTROLLED DISCHARGE, DEFER TO THE "SITE WORK & PREPERATION PLAN" AND "EROSION & SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN" HEREIN.
- 13. PAVING, CONCRETE WORK AND BASE COURSE PREPARATION SHALL BE DONE ONLY AFTER EXCAVATION AND CONSTRUCTION WORK WHICH MIGHT INJURE THEM HAS BEEN COMPLETED. DAMAGE CAUSED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPAIRED BEFORE ACCEPTANCE.
- 14. PAVEMENT OR BASE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE PLACED ON A MUDDY OR FROZEN SUBGRADE.
- 15. ESTABLISHMENT OF GRADES, GRADE CONTROL, AND CONFORMANCE TO REQUIRED GRADE TOLERANCES SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR
- 16. PROTECT GRADED, FINISHED OR PAVED AREAS FROM DAMAGE AND KEEP THEM FREE OF TRASH AND DEBRIS RESULTING FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS. REPAIR AND RE-ESTABLISH GRADES IN SETTLED, ERODED AND RUTTED AREAS. 17. PAVEMENT EXCAVATED DURING UTILITY CONSTRUCTION, WHETHER ON THE SITE OR ADJACENT PROPERTIES, SHALL BE RESTORED AND MATCHED WITH EXACTLY
- THE SAME MATERIALS AND TOLERANCES AS PRIOR TO DISRUPTION, AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER, OR ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS. 18. STONE USED FOR MACHINE PLACED RIP-RAP SHALL BE REASONABLY WELL GRADED, HARD, DURABLE, ANGULAR IN SHAPE, RESISTANT TO WEATHERING AND FREE FROM ORGANIC MATERIAL. ROUNDED STONES OR BOULDERS ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE. THE MINIMUM WEIGHT OF THE STONE SHALL BE 155 POUNDS PER CUBIC FOOT. STONE SHALL BE PLACED IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE LINES, GRADES AND THICKNESSES SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 19. AT ALL LOCATIONS WHERE EXISTING CURBING OR PAVEMENT ABUTS NEW CONSTRUCTION, THE EDGE OF THE EXISTING CURB OR PAVEMENT SHALL BE SAW CUT TO A CLEAN, SMOOTH EDGE. BLEND NEW PAVEMENT, CURBS AND EARTHWORK SMOOTHLY INTO EXISTING BY MATCHING LINES, GRADES AND JOINTS.
- 20. ALL RIP RAP STONE SHALL BE HAND CHINKED AND SHALL CONFORM TO MASSACHUSETTS HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT STANDARDS.

LEGEND - PROPOSED

585	MAJOR CONTOUR	S	SANITARY MANHOLE
	MINOR CONTOUR	\odot	OIL/WATER SEPARATOR
<i>W</i>	DOMESTIC WATER		CAST IN PLACE RETAINING WALL
——— <i>FP</i> ———	FIRE PROTECTION		LIGHT FIXTURE
— — —UE— — ——	UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC	TC: 45.50	TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
	SANITARY SEWER	BC: 45.00	BOTTOM OF CURB ELEVATION
	STORM SEWER	TW: 45.50	TOP OF WALL ELEVATION
— — GAS — — —	NATURAL GAS	BW: 45.00	BOTTOM OF WALL ELEVATION
x x	FENCE	45.00(HP)	HIGH POINT ELEVATION
	TRENCH DRAIN	45.00(e)	EXISTING ELEVATION
—— IRR ———— IRR ——	IRRIGATION SLEEVE	45.00(f)	FLUSH CURB ELEVATION
	CATCH BASIN	VGC	VERTICAL GRANITE CURB
	DOUBLE CATCH BASIN	MCC	MONOLITHIC CONCRETE CURB
Ø	DRAIN MANHOLE	ECC	EXTRUDED CONCRETE CURB
•	LINE VALVE	PCC	PRECAST CONCRETE CURB
Þ	SIGN POST		

FOR BWSC USE ONLY

SEWER

ALL TESTING SHALL BE PER CITY OF BOSTON WATER AND SEWER COMMISSION SPECIFICATIONS

- 1. THESE NOTES ARE INTENDED TO SUPPLEMENT THE LOCAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP.
- 2. WATER AND SEWER MAINS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST LOCAL AND STATE CODES INCLUDING THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE AMERICAN WATER WORKS ASSOCIATION AND THE NEW ENGLAND INTERSTATE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL COMMISSION TECHNICAL REPORT 16. CONSTRUCTION SHALL PROCEED IN A WORKMANLIKE MANNER WITH STATE-OF-THE-ART CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSULATE WATER AND SEWER MAINS AS INDICATED ON THE PLANS OR WHEN DESIGN OR CONSTRUCTION ENCUMBRANCES DICTATE ALIGNMENT TO OCCUR ABOVE THE FROST LINE. PROCUREMENT AND INSTALLATION OF PIPE INSULATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS LISTED IN THE LATEST MASS. DOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR SECTION 301.60P AND MATERIAL SPECIFICATION M9.11.1. THE PIPE INSULATION SHALL BE PRE-MOLDED TYPE CELLULAR GLASS INSULATION WITH ALUMINUM JACKET CONFORMING TO THE LATEST REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM-522 OR APPROVED EQUAL.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FOLLOW ALTERNATE CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES WHEN DESIGN OR CONSTRUCTION ENCUMBRANCES PREVENT HORIZONTAL SEPARATION OF 10 FEET OR THE ALTERNATE OF 18 INCHES OF VERTICAL SEPARATION BETWEEN WATER AND SEWER MAINS. IN AREAS WHERE THE ABOVE OFFSETS CANNOT BE MAINTAINED, THE WATER MAIN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH MEGA-LUG MECHANICAL TYPE FITTINGS OR APPROVED EQUAL FOR A DISTANCE OF 10-FEET ON EITHER SIDE OF THE CROSSING OR LATERAL ENCROACHMENT AND SHALL STRADDLE A FULL LENGTH OF CLASS 56 CEMENTED LINED DUCTILE IRON WATER PIPE.
- 5. THE DEFLECTION IN ALL GRAVITY SEWER PIPE SHALL BE TESTED USING A GO, NO-GO MANDREL TEST TO ENSURE THAT PROPER INSTALLATION HAS OCCURED. TEST SHALL CONFORM WITH PIPE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND SHALL NOT INDICATE MORE THAN 7.5% DEFLECTION, U.O.N.
- 6. EACH SEGMENT OF THE SEWER MAIN INCLUDING MANHOLES SHALL BE LEAK TESTED AND OBSERVED BY A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CITY AND/OR ENGINEER IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING PROCEDURES: EXFILTRATION TEST FOR NEW SEWER MAIN :
- PREPARATION OF TEST. AFTER THE MANHOLE HAD BEEN ASSEMBLED IN PLACE, ALL LIFTING HOLES AND THOSE EXTERIOR JOINTS WITHIN SIX FEET OF THE GROUND SURFACE SHALL BE FILLED AND POINTED WITH AN APPROVED NON-SHRINKING MORTAR. THE TEST SHALL BE MADE PRIOR TO PLACING THE SHELF AND INVERT AND BEFORE FILLING AND POINTING THE HORIZONTAL JOINTS BELOW THE 6- FOOT DEPTH LINE. IF THE GROUNDWATER TABLE HAS BEEN ALLOWED TO RISE ABOVE THE BOTTOM OF THE MANHOLE, IT SHALL BE LOWERED FOR THE DURATION OF THE TEST. ALL PIPES AND OTHER OPENINGS INTO THE MANHOLE SHALL BE SUITABLE PLUGGED AND PLUGS BRACED TO PREVENT BLOW OUT
- TEST PROCEDURE. THE MANHOLE SHALL THEN BE FILLED WITH WATER TO THE TOP OF THE CONE SECTION. IF THE EXCAVATION HAS NOT BEEN BACKFILLED AND OBSERVATION INDICATED NO VISIBLE LEAKAGE, THAT IS, NO WATER VISIBLY MOVING DOWN THE SURFACE OF THE MANHOLE. THE MANHOLE MAY BE CONSIDERED TO BE SATISFACTORILY WATERTIGHT. IF THE TEST AS DESCRIBED ABOVE IS UNSATISFACTORY AS DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER OR IF THE MANHOLE EXCAVATION HAS BEEN BACKFILLED THE TEST SHALL BE CONTINUED. A PERIOD OF TIME MAY BE PERMITTED. IF THE CONTRACTOR WISHES, TO ALLOW FOR ABSORPTION.
- 3. AT THE END OF THIS PERIOD, THE MANHOLE SHALL BE REFILLED TO THE TOP OF THE CONE, IF NECESSARY, AND THE MEASURING TIME OF AT LEAST EIGHT HOURS BEGUN. AT THE END OF THE TEST PERIOD, THE MANHOLE SHALL BE REFILLED TO THE TOP OF THE CONE, MEASURING THE VOLUME OF WATER ADDED. THIS AMOUNT SHALL BE EXTRAPOLATED TO A 24-HOUR RATE AND THE LEAKAGE DETERMINED ON THE BASIS OF DEPTH. THE LEAKAGE FOR EACH MANHOLE SHALL NOT EXCEED ONE GALLON PER VERTICAL FOOT FOR A 24-HOUR PERIOD. IF THE TEST FAILS THIS REQUIREMENTS, BY THE LEAKAGE DOES NOT EXCEED THREE GALLONS PER VERTICAL FOOT PER DAY, REPAIRS BY APPROVED METHODS MAY BE MADE AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER TO BRING THE LEAKAGE WITHIN THE ALLOWABLE RATE ON ONE GALLON PER VERTICAL FOOT PER DAY. LEAKAGE DUE TO A DEFECTIVE SECTION OR JOINT OF EXCEEDING THE THREE-GALLON PER VERTICAL FOOT PER DAY RATE, SHALL BE CAUSE FOR THE REJECTION OF THE MANHOLE. IT SHALL BE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO UNCOVER. DISASSEMBLE. RECONSTRUCT OR REPLACE THE MANHOLE AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. THE MANHOLE SHALL THEN BE RE-TESTED AND, IF SATISFACTORY, INTERIOR JOINTS SHALL BE FILLED AND POINTED.
- 4. BACKFILLING. THE TEST MAY BE CONDUCTED EITHER BEFORE OR AFTER BACKFILLING AROUND THE MANHOLE. HOWEVER, IF THE CONTRACTOR ELECTS TO BACKFILL PRIOR TO TESTING, IT SHALL BE AT HIS OWN RISK AND IT SHALL BE INCUMBENT UPON THE CONTRACTOR TO DETERMINE THE REASON FOR ANY FAILURE OF THE TEST. NO ADJUSTMENT IN THE LEAKAGE ALLOWANCE WILL BE MADE FOR UNKNOWN CAUSES SUCH AS LEAKING PLUGS, ABSORPTION, ETC., I.E., IT WILL BE ASSUMED THAT ALL LOSS OF WATER DURING THE TEST IS A RESULT OF LEAKS THROUGH THE JOINTS OF THROUGH THE CONCRETE. FURTHERMORE, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ANY STEPS NECESSARY TO ASSURE THE ENGINEER THAT THE WATER TABLE IS BELOW THE BOTTOM OF THE MANHOLE THROUGHOUT THE TEST.

VACUUM TEST FOR NEW SEWER MAIN :

- 1. THE VACUUM TESTING SYSTEM SHALL BE SUPPLIED BY NPC SYSTEMS, INC. OR EQUIVALENT AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. THE TESTING SHALL BE DONE IMMEDIATELY AFTER ASSEMBLY OF THE MANHOLE AND BEFORE BACKFILLING. A 60 LB-FT. TORQUE WRENCH SHALL BE USED TO TIGHTEN EXTERNAL CLAMPS THAT SECURE THE TEST COVER TO THE TOP OF THE MANHOLE. ALL LIFT HOLES SHALL BE PLUGGED WITH A NON-SHRINKING MORTAR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PLUG THE PIPE OPENINGS, TAKING CARE TO SECURELY BRACE THE PLUGS AND THE PIPE TO PREVENT THE PLUGS FROM BEING DRAWN INTO THE MANHOLE.
- 2. A VACUUM OF 10 INCHES OF MERCURY, HG (4.9 PSI), SHALL BE DRAWN AND THE VACUUM PUMP SHUT OFF. THE MANHOLE PASSES THE TEST IF THE VACUUM REMAINS GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 9 INCHES HG (4.4 PSI) FOR A PERIOD GREATER THAN ONE MINUTE FOR MANHOLES UP TO 10 FEET DEEP; ONE MINUTE FIFTEEN SECONDS FOR MANHOLES 10-15 FEET DEEP; AND ONE MINUTE THIRTY SECONDS FOR MANHOLES 15-25 FEET DEEP.
- 3. IF THE MANHOLE FAILS THE INITIAL TEST, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL LOCATE THE LEAKS AND MAKE PROPER REPAIRS. LEAKS MAY BE FILLED WITH A WET SLURRY OF ACCEPTED QUICK SETTING MATERIAL. IF THE MANHOLE FAILS THE VACUUM TEST AGAIN, ADDITIONAL REPAIRS MUST BE MADE, AND THE MANHOLE MUST BE TESTED BY EXFILTRATION AS OUTLINED IN PARAGRAPH 3.03 (A). FIELD QUALITY CONTROL

LEAKAGE TEST :

- 1. THE PIPELINES SHALL BE MADE AS NEARLY WATERTIGHT AS PRACTICABLE, AND LEAKAGE TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS SHALL BE MADE AFTER THE PIPELINE HAS BEEN BACKFILLED
- 2. WHERE THE GROUNDWATER LEVEL IS MORE THAN 1 FT ABOVE THE TOP OF THE PIPE AT ITS UPPER END, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT EITHER INFILTRATION TESTS OR LOW PRESSURE AIR TESTS.
- 3. WHERE THE GROUNDWATER LEVEL IS LESS THAN 1 FT. ABOVE THE TOP OF THE PIPE AT ITS UPPER END, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT EITHER EXFILTRATION TESTS OR

LOW PRESSURE AIR TESTS.

- 4. AT THE TIME OF THE TEST, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE GROUNDWATER ELEVATION FROM OBSERVATION WELLS, EXCAVATIONS OR OTHER MEANS, ALL SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY THE ENGINEER.
- 5. FOR MAKING THE LOW PRESSURE AIR TESTS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE EQUIPMENT SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED AND MANUFACTURED FOR THE PURPOSE OF TESTING SEWER PIPELINES USING LOW PRESSURE AIR. THE EQUIPMENT SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH AN AIR REGULATORY VALVE OR AIR SAFETY SO SET THAT THE INTERNAL AIR PRESSURE IN THE PIPELINE CANNOT EXCEED 8 PSIG
- 6. THE LEAKAGE TEST USING LOW PRESSURE AIR SHALL BE MADE ON EACH MANHOLE-TO-MANHOLE SECTION OF PIPELINE AFTER PLACEMENT OF THE BACKFILL.
- 7. PNEUMATIC PLUGS SHALL HAVE A SEALING LENGTH EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN THE DIAMETER OF THE PIPE TO BE TESTED. PNEUMATIC PLUGS SHALL RESIST INTERNAL TEST PRESSURES WITHOUT REQUIRING EXTERNAL BRACING OR BLOCKING.

8. ALL AIR USED SHALL PASS THROUGH A SINGLE CONTROL PANEL.

9. LOW PRESSURE AIR SHALL BE INTRODUCED INTO THE SEALED LINE UNTIL THE INTERNAL AIR PRESSURE REACHES 4 PSIG. GREATER THAN THE MAXIMUM PRESSURE EXERTED BY THE GROUNDWATER THAT MAY BE ABOVE THE INVERT OF THE PIPE AT THE TIME OF THE TEST. HOWEVER, THE INTERNAL AIR PRESSURE IN THE SEALED LINE SHALL NOT BE ALLOWED TO EXCEED & PSIG. WHEN THE MAXIMUM PRESSURE EXERTED BY THE GROUNDWATER IS GREATER THAN 4 PSIG., THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT ONLY AN INFILTRATION TEST.

10. AT LEAST TWO MINUTES SHALL BE ALLOWED FOR THE AIR PRESSURE TO STABILIZE IN THE SECTION UNDER TEST. AFTER THE STABILIZATION PERIOD, THE LOW PRESSURE AIR SUPPLY HOSE SHALL BE QUICKLY DISCONNECTED FROM THE CONTROL PANEL. THE TIME REQUIRED IN MINUTES FOR THE PRESSURE IN THE SECTION UNDER TEST TO DECREASE FROM 3.5 TO 2.5 PSIG (GREATER THAN THE MAXIMUM PRESSURE EXERTED BY GROUNDWATER THAT MAY BE ABOVE THE INVERT OF THE PIPE) SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN THAT SHOWN IN THE FOLLOWING TABLE:

PIPE DIAMETER IN INCHES VS. MINUTES

5.0 MIN. 40 SEC.
7.0 MIN. 34 SEC.
9.0 MIN. 26 SEC.
11.0 MIN. 20 SEC
14.0 MIN. 10 SEC
17.0 MIN. 0 SEC.
19.0 MIN. 50 SEC
22.0 MIN. 40 SEC
25.0 MIN. 30 SEC

11. FOR MAKING THE INFILTRATION AND EXFILTRATION TESTS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH SUITABLE TEST PLUGS, WATER PUMPS, AND APPURTENANCES, AND ALL LABOR REQUIRED TO PROPERLY CONDUCT THE TESTS ON SECTIONS OF ACCEPTABLE LENGTH.

12. FOR MAKING THE INFILTRATION TESTS, UNDERDRAINS, IF USED, SHALL BE PLUGGED AND OTHER GROUNDWATER DRAINAGE SHALL BE STOPPED TO PERMIT THE GROUNDWATER TO RETURN TO ITS NORMAL LEVEL INSOFAR AS PRACTICABLE

13. UPON COMPLETION OF A SECTION OF THE SEWER, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DEWATER IT AND CONDUCT AN EXFILTRATION TEST TO MEASURE THE INFILTRATION FOR AT LEAST 24 HOURS. THE AMOUNT OF INFILTRATION, INCLUDING MANHOLES, TEES, AND CONNECTIONS, SHALL NOT EXCEED 200 GAL. PER INCH DIAMETER PER MILE OF SEWER PER 24 HOURS.

14. FOR MAKING THE EXFILTRATION TESTS, THE SEWERS SHALL BE SUBJECTED TO AN INTERNAL PRESSURE BY PLUGGING THE PIPE AT THE LOWER END AND THEN FILLING THE PIPELINES AND MANHOLES WITH CLEAN WATER TO A HEIGHT OF 2 FT. ABOVE, THE TOP OF THE SEWER AT ITS UPPER END, WHERE CONDITIONS BETWEEN MANHOLES, MAY RESULT IN TEST PRESSURES WHICH WOULD CAUSE LEAKAGE AT THE STOPPERS IN BRANCHES, PROVISIONS SHALL BE MADE BY SUITABLE TIES, BRACES, AND WEDGES TO SECURE THE STOPPERS AGAINST LEAKAGE RESULTING FROM THE TEST PRESSURE.

15. THE RATE OF LEAKAGE FROM THE SEWERS SHALL BE DETERMINED BY MEASURING THE AMOUNT OF WATER REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN THE LEVEL 2 FT. ABOVE THE TOP OF THE

16. LEAKAGE FROM THE SEWERS UNDER TEST SHALL NOT EXCEED THE REQUIREMENTS FOR LEAKAGE INTO SEWERS AS HEREIN BEFORE SPECIFIED.

17. THE SEWERS SHALL BE TESTED BEFORE ANY CONNECTIONS ARE MADE TO BUILDINGS.

18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSTRUCT WEIRS OR OTHER MEANS OF MEASUREMENTS AS MAY BE REQUIRED.

19. SUITABLE BULKHEADS SHALL BE INSTALLED, AS REQUIRED, TO PERMIT THE TEST OF THE SEWER.

20.SHOULD THE SECTIONS UNDER TEST FAIL TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DO ALL WORK OF LOCATING AND REPAIRING LEAKS AND RETESTING AS THE ENGINEER MAY REQUIRE WITHOUT ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION.

21.IF, IN THE JUDGMENT OF THE ENGINEER, IT IS IMPRACTICABLE TO FOLLOW THE FOREGOING PROCEDURES FOR ANY REASON, ACCEPTABLE MODIFICATIONS IN THE PROCEDURES SHALL BE MADE AS REQUIRED, BUT IN ANY EVENT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ULTIMATE TIGHTNESS OF THE LINE WITHIN THE ABOVE TEST REQUIREMENTS.

WATER TESTING

- 1. REQUIRED TESTS FOR WATERLINES AND FORCE MAINS: A.1. PRESSURE TEST. / A.2. LEAKAGE TEST.
- 3. PRESSURE TEST
- AS SPECIFIED ABOVE. 4. LEAKAGE TEST:

- DISINFECTION OF POTABLE WATER MAINS AND LEAKAGE TESTING.
- DISINFECT THE NEW WORK.

- D. CONTRACTOR SHALL PAY FOR ALL TESTING REQUIRED.

ALL TESTING SHALL CONFORM TO CITY OF BOSTON WATER AND SEWER SPECIFICATIONS.

A. PERFORM THE FOLLOWING AFTER THE PIPE HAS BEEN INSTALLED AND PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE:

2. PRESUMPTIVE HYDROSTATIC TESTS MAY BE PERFORMED WHEN THE SYSTEM IS PARTIALLY BACKFILLED TO "CHECK" THE WORK, BUT FINAL ACCEPTANCE SHALL BE BASED ON HYDROSTATIC TESTS PERFORMED ON THE FINISHED SYSTEM AFTER IT IS COMPLETELY BACKFILLED.

A. TEST PIPING TO 1.5 TIMES THE PIPE WORKING PRESSURE, OR 150 PSI, WHICHEVER IS GREATER. MEASURE TEST PRESSURES AT THE LOWEST POINT IN THE PIPE SECTION AND CORRECT TO THE ELEVATION OF THE GAUGE.

B. RELIEVE TRAPPED AIR AT THE SECTION HIGH POINTS THROUGH HYDRANTS, OR TAPS INSTALLED FOR THIS PURPOSE, PROVIDED TEMPORARY INSTALLATIONS ARE REMOVED AND PLUGGED AFTER ACCEPTANCE.

C. MAINTAIN THE TEST PRESSURE FOR A PERIOD OF TWO (2) HOURS. AT THE END OF THE TEST PERIOD, IF THE TEST PRESSURE REMAINS CONSTANT, THE PIPE SECTION SHALL HAVE PASSED THE TEST. IF THE PRESSURE HAS DROPPED, IT SHALL BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE TEST PRESSURE BY PUMPING A KNOWN VOLUME OF WATER (BY PUMPING FROM A GRADUATED CONTAINER OR BY METERING) BACK INTO THE PIPE. THE VOLUME OF WATER THUS USED, REPRESENTING LEAKAGE FROM THE PIPE, SHALL BE RECORDED. IF THE LEAKAGE IS LESS THAN THE ALLOWABLE LEAKAGE SPECIFIED BELOW, THE PIPE SHALL HAVE PASSED THE TEST. IF THE LEAKAGE EXCEEDS THE ALLOWABLE LEAKAGE SPECIFIED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL LOCATE THE LEAK, PERMANENTLY REPAIR THE SECTION OF PIPE WHERE THE LEAK IS OCCURRING TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER, AND RETEST THE PIPE

A. CONDUCT THE LEAKAGE TEST CONCURRENTLY WITH THE PRESSURE TEST.

B. THE MAXIMUM ALLOWED LEAKAGE IS DETERMINED BY THE FOLLOWING FORMULA:

WHERE L = ALLOWABLE LEAKAGE, IN GPH ; WHERE N = NO. OF JOINTS IN TEST SECTION L = <u>N x D x P 1/2</u> WHERE D = NOMINAL PIPE DIAMETER, IN INCHES ; WHERE P = AVERAGE TEST PRESSURE, IN PSIG

5. ACCEPTANCE SHALL BE DETERMINED ON THE BASIS OF ALLOWABLE LEAKAGE. IF ANY PIPE SECTION DISCLOSES LEAKAGE GREATER THAN THAT SPECIFIED, LOCATE, REPAIR AND RETEST UNTIL THE LEAKAGE IS WITHIN THE LIMITS SPECIFIED.

MAKE ALL VISIBLE LEAKS TIGHT REGARDLESS OF THE AMOUNT OF LEAKAGE, AND IF THE LINES DO NOT MEET THE ABOVE LEAKAGE TEST, REPAIR AND RETEST AS NECESSARY UNTIL THE LEAKAGE REQUIREMENT IS MET. REPAIR OR REPLACE ALL DEFECTIVE WORK

1. DISINFECT ALL POTABLE WATER MAINS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST VERSION OF AWWA C651, EXCEPT THAT THE PLACEMENT OF CHLORINE POWDER OR TABLETS INSIDE THE PIPE DURING INSTALLATION SHALL NOT BE ALLOWED. DISINFECT WATER MAINS AFTER THE PIPING HAS PASSED THE PRESSURE

2. FLUSH THE PIPE WITH WATER AT A MINIMUM VELOCITY OF 2.5 FEET PER SECOND (FPS) TO CLEAR ALL FOREIGN MATERIAL FROM THE PIPE. 3. APPLY A CHLORINE SOLUTION WITH A CONCENTRATION BETWEEN 50 PARTS PER MILLION (PPM) AND 100 PPM. THE CHLORINE SOLUTION SHALL REMAIN IN THE PIPING FOR A MINIMUM OF 24 HOURS. THE CONCENTRATION AT THE END OF THIS PERIOD SHALL BE AT LEAST 25 PPM IN ALL SECTIONS OF THE MAIN. REPEAT THE ENTIRE PROCEDURE IF THE RESIDUAL IS LESS THAN 25 PPM.

A. WHILE THE CHLORINATED WATER IS BEING ADDED, ALL APPURTENANCES (VALVES, HYDRANTS, ETC.) SHALL BE OPERATED SO AS TO COMPLETELY

B. POSITION VALVES SO THAT THE CHLORINE SOLUTION IN THE SECTION BEING DISINFECTED WILL NOT FLOW INTO WATER MAINS IN ACTIVE SERVICE. C. CHLORINE RESIDUAL SAMPLES SHALL BE TAKEN AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.

4. AFTER THE TWENTY FOUR (24) HOUR RETENTION PERIOD, FLUSH THE MAIN UNTIL RESIDUAL TESTING INDICATES THAT THE CHLORINE CONCENTRATION IS APPROXIMATELY THAT OF THE NEIGHBORING SERVICE AREA.

A. DISPOSE OF HEAVILY CHLORINATED WATER INTO SANITARY SEWER OR TANK TRUCK.

B. THE OWNER AND THE OWNER OF THE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM SHALL BE NOTIFIED A MINIMUM OF TWENTY-FOUR (24) HOURS PRIOR TO THE DISCHARGE OF ANY WATER TO THE SANITARY SEWER. CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT TO THE ENGINEER WRITTEN CONFIRMATION THAT THE OWNER OF SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM (THE CITY), HAS APPROVED THE DISCHARGE OF WATER TO ITS SANITARY SEWER. C. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES WILL THE EMPTYING OF WATER ONTO ROADWAYS, OR INTO DITCHES, CULVERTS, STREAMS OR WETLANDS BE ALLOWED.

AFTER DISINFECTION AND FINAL FLUSHING, AND PRIOR TO PLACING THE LINES IN SERVICE, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COLLECT BACTERIOLOGICAL SAMPLES (BOTH COLIFORM AND HETEROTROPHIC PLATE COUNT) AND SUBMIT SAMPLES TO AN APPROVED TESTING LABORATORY. TWO CONSECUTIVE SETS OF SAMPLES SHALL BE TAKEN AT LEAST 24 HOURS APART IN ACCORDANCE WITH AWWA C651. THE COLLECTION POINTS SHALL BE AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER AND LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION.

A. THE TESTING LABORATORY PERFORMING THE BACTERIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS SHALL BE ACCEPTABLE TO THE ENGINEER.

B. SUBMIT THREE (3) COPIES OF THE LABORATORY ANALYSIS TO THE ENGINEER.

C. SHOULD SAFE RESULTS NOT OCCUR AFTER LABORATORY TESTS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL, AT HIS EXPENSE, REPEAT THE DISINFECTION PROCEDURE UNTIL SAFE RESULTS ARE OBTAINED. THIS INCLUDES A POSITIVE RESULT FOR COLIFORM OR A MEASURED HETEROTROPHIC PLATE COUNT OF GREATER THAN 500 COLONY-FORMING UNITS PER ML.

6. ALL PRECAUTION SHALL BE TAKEN TO MAINTAIN DRY AND SANITARY CONDITIONS AND PREVENT CONTAMINATION OF ANY PIPING. IF, IN THE OPINION OF THE ENGINEER, CONTAMINATION HAS OCCURRED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPEAT THE DISINFECTION PROCEDURE AND TESTING AT HIS COST AND EXPENSE.











SYSTEM	IMPERVIOUS TRIBUTARY AREA (S.F.)	RUNOFF DEPTH (FT)	RUNOFF VOLUME (C.F.)	AVAILABLE STORAGE IN UST (C.F.)
UG-1	36,425	0.104	3,794	3,933
UG-2	21,205	0.104	2,209	2,391
UG-3	40,820	0.104	4,252	4,278
TOTAL	98,450	-	10,255	10,602











	BOSTON WATER & SEWER INSPECTION LIST							
ID	STRUCTURE/CONNECTION	INSPECTION DATE	INSPECTOR SIGNATURE					
-1	SMH-3 (4+01: 179'R)							
2	OIL/GAS SEPARATOR (4+37: 179'R)							
3	FIRE CONNECTION (5+42: 4'R)							
4	WATER CONNECTION (5+40: 4'R)							
5	SMH-1 STUB CONNECTION (1+98: 178'R)							



BOSTON WATER & SEWER INSPECTION LIST							
TAKS ID	STRUCTURE/CONNECTION	INSPECTION DATE	INSPECTOR SIGNATURE				
UT3-1	SEWER CONNECTION (0+34: 178'R)						
UT3-2	SMH-2 STUB CONNECTION (0+56: 174'R)						
DT3-2	DYE TEST AT SMH-87 (0+62: 342'R)						









- SPECIFICATIONS M1.06.0 FOR MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS. 3. SOCK SHALL CONSIST OF JUTE MESH OR OTHER APPROVED BIODEGRADABLE MATERIAL.
- PRACTICE: COMPOST FILTER SOCK:

A COMPOST FILTER SOCK IS A TYPE OF CONTAINED COMPOST FILTER BERM CONSISTING OF A MESH TUBE FILLED WITH COMPOST MATERIAL THAT IS PLACED PERPENDICULAR TO SHEET FLOW RUNOFF TO RETAIN SEDIMENT FROM DISTURBED AREAS. THE COMPOST FILTER SOCK ACTS AS A FILTER TO RETAIN SEDIMENT AND OTHER POLLUTANTS (E.G., SUSPENDED SOLIDS, NUTRIENTS) WHILE ALLOWING THE WATER TO FLOW THROUGH IT. COMPOST QUALITY MUST MEET AASHTO 2010 SPECIFICATIONS. INSTALLATIONS REQUIREMENTS:

ONCE THE FILTER SOCK IS FILLED AND PUT IN PLACE, IT SHOULD BE ANCHORED TO THE SLOPE BY STAKES ALONG THE DOWNHILL SIDE OF THE SOCK AT 10' SPACING ON CENTER (O.C.)O THE ENDS OF THE FILTER SOCK SHOULD BE DIRECTED UPSLOPE, TO PREVENT STORMWATER FROM RUNNING AROUND THE END OF THE TUBE.

MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS:

SOCK MUST BE INSPECTED FOR SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION. IF THERE IS EXCESSIVE PONDING BEHIND THE FILTER SOCK OR ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT REACHES THE TOP OF THE SOCK, AN ADDITIONAL SOCK SHOULD BE ADDED ON TOP OR INFRONT OF THE EXISTING SOCK IN THESE AREAS. AN ADEQUATE RESERVE OF SOCKS MUST BE KEPT ON SITE AT ALL TIMES FOR EMERGENCY AND/OR ROUTINE REPLACEMENT. SOCKS SHALL BE REMOVED ONLY AFTER EXPOSED SOILS IN THE CONTRIBUTING DRAINAGE AREA ACHIEVE FINAL STABILIZATION. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION MUST BE REMOVED ONCE IT HAS REACHED 1/2 OF THE EXPOSED HEIGHT OF THE SOCK.

12-INCH COMPOST FILTER SOCK SCALE: NO SCALE





Response to

BWSC Comments

Sewer Comm.

Designed By:

TK/JM

Issue Date:

01/31/2020

Boston Water & KK JM 01/31/7

DETAILS-1

TK/JM

Project No:

059051

Drawing No.:

C-601

Drawn By: Checked By

KK

Scale:

NONE

KK JM 06/26/2

FLEXIBLE CONNECTOR _ FOR HDPE PIPE (TYP) 6" PERFORATED

OUIL						
STRUCTURE	RIM	INLET	OUTLET	TOP OF WALL		
OCS-1	15.76	18"@ 9.92 6"@ 9.26	18"© 9.82	11.66		
OCS-2	15.45	18"@ 9.92 6"@ 9.33	18"@ 9.82	11.63		
OCS-3	15.53	18"@ 9.75 6"@ 9.06	18"@ 9.65	11.06		



6 OUTLET CONTROL STRUCTURE SCALE: NO SCALE





5	<u>SS</u>	262626	53	565	33333	565	3	5555	20202	5050505	22020
		PERF			PERF			PERF		PERF	
	OPENING		OPENING	OPENING		OPENING	OPENING		OPENING		PERF DODODODOD
		WINDOW			WINDOW	,		WINDOW		WINDOW	
		WINDOW			WINDOW			WINDOW		WINDOW	
	OPENING		OPENING			OPENING	OPENING				PERF
		WINDOW	2 	i Sector	WINDOW	94 1947 - 5		WINDOW	5 E	WINDOW	
	JUN C	WEIR) V Z	DNC	WEIR	NG		WÈÍR	DN DN	WEIRX	
	OPENI	\times \times	OPENI		$\langle \rangle$			\sim			
	Ĭ	PERF	Ĭ		PERF			PERF		PERF	
Ì											<u>م انتصالیک</u>













